MSI PATCH SHEET

Andrews, John

RICH, BEN
PROUTY, FLETCHER

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

Director of Central Intelligence.

For the purpose of coordinating the intelligence activities of the several Government departments and agencies in the interest of national security, it is the duty of the Agency, under the direction of the National Security Council—

(1) to advise the National Security Council in matters concerning such intelligence activities of the Government departments and agencies as relate to national security;

(2) to make recommendations to the National Security Council for the coordination of such intelligence activities of the departments and agencies of the Government as relate to the national security;

(3) to correlate and evaluate intelligence relating to the national security, and provide for the appropriate dissemination of such intelligence within the Government using, where appropriate, existing agencies and facilities: Provided, That the Agency shall have no police, subpena, law-enforcement powers, or internal security functions: Provided further, That the departments and other agencies of the Government shall continue to collect, evaluate, correlate, and disseminate departmental intelligence: And provided further, That the Director of Central Intelligence shall be responsible for protecting intelligence sources and methods from unauthorized disclosure;

(4) to perform, for the benefit of the existing intelligence agencies, such additional services of common concern as the National Security Council determines can be more efficiently accomplished centrally;

(5) to perform such other functions and duties related to intelligence affecting the national security as the National Security Council may from time to time direct.

INTELLIGENCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Director of Central Intelligence
Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation
Special Assistant to the Secretary of State for Research and Intelligence
Director of Intelligence, General Staff, U. S. Army
Director of Naval Intelligence
Director of Intelligence, Headquarters, U. S. Air Force
Director of Intelligence, Atomic Energy Commission
Deputy Director, Joint Intelligence Group, Joint Staff

(The Director of Central Intelligence will invite the chief, or his representative, of any other intelligence Agency having functions related to the national security to sit with the Intelligence Advisory Committee whenever matters within the purview of his Agency are to be discussed.)

The IAC was established by the Council to maintain the relationship essential to coordination between the Central Intelligence Agency and the intelligence organizations of the Government, and to advise the Director of Central Intelligence.

NSC REPORTING UNIT

Pursuant to Presidential directive, a small reporting unit has been established as a part of the NSC staff, to keep currently informed on the status of all national security programs, and to ensure that such status reports as may be required are made available promptly to the President or to the National Security Council. This reporting unit works with representatives of the responsible departments and agencies to ensure that the various status reports made by these departments and agencies contain the necessary information and fit together without gaps or unnecessary duplication.

NSC STAFF ASSISTA

Officials designated by the
tive members of the Senio
Staff and headed by a Coord
to assist the Senior NSC Sta
full-time basis.

ORGANIZATION CHART OF THE NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
March 19, 1952

[redacted]

THE PRESIDENT

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

The President

The Vice President

The Secretary of State

The Secretary of Defense

The Director for Mutual Security

The Chairman, National Security Resources Board, and

The Secretaries and Under Secretaries of other executive departments, the Chairman of the Munitions Board, and the Chairman of the Research and Development Board, when appointed by the President by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, to serve at his pleasure.

At the direction of the President the Secretary of the Treasury and the Director of Defense Mobilization attend all Council meetings and participate in all Council actions; other officials of the Executive Branch participate with the Council in consideration of specific matters of direct concern to them. The Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff, attends all Council meetings in connection with the responsibilities of the Joint Chiefs of Staff as the principal military advisers to the Council. The Director of Central Intelligence attends all Council meetings in connection with his responsibilities as the principal intelligence adviser to the Council.

The Council advises the President with respect to the integration of domestic, foreign, and military policies relating to the national security so as to enable the military services and the other departments and agencies of the Government to cooperate more effectively in matters involving the national security. The Central Intelligence Agency is under the direction of the Council.

Executive Secretary: A civilian, appointed by the President, to head the NSC Staff.

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

Director of Central Intelligence.

For the purpose of coordinating the intelligence activities of the several Government departments and agencies in the interest of national security, it is the duty of the Agency, under the direction of the National Security Council—

(1) to advise the National Security Council in matters concerning such intelligence activities of the Government departments and agencies as relate to national security;

(2) to make recommendations to the National Security Council for the coordination of such intelligence activities of the departments and agencies of the Government as relate to the national security;

(3) to correlate and evaluate intelligence relating to the national security, and provide for the appropriate dissemination of such intelligence within the Government using, where appropriate, existing agencies and facilities: Provided, That the Agency shall have no police, subpena, law-enforcement powers, or internal security functions: Provided further, That the departments and other agencies of the Government shall continue to collect, evaluate, correlate, and disseminate departmental intelligence: And provided further, That the Director of Central Intelligence shall be responsible for protecting intelligence sources and methods from unauthorized disclosure;

(4) to perform, for the benefit of the existing intelligence agencies, such additional services of common concern as the National Security Council determines can be more efficiently accomplished centrally;

(5) to perform such other functions and duties related to intelligence affecting the national security as the National Security Council may from time to time direct.

INTELLIGENCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Director of Central Intelligence
Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation
Special Assistant to the Secretary of State for Research and Intelligence
Director of Intelligence, General Staff, U. S. Army
Director of Naval Intelligence
Director of Intelligence, Headquarters, U. S. Air Force
Director of Intelligence, Atomic Energy Commission
Deputy Director, Joint Intelligence Group, Joint Staff

(The Director of Central Intelligence will invite the chief, or his representative, of any other intelligence Agency having functions related to the national security to sit with the Intelligence Advisory Committee whenever matters within the purview of his Agency are to be discussed.)

The IAC was established by the Council to maintain the relationship essential to coordination between the Central Intelligence Agency and the intelligence organizations of the Government, and to advise the Director of Central Intelligence.

INTERDEPARTMENTAL INTELLIGENCE CONFERENCE

Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation
Director of Intelligence, General Staff, U. S. Army
Director of Naval Intelligence
Director of Special Investigations, Headquarters, U. S. Air Force

(The NSC Representative on Internal Security, as adviser to the Council on internal security matters, participates as an observer and adviser in all meetings of the IIC.)

(Non-member agency representatives are invited as ad hoc members when matters involving their responsibilities are under consideration.)

The IIC is responsible for the coordination of the investigation of all domestic espionage, counter-espionage, sabotage, subversion and other related intelligence matters affecting internal security.

INTERDEPARTMENTAL COMMITTEE ON INTERNAL SECURITY

Special Assistant to the Attorney General, Department of Justice
Director, Office of Controls, Department of State
Director, Continental U. S. Defense Planning Group, Department of Defense
Chief Coordinator, Treasury Enforcement Agencies, Treasury Department

(The NSC Representative on Internal Security, as adviser to the Council on internal security matters, participates as an observer and adviser in all meetings of the ICIS.)

(Non-member agency representatives are invited as ad hoc members when matters involving their responsibilities are under consideration.)

The ICIS is responsible for coordinating all phases of the internal security field other than the functions under the purview of the IIC.

NSC REPORTING UNIT

Pursuant to Presidential directive, a small reporting unit has been established as a part of the NSC staff, to keep currently informed on the status of all national security programs, and to ensure that such status reports as may be required are made available promptly to the President or to the National Security Council. This reporting unit works with representatives of the responsible departments and agencies to ensure that the various status reports made by these departments and agencies contain the necessary information and fit together without gaps or unnecessary duplication.

SENIOR NSC STAFF

Members are designated by the President as Chairman of the Council, based upon the nomination of one individual each by:
The Secretary of State,
The Secretary of Defense,
The Director for Mutual Security,
The Chairman, National Security Resources Board,
The Secretary of the Treasury,
The Director of Defense Mobilization,
The Joint Chiefs of Staff, and
The Director of Central Intelligence.

A representative of the Psychological Strategy Board participates as an adviser in all meetings of the Senior NSC Staff.

At the direction of the President, other officials of the Executive Branch designate representatives to participate with the Senior NSC Staff in consideration of specific matters of direct concern to them.

The staff is headed by the Executive Secretary.

The Senior NSC Staff assists the Council in the preparation of coordinated policy recommendations to the President and in the marshaling of departmental and agency resources for this purpose.

PSYCHOLOGICAL STRATEGY BOARD

The Under Secretary of State
The Deputy Secretary of Defense
The Director of Central Intelligence
Representatives of the heads of other departments and agencies of the Government as may, from time to time, be determined by the Board.

(A representative of the Joint Chiefs of Staff sits with the Board as its principal military adviser.)

Director: Designated by the President to head the staff of the Board.

The Psychological Strategy Board is responsible, within the purposes and terms of Presidential directive, for the formulation and promulgation, as guidance to the departments and agencies responsible for psychological operations, of over-all national psychological objectives, policies and programs, and for the coordination and evaluation of the national psychological effort. The Board reports to the Council on the Board's activities and on its evaluation of the national psychological operations, including implementation of approved objectives, policies and programs by the departments and agencies concerned.

NSC STAFF ASSISTANTS

Officials designated by the respective members of the Senior NSC Staff and headed by a Coordinator, to assist the Senior NSC Staff on a full-time basis.

NSC AD HOC STAFF GROUPS

Established by the Senior NSC Staff from time to time for special projects and studies.

SPECIAL ADVISERS

Special consultants or special committees are designated by the President or the Council for special projects or studies.

AIAA
American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics

SAN FERNANDO VALLEY SECTION

INAUGURAL DINNER MEETING **JANUARY 20, 1988**

SPEAKER

MR. BEN RICH
EXECUTIVE V.P. and GENERAL MANAGER
ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS
LOCKHEED AERONAUTICAL SYSTEMS COMPANY

TOPIC:
AIRCRAFT DEVELOPED UNDER KELLY JOHNSON'S LEADERSHIP

LOCATION: UNIVERSITY CLUB, CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY
1811 NORDHOFF ST., NORTHRIDGE, CA 91325

DIRECTIONS: Nordhoff to Zelzah, North to Dearborn, left to Parking Lot E-1 and left into parking area East of University Club.

TIME: No Host Bar: 6:00 - 7:00 p.m.
Dinner: 7:00 p.m.
Program: 8:00 p.m.

MENU: Chicken Kiev
London Broil
Fish of the Day

Place order when phoning in reservation

COST: $14.00 per person, members and guests
Mail reservations or pay at the door

RESERVATIONS REQUIRED: Phone Donna Sautia (AIAA Office)
(213) 429-3713 on or before January 14, 1988.

AIAA • ATTN: DONNA SAUTIA • 5001 AIRPORT PLAZA DRIVE • LONG BEACH, CA 90815
SAN FERNANDO VALLEY INAUGURAL DINNER MEETING • 20 JANUARY 1988

NO. ATTENDING @$14.00 TOTAL ENCLOSED

NAME _____ DAYTIME PHONE _____
ADDRESS _____ MEAL CHOICE _____

MR. BEN RICH
PRESIDENT, LOCKHEED ADVANCED AERONAUTICS COMPANY
KELLY JOHNSON RESEARCH CENTER
BURBANK, CA 91520

10 JULY 1986

DEAR BEN:

A SHORT NOTE TO CLARIFY YOUR INTERESTING RESPONSE REGARDING BEING A "BELIEVER" CONTA IN YOUR 8 JULY 1986 LETTER.

THE TOPIC IS UFO. I "BELIEVE" THERE ARE LOTS OF UFO'S. I AM ALSO TENDING TO BELIEV THEY ARE OF 2 CATEGORIES:

A. MANMADE UFOs.
B. EXTRATERRESTIAL UFOs.

I BELIEVE WITH CERTAINTY IN MANMADE UFOs. I AM TENDING TO BELIEVE THERE ARE ALSO EXTRATERRES UFOs.

HAVING THE HIGHEST RESPECT FOR BOTH YOU AN KELLY I'D APPRECIATE KNOWING IF YOUR BELIE COVERS CATEGORY B AS WELL AS THE OBVIOUS CATEG A OF THE ABOVE? PURPOSE OF QUESTION? PRECISI

DR. SARBACHER'S RESPONSE WAS WITHOUT QUESTION A STATEMENT OF HIS BELIEF IN CATEGORY B. DO ALSO INCLUDE CATEGORY B, BEN?

AND THANKS FOR YOUR 8 July letter - ALWAY GOOD TO HEAR FROM YOU.

Regards,

Lockheed Advanced Aeronautics Company
A Division of Aeronautical Systems Group
Burbank, California 91520 (805) 257-5760

Ben R. Rich
President

7/21/86

Dear John:

Yes, I'm a believer in both categories. I feel everything is possible.

Many of our man-made UFO's are Un Funded Opportunities.

In both categories, there are a lot of books and charlatans — be cautious.

Best regards
Ben Rich

Lockheed Advanced Aeronautics Company

A Division of Aeronautical Systems Group
Burbank, California 91520 (805) 257 57[illegible]

Ben R. Rich
President

July 8, 1986

Mr. John Andrews
8180 Santa Arminta
San Diego, CA 92126-1243

Dear John,

In response to your letter of 1 July, I am a believer; so is Kelly Johnson.

With regards to Monogram's comment, I do not believe any Lockheed person could or would have made that commitment. Rest easy.

Best regards,

Ben

Ben R. Rich

4201 Peachtree Place
Alexandria, VA 22304

June 8, 1987

Dear John,

For some unexplained reason this Word Processer dumped this first page. I'll see if I can reconstruct it so that it makes sense with those that follow.

I have read these papers and dug out some others you have sent to see whether or not they stand scrutiny. You realize I am not against the project and that I am ready to believe that the UFO business is real and that it is being controlled by the Government. At the same time I am much aware that the Government has been running a disinformation campaign in many areas, and that they spend a lot of time writing things that are intentionally wrong in order to confuse and embarrass sincere investigators. I believe this set of papers is just that.

Got a kick out of your old phone notes:

a) Ken Berquist...was M/Gen Kenneth P. Bergquist a great guy and good friend of Kelly. He was more responsible for the start of the U-2 project than anyone. Dick Bissell could not hold a candle for Bergquist.

b) I started in the Pentagon with Unconvcentional Warfare that was a part of Subsidiary Plans that was a division of the Directorate of Plans. That is where the USAF had PsyWar, Mind Control and a lot of very odd things under Col James Monroe.

c) Vic Batten was a brilliant technician with the TS division of the CIA.

d) Arthur Kantrowicz and I attended the CSIS Conference on Petroleum in about 1975. He's brillian with a great record.

e) Hans Bethe wrote a book, "Prophet of Energy". You improved the title considerably by making it, "Profit of Energy". That is what it was all about.

As I have told you, I have trouble with the MAJIC acronym. You may recall that the Japanese Pearl Harbor codes were called Project MAGIC. I have a full set of that work, all eight volumes. This was a most important project in 1947 and I doubt that the phonetically similar MAJIC would have been use for a top level project, especially in the White House. I can understand that it is a derivative of MAJESTIC....

(see if this fits to page two)

reasonable to see it as coming from MAJESTIC. But, in 1947, when it came up the USA was deep into MAGIC the Japanese "Pearl Harbor" code. I doubt very much that anyone would have used a code that could be so easily confused, phonetically, with that major program, i.e. MAGIC. It is too hard to get a good code word and there are so many rules about them that I can't see this phonetic problem slipping through the system. I doubt there ever was a "MAJIC" and this makes me skeptical about MAJESTIC and MJ-12 for the same reasons.

Now you ask, "Do these papers match form, at the time--1952, for a briefing document produced for an incoming President?" The simple answer is "NO". Let me turn to the papers and speak from them directly.

a) White House stationary is centered on both lines when letter size pages are used.

b) White House stationary is staggered on both lines when note size pages are used.

c) This sample staggers the lines on a letter-size paper.

d) President's, especially Truman, pride themselves on being informal and regular guys. I believe Truman would have written to Forrestal, "Dear Jim", and signed "HST".

e) On a score of 10 points, I'd give this note from Truman a rating of only 4 or 5 as to being typical. See the good book, "Mr President" by William Hillman, Farrar, 1952 for many examples of Truman's work. He signed most things like this "H.S.T."

f) Re the July 14, 1954 Cutler memo. There is no way an old stuffed shirt Boston banker friend of Ike's, Cutler, was going to send an important memo to Nate Twining unsigned. No paper from the White House is legal if it is not signed. Of course this could be a copy of a carbon copy. In that case the carbon always had typed over the named "Signed by RC" or some such note. I find the last sentence to be quite irregular. It ought to have been, "Request confirmation". Cutler would never presume to tell Twining to be there this way.

g) I find the 18 November 1952 document to be erroneous on many counts:

1. The date should not have a comma between Nov and 1952.

2. Too many "Eyes Only". About six times on one sheet of paper. This is over-doing it and is not typical. This is too structured on that subject. For "Eyes Only" the document must be carried by a special messenger. This means it does not get out of channels. So all you have to do is stamp it "Eyes only" once and the receivers know precisely what to do with it. This fake is ridiculous.

3. I do not recall ever seeing a document talk about

"compartmentalized information". I know what it is but at that level and for just a briefing, that was no place to be talking about that. For a briefing all subject matter is kept on that subject without all the extra jazz.

4. I believe the T52-EXEMPT (E) is supposed to mean that this document was exempt from automatic down-grading. The T52 refers to the year and EXEMPT was supposed to mean that it would remain permanently Top Secret. The (E) may refer to the "Eyes only" status. This does not look real to me.

5. The "mechanically transcribed" bit looks phoney. The Xerox process did not come in until much later, and what would it have been in 1952? This is just a briefing sheet. It did not need all that security. It is going to stay in the hands of the briefing officer, in this case Adm Hillenkoetter.

6. There is no indentification on the stationary. The Adm was with the USN on that date. It ought to have been Navy Paper.

In the book "The Presidential Character" James Barber says: [of Eisenhower]

> "From the beginning of his Presidency he resented being constantly asked to involve himself in unnecessary detail."

Everyone who knew him as a General, and as President knew that. I used to fly some of his staff in Africa. They said the same thing. I doubt that Truman and the MJ twelve, a group that on 18 Nov 52 included Ike's old, and most intimate Chief of Staff, General Walter B. Smith, would have taken his time with such a set of documents as we have here. Two or three minutes, off hand, would have done the job.

About 18 Nov 52: I have found an interesting note from "Foreign Relations of the United States-1952-1954 Vol X111, Part 1" by the Dept of State:

> "On Nov 18, 1952, Dwight D. Eisenhower, the President-elect, conferred with President Truman and members of his Cabinet at the White House. During the meeting, Secretary of State Acheson briefed the President-elect on the world situation."

So, Ike was with Truman on that date and in the White House. Of course anyone who wished to forge the papers we have here, the MJ-12 papers, would have known that easily. It is public info.

With the Briefing sheet of Nov 18th in hand, the one with the list of MJ-12 members, I have the following comments:

a) What was Hillenkoetter doing then? Why was he still on MJ-12. He had been in charge of the US Navy in Korea. He was no longer the DCI. General Smith was the DCI then and he ought to have made the briefing, especially as an old friend of Ike's.

Now to the briefing material itself, the three pages of narrative. I'll make comments in the order things appear on these three pages:

a) disc-shaped aircraft--why "aircraft". Almost all referencves have been to "objects". That is the UFO idea. The O is for object.

b) "in the public media" what other kind is there? In a briefing for two Presidents this is a sophomoric statement.

c) "different elements of the military" this is not a regular way to speak of the military,i.e. elements.

d) "National Defense" In this useage it is always National Security, as it is later in the same papers.

e) "several unsuccessful attempts to utilize aircraft" what does this mean? I can recall sightings that radar claimed. But were aircraft actually utilized in this sense? A contrived statement.

f) The reference to "Roswell Army Air Base". That airfield was Roswell Army Air Force Base. At that time they were all AAFB's, until the end of 1947 when they became just AFB's.

g) I find the first sentence of the 3rd paragraph quite contrived, "a secret operation...to assure recovery". This is a crashed object. There was no need to "assure" recovery. All they had to do was go out and pick it up with a truck.

h) The next sentence is even worse. "During the course of this operation..." Weeks??

i) "had apparently ejected from the craft" I forget the time; but did we have aircraft ejection systems in 1947? I doubt it. Of course the briefing is in 1952 and we did by then; but this does not seem quite right for an action in 1947.

k) "The wreckage of the craft was also removed to several different locations." Why would that be done...to different locations?

l) "civilian and military witnesses". Were there any? No mention of this earlier, just a "local rancher". And how about this "debriefing". By whom? Who had the authority? The Presidential order is dated Sept 24, 1947.

m) This next is a beauty..."a misguided weather research balloon." How do you misguide a balloon floating in the air?

n) "A covert analytical effort..." strange terminology.

o) "Dr Bush acting on the direct orders of the President...concensus (mispelled) (19 September, 1947)...On top of the mispelling, how does Bush get orders early enough to come up with a consensus on Sept 19th when the Presidential order is dated Sept 24th?

p) "a short range reconnaissance craft". This time he does not call it an "aircraft". This short range rcn conflicts with Dr Menzel's work below. The least the MJ-12 group would have done is resolve this severe conflict before they sat down with the Presidents. General Smith would have demanded that. This is a stupid conflict at that level.

q) Extra-terrestrial...when did that come into the

vernacular?

r) "certain that these craft" This briefing is about one craft on the "Local rancher's" spread. Now it is plural.

s) All of this writing is terrible..."Mars was and remains a possibility"...dreadful. At the White House? Perhaps in the Reagan White House but Truman, and Ike. Never!

t) "method of propulsion"...not a 1947 term. I was teaching "Aeronautics" at Yale then. This is not how it was done. And how about, "method of transmission of the power source"????

u) "the propulsion unit was completely destroyed by the explosion which caused the crash". Hardly. I have investigated many an aircraft accident. One thing that survives, in pieces, is the engine or engines and their parts. They do not just disappear in smoke. Even the power plant of Challenger survived for study.

v) "explosion" what explosion. "Local rancher" only found the parts. He didn't say it exploded above ground.

w) "possible about these craft" plural craft again??

x) I would guess Project SIGN came from a poor printing of Project SIGMA or that it might have been Project SINE.

y) "AMC whose role was to pass along certain types of information through channels". This is the last thing you do with an "Eyes Only" project...not through channels.

z) Project GRUDGE, not typical. It means something.

aa) "following a long trajectory..." Where did this come from. Who saw a long trajectory? How determined? This is before ADC radars and before FAA radars.

bb) "had been almost totally incinerated". Great imagination. How did "local rancher" discover it if it had been "almost totally incinerated"?

cc) "motives and intentions of these visitors" Contrived.

dd) "surveillance activity of these craft" more contrived.

ee) The remainder of this last paragraph is really contrived. The writer of this thing ought to have completed his High School courses. How did he get into the White House?

Last page,"Enumeration of Attachments"

a) Order #092447. This is just the date Sept 24, 1947. This is not a "Special Classified Executive Order number.

b) (TS-MAJIC/EO) As stated above I seriously doubt the use of MAJIC at a time when MAGIC was so well known. I have never seen the "Eyes Only" used as EO like that.

I find this interesting, probable and I would like to believe it. But this paperwork is the work of some paid hack who thought he could come up with anything and get away with it. Recall Watergate when we learned that Chuck Colson had Howard Hunt forging White House documents to show up Kennedy in the official records. This is the same kind of thing. This has become a part of the Government's disinformation program today.

John, there is a lot I do not know about all this stuff; but on

the basis of the tons of papers I have worked on, at the same level and at a time not too different from this I have to say I can not credit this with being real. It is a poorly contrived set of papers designed to fool people that the authors think are going to be too dumb to understand.

More substantively, why would a briefing in Sept 1952 concern itself only with an event of 1947? Certainly there would be other things to talk about between 1947 and 1952. Also such briefings usually serve to introduce the group, i.e. MJ-12 and their letter of authority and their work, etc. This one little past incident all by itself is not adequate for such a briefing at this level even though the subject is most important. There certainly had to be material between 1947 and 1952. There was no Status Report or anything like that.

Sorry to hear about the condition of Kelly. My old friend, the founder of American Airlines, C.R. Smith is not in good shape either. Hate to see the last of the breed slowly leaving us to the present crowd.

I hope this is helpful and you understand my position. I am not against all this. I simply believe that lacking better material than this that we have a problem.

As ever,

Fletch

L. Fletcher Prouty

327.12
P

The Secret Team

The CIA and Its Allies in Control of the United States and the World

L. FLETCHER PROUTY
Col., U.S. Air Force (Ret.)

Beverly Hills Public Library
444 North Rexford Drive
Beverly Hills, California 90210

PRENTICE-HALL, INC., Englewood Cliffs, N.J.

THE SECRET TEAM: The CIA and Its Allies in Control of the United States and the World
by L. Fletcher Prouty

Copyright © 1973 by L. Fletcher Prouty

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced in any form or by any means, except for the inclusion of brief quotations in a review, without permission in writing from the publisher.

Printed in the United States of America

Prentice-Hall International, Inc., London
Prentice-Hall of Australia, Pty. Ltd., North Sydney
Prentice-Hall of Canada, Ltd., Toronto
Prentice-Hall of India Private Ltd., New Delhi
Prentice-Hall of Japan, Inc., Tokyo

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Permission granted by *The Washington Monthly* to quote from "The Secret Team and the Games They Play," © 1970.

Excerpts by permission from *Foreign Affairs*, January 1972, "The CIA and Decision-Making," by Chester L. Cooper, © 1972 Council on Foreign Relations, Inc., New York; also permission to quote from Doubleday & Company, Inc., *Can American Democracy Survive Cold War*, © 1963 Harry Howe Ransom.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Prouty, Leroy Fletcher,
The secret team.

Bibliography: p.
1. United States. Central Intelligence Agency.
I. Title.
JK468.I6P76 327'.12 72-13361
ISBN 0-13-798173-2

"In government official writing, the man who really writes the paper—or more properly, the men whose original work and words are put together to become the final paper—are rarely, if ever, the men whose names appear on that paper. A paper attributed to Maxwell Taylor, Robert McNamara or Dean Rusk would not, in almost all instances, have been written by them, but more than likely would have been assembled from information gathered from the Departments of Defense and State and from CIA sources and put into final language by such a man as General Victor H. Krulak, who was among the best."

"I have not chosen to reveal and to expose classified documents; but I do believe that those that have been revealed, both in the Pentagon Papers and elsewhere, need to be interpreted and explained. I am interested in setting forth and explaining what "secrecy" and the "cult of containment" really mean and what they have done to our way of life and our country. Furthermore, I want to correct any misinformation that may have been given by those that have tried to write on these subjects."

"In every case, the chance for complete information is very small, and the hope that in time researchers, students, and historians will be able to ferret out truth from untruth, real from unreal, and story from cover story is at best a very slim one. Certainly, history teaches us that one truth will add to and enhance another; but let us not forget that one lie added to another will demolish everything . . . How many major events—really major events—have there been that simply do not ring true? How many times has the entire world been shaken by alarms of major significance, only to find that the events either did not happen at all, or if they did, that they had happened in a manner quite unlike the original story? The war in Vietnam is undoubtedly the best example of this."

L. Fletcher Prouty is a retired Colonel of the U.S. Air Force. Originally a pilot in World War II, he spent his last nine years of service in the Pentagon as the official Focal Point Officer—first for the Air Force, and then for the entire Department of Defense with the CIA. All CIA military activities were thus channeled through him. Not being a CIA man, he was exempt from taking the oath of secrecy. Being the Focal Point Officer put him in a very privileged position: in effect, Prouty has far more knowledge of CIA activities than almost all members of that organization.

0-13-798173

controlled documents that the access sheet that had been with it since its initial distribution contained only the names of various administrative personnel who had handled it during top-secret inventory reviews and of a very few others, none of whom were really in top level decision-making offices.

It is interesting to note that William H. Jackson was appointed deputy director of Central Intelligence after his work on this report and that Allen Dulles followed him as deputy DCI in 1950. Mr. Dulles remained with the CIA for the next eleven years. It is much more interesting and pertinent to note that this report, which was originally chartered to study the "effectiveness" of the CIA and the "relationship of CIA activities to those of other" members of the community, really did not waste much time on those mundane subjects. This report laid the groundwork for the entrance of the CIA into the "fun and games" of special operations, peacetime operations, and all the rest. And in leaving this brief discussion of the second duty of the CIA one must come away with the distinct impression that the CIA has never made a very high score for its recommendations to the NSC for the coordination of intelligence activities.

Correlation, Evaluation and Dissemination of Intelligence: Heart of the Profession

The third duty of the Agency is one that has been done well and which, if it had received the priority that has been given to the "fun and games," would have provided the President at all times with the best intelligence in the world and would have made the CIA of great importance and of real value to the other members of the Security Council. The law charged the CIA with the duty "to correlate and evaluate intelligence relating to the National Security and to provide for the appropriate dissemination of such intelligence within the government . . . provided that the agency shall have no police, subpena, law-enforcement powers or internal security functions."

There is no questioning the fact that this country has the best intelligence capability in the world. It also has the best collection system in the world, and all members of the community span the scope of information-gathering to such an extent that we ought never fear the existence of an intelligence void. Yet there have been gross oversights, and there have been many poor estimates and analyses of situations. With all that the intelligence community has going for it, it is remiss in not applying itself more to intelligence, to coordination, and less to special

operations. Here also, the community's preoccupation with senseless security measures has reduced the area of study and review of many subjects to small groups that do not represent the most qualified men available. Furthermore, these small groups are shot through with irresponsible individuals whose primary interests are not related to the production of quality Intelligence. On top of all this, the Intelligence professionals have to cope with monumental masses of raw product, much of which is excellent. As a result, vast quantities of this material are buried in security-locked warehouses and have never been looked at and never will be.

During the past twenty years there have been many times when the Secretary of Defense or other military official has stated that the United States needed to go ahead with the development of a new bomber, a new submarine, or even a new missile system, because Intelligence had acquired information which indicated that the Russians had such a bomber, submarine, or missile and that if we did not get moving to stay ahead or to close the gap, our defenses would be less than the best. Such a comment has recently been made by Secretary of Defense Laird with respect to a new supersonic bomber the Russians have. Since Mr. Laird believes that the Soviets have such a bomber, he believes that Congress should authorize the Department of Defense to go ahead with a new B-1 supersonic bomber for the U.S. Armed Forces. Years ago, some of these estimates were found, upon review, to have been somewhat premature. (Critics have pointed out that the military often gave the appearance of working up some story attributed to intelligence in support of a weapons system they wanted or to support the annual budget, which may have been under consideration at the time of the release of the new information.)

This whole area is one in which billions of dollars are involved, and in the final analysis, our very defense posture is involved. Yet the facts are seldom revealed, even to Congressional committees, and huge expenditures have been made on partial information. In the past this may have been necessary, but at the present time there can be no excuse for the withholding of such vital information. Any objective and practical reflection upon this subject would confirm the conclusion that such secrets either were not really secret in the first place or that they cannot be kept for very long if they had been secret.

Since Gary Powers went down in the Soviet Union in 1960 the whole world knows that we have been operating high altitude photographic aircraft. The follow-on XR-1 has been photo-

graphed and shown to the public many times. At various times, U-2 photographs that have been shown reveal the capability of the cameras of these planes.

It is no secret that the United States has been launching satellite observatories for many years and that one of the primary purposes of these missiles has been to take real, not television, photographs of the earth's surface. We know that the film capsules are regularly recovered, usually in the Pacific Ocean areas. We also know that the Russians are doing the same thing, although their photography may be limited to television-type transmittal and reception. But in any event, there can be little in such a mechanical process that warrants the withholding of this vital information from Congress and from the public for allegedly security reasons. If Mr. Laird says that the Russians have a supersonic bomber and that it has been observed, then he should show actual, incontrovertible pictures and evidence of such a plane. Certainly, a development project that will cost $11 billion is so important that it should be initiated on real and valid facts and not on some estimate alone.

This is one area where the ST has held to itself and its own devices information that should be made public, when there is no actual need for the control of such information. The problem is even deeper than this. The information that is obtained by the many intelligence organizations of the United States is so voluminous that not even a small portion of it is properly evaluated. It is possible to read-out mountains of information by a computer scanning process, and most of the photographic material that does see the light of day, from that which was originally obtained by aircraft or satellites, has been so processed. But there is so much more that never even gets looked at.

Satellite pictures are very good, and yet they have some very real limitations. For example, the big Chinese nuclear plant up in north central China has huge open drying flats south of the plant. When the plant is in full operation, most of these large areas are wet and in a photograph can be seen darkened by water. When the plant is shut down or operating at a reduced rate, fewer drying areas are wet, and the change can be observed. Thus, a programmed pattern of satellites scheduled to orbit over this nuclear plant at regular intervals can produce accurate information about the operation of the facility.

The photographs themselves are much more accurate than this. It is possible to enlarge these pictures in such a way that small areas no bigger than a bridge table can be identified. For a camera operating in an observatory 110 miles over the target

area, this is good photography. Since this photography is so good and since it is easily and abundantly available, there can be little excuse for not making it available to Congress and to the public in order that an informed public—and especially an informed Congress—may know better how to deal with the real facts of the modern world. The law does say that the CIA is responsible "for the appropriate dissemination of such intelligence within the government." If more time and much more money and effort were spent in correlating and evaluating this type of information and then in making proper distribution of the product, we would know a lot more about the rest of the world than we do now, and what we know would be based upon solid supportable fact and not someone's estimate. The work of Intelligence professionals, although hindered by the misplaced emphasis on special operations, has accomplished remarkable things. The diversion of operating funds to clandestine activities has been serious but it is almost insignificant when weighed against the losses which have taken place because of overemphasis on security. If the legislators of this country, and if the general public could only know the things which Intelligence has learned, and which could be used to keep the Free World versus Communist World struggle in proper perspective, we could be confident in our achievements, proud of our successes and understanding of international affairs. One of the best examples of how much we have been able to accomplish in this field of Intelligence is the field of aerial reconnaissance.

The Iron Curtain doctrine played right into the hands of the aerial reconnaissance intelligence system. Not long after Churchill had sealed off Europe, the curtain was extended all the way from the Arctic Sea on the one end across Europe, thence across Greece and Turkey over the Northern Tier, including Iran, Pakistan, and India and on to the Pacific Ocean, skirting the Bamboo Curtain south of China. With the Communist world thus neatly hemmed in, the intelligence community was given the task of penetrating this curtain as much and as far as they could. One of the first things done was the establishment of a perimeter flying capability.

At a busy airport just outside of Frankfurt, Germany, and on the nearby Weisbaden Airport, an assorted fleet of planes was accumulated; these planes had the ability to fly for miles along the border of the Iron Curtain, taking pictures of the denied areas by slant-range or oblique photography. These planes were also equipped with electronic intelligence equipment designed to listen to as many wavebands of information as possible. All

of this was taped and read-out when the plane landed. At that time, there was a close relationship between the intelligence units in the field and the Psychological Warfare Offices that were spread through the European Command. The psychological warfare folks wanted to use these same planes to drop leaflets into the denied areas. They would get together with the CIA units and with the meteorological offices along the routes to be flown and study wind currents. When they found a favorable wind they would send out a plane that was going to take pictures and listen for electronic information (ELINT), and then piggy-back their equipment and leaflets aboard. Sometimes the wind would carry these leaflets for very long distances into the Communist world and at other times the fickle currents would swirl around and drop them in all the wrong places.

In this world of gray secrecy one idea begets another, and soon the Psychological Warfare people were tying leaflets to small balloons and letting them fly deep into the denied areas, wafted by the winds and a small amount of hydrogen in each balloon. The small-balloon-phase did not last long. The weathermen with whom these psychological warriors were working told them about the huge weather balloons they sent up regularly for high altitude weather analysis. This opened new vistas, and the potential of huge balloons carrying thousands of leaflets deep into the heart of Russia captured the imagination of these clandestine operators. Soon the CIA was using more weather balloons than the weather services, and they were launching them with every turn of the winds, hoping to sprinkle all sorts of leaflets behind the Iron Curtain.

Meanwhile, border flying was getting more sophisticated, and some of the most modern planes in the Air Force and the Navy had been converted to do this legal border snooping. These aircraft, modified for long flights and equipped with electronic sensing equipment and other gear, would leave primary bases in Germany or England, fly to forward bases in Norway, Greece, and Turkey for refueling, and then fly border-skirting routes to gather information. Some of the most bizarre headlines of the nineteen fifties were made by the loss of some of these planes, which strayed too close to Soviet territory or became lost in a wind shift that took place in bad weather and then were shot down by Soviet fighters.

Although border flying, if properly carried out, was perfectly legal, attempts were made to keep these flights secret, and all kinds of cover stories were created to attempt to explain the missions of these units. At times, a marginal penetration was

flown in an attempt to photograph some target or to get a rise out of some suspected radar that was known to be in the area but had not been pinpointed. Other flights were flown in the Berlin Corridor, utilizing hidden cameras and concealed electronic equipment. But none of these efforts were really big game.

The balloon projects led to a strange development. It was learned that the very high altitude winds over the Soviet Union blew from east to west and that they were reasonably predictable. Very large high altitude sounding-balloons were tested on launches from the Pacific areas and then were relocated over the Atlantic and even over North America after having drifted across Asia.

The next step was to equip these huge balloons with cameras and other sensing devices. This whole project was an extension of the other border sounding projects and seemed to offer potentialities not found before. A large number of these very large balloons were launched, carrying cameras and other devices. Some of them made the trip and were recovered, others fell in the Soviet Union, and others just circled around, coming down almost anywhere. The information gathered by such unpredictable devices was at best of very little use. No one knew ahead of time when to activate the cameras, and even if they could have been activated on some predictable schedule, the weather was a serious factor. But these strange spy balloons did serve a real and most meaningful purpose. They had softened up the authorities to whom the ST would turn to make the next requests by laying a foundation for covert border crossing.

Once border crossing had become accepted, even though it had been accomplished on the wings of the unpredictable winds of the upper altitudes, it was not as difficult to present a program for a better upper altitude information-gathering system. Thus, all that had been done with aircraft, leaflets, psychological warfare, electronic equipment, and cameras came together in the U-2 project. Like so many things that the ST has done, there was not a plan so much as it was that opportunity knocked and the team took it from there.

The Air Force had a very successful early jet fighter called the F-80. As the F-80 got older, other types of planes and newer equipment seriously outdated it. The Lockheed Corporation, manufacturer of the F-80, came up with an F-90—a more advanced version of the tried and true F-80. But as so often happens, the timing was not just right, and the Air Force did not order the F-90. There were several other planes in the air at

the time, and the newer Century Series planes were on the way. However, Lockheed had done well with the F-80 and had made a trainer from that plane known as the T-33, which outsold all others of the time. At the same time, Lockheed had been successful in selling an F-94 intercepter to the Air Force for the Air Defense Command. So Lockheed dropped the F-90; but Kelly Johnson, the shrewd vice president of Lockheed, hated to see all that work and development effort go by the wayside. He made one more pitch to the Air Force. He proposed that a highly modified "glider" version of the F-90, with a new high altitude engine, would make a superior high-altitude reconnaissance aircraft. He brought his high-powered, very successful briefing team to the Pentagon and gave his pitch to Air Force Operations.

The Air Force was sold on this idea, and its reconnaisance personnel were delighted at the prospect of having a special all-reconnaissance plane developed for once, rather than having to convert other types of planes for that purpose. But as this "hot" briefing worked its way up through channels, it became apparent that the Air Force could not locate the funds to purchase a reconnaissance plane, because the Air Force did not have anything it could do with the plane at that time. It was one thing to take a strategic bomber B-47 from the Strategic Air Command and fly it along a border in the "open skies" for the purpose of getting some electronic information input; but it was an entirely different deal to develop a brand new plane for a mission which at best would be clandestine, except in time of war, and even then would be most hazardous.

However, many of the reconnaissance officers of the Air Force had been working closely with the CIA on these border flights, and they knew men in the CIA who might want to hear about Kelly Johnson's proposed new "glider." A top-level Air Force team gave the CIA a briefing on the plane, and during this briefing it was brought out that this ultra-high-altitude plane had the capability to fly across Russia at an altitude that would most likely be above the ability of the Russians to do anything about, even if they did happen to find out it was there. The rest is history. The Air Force agreed to develop the plane, and the CIA agreed to operate it. As a result, most of the money, the people, and the facilities that went into the project were contributed by the Air Force. The CIA operated the project as a "peacetime operation." This was a classic example of how a project that should have been military, because it was too large to be clandestine, became covert simply as an expedient. The

reasoning was that in peacetime it could not be military, because it was clandestine, so it was to be directed by the CIA, the typical Secret Team tautology.

A really magnificent camera capability was developed for this plane, along with an entirely new engine, and before too long the U-2 was operational. The Air Force and the CIA went through all the motions of keeping the whole project a secret; but all over the world, wherever it was seen, this strange plane with the big drooping wing attracted attention. The minute something new in the field of advanced aviation is discovered, all the experts—intelligence, military, and manufacturing—go after it; it would have been most unlikely that anyone who wanted to know about the U-2 did not know all he needed to know by 1955 at the very latest.

Sometimes, little things turn out to have a big and unexpected impact on such a project. It was known that a plane that flew so high would have a most difficult time if the engine should ever flame out, i.e., if the flame, which continually burns the fuel, should be extinguished for any one of several reasons. Since "flame-out" was such a major concern, it was then most important that every effort be made to keep the flame burning. It was discovered that if a small quantity of pure hydrogen was trickled through the engine's burners at all times, this would keep it burning, and the danger of flame-out would be much reduced. This meant, then, that everywhere the U-2 operated, provision would have to be made for the availability of liquid hydrogen. This gas, which is so common in its natural state, is most uncommon when liquid, and to remain liquid, it must be kept in a cryogenic state at some 240° Centigrade below zero. As a result, it is not easy to provide liquid hydrogen wherever in the world one might wish to fly a U-2 or two.

The Air Force had the job of provisioning the U-2, and it went to elaborate measures to assure the availability of liquid hydrogen. Although the movement of these planes and of their crews and other special paraphernalia was most highly classified, no one had thought to classify the movement of these special quantities of liquid hydrogen. Not too many people were actively involved in the movement of this most volatile material, but it did require the special efforts of a good number, and they soon realized that every time they were asked to deliver some liquid hydrogen to a certain remote area, the U-2s would be operating there. To a lesser degree, the same was true of the crews. They were a special breed of Air Force personnel who had agreed to be sheep-dipped and then had taken "civilian" jobs in the

program. This altered status—from military pilot to civilian pilot—made them stand out everywhere they went, because nowhere is there a more closely knit clan than that of the fighter pilot. Once others saw them in Germany or in Japan, the fact that they must be flying something special could scarcely be hidden. Their old buddies knew they were not about to be flying some charter airline's slow transport. Thus it was that even the pilot situation made concealment of this project very difficult.

At this point, the U-2 project, under the very capable Richard Bissell, became a very large, very active, and really global program. However, it was still maintained as a small clandestine operation, because if it were not a controlled clandestine operation it would have had to have been a military program, and everyone knew that the military could not operate such a military program in peacetime. By this time, the ST was getting powerful enough to control major projects, even though there was no chance of calling them truly clandestine and "plausibly deniable," as the old directives had said.

In spite of all this, the U-2s did gather some of the best information ever acquired on a gross basis. The photography obtained by the U-2 camera system is in many ways still unmatched. When some really good pictures are needed anywhere in the world even today, it is probable that the U-2 will be given the mission.

I had attended a meeting in the old headquarters of the CIA one day shortly after I had returned from a special Rand Corporation presentation on missiles. Not long after the "missile-gap period," the Rand Corporation had been asked to put on a full missile orientation course for top echelon officials of the Government. There was so much about this new age of missiles that was not known. With all the emphasis the Government brought to bear in that field, it was realized that not too many top military officers and other high officials knew much about these new weapons and the new technology involved in their manufacture and operation. When Rand had this course ready to go, that excellent organization decided to give it a dry run for the benefit of the instructors and administrative staff who would support it. A list of officers was made for the purpose of attending this dry run, and I happened to be one of those selected. The course was excellent, and later was given to a great number of people; then the whole curriculum, properly censored, was entered into the Congressional Record. Many unusual things happened during those missile-gap days.

Having just returned from this course and having attended a meeting with the Deputy Director of Central Intelligence, who at that time was General Cabell, I got into a discussion with him about the advisability of having certain high level CIA officials take that course. In the discussion, and more or less to make my point, I suggested that the CIA ought to move their cameras from the cockpit of the U-2 into the nose cone of a missile in order to place them in a surveillance orbit. I doubt that I could claim to have originated the idea, but only a few days later he called me and asked that I see about getting some spaces in the course for officials from the CIA. Not too many years later, the satellite observatories were a fact.

Because of the height at which they orbit the earth, their pictures require very special treatment, but they do have the advantage of taking pictures through very clear space until they reach the heavier layers of the atmosphere and weather below. However, on that score they have no more trouble than high-altitude aircraft, because most of the obstructions are no higher than sixty thousand feet. The principal problem with the use of satellites is that they enter a fixed orbit as soon as they are launched, and they transit certain predetermined sites on a rather random schedule. Nothing can be done to change this orbit and the schedule they fly once they are put in orbit. (There could be some limited repositioning by using additional burst of rocket power to accelerate or decelerate the satellite.) As a result, satellite observation from any given platform will not suffice to take a picture of any target at any time. The pictures must be taken at a time determined by the prearranged orbit and the time of day or night, and with some consideration of the weather. But these problems are being overcome, and it may be possible to get some information from almost any part of the earth at any time, day or night, weather or no weather, as the canopy of observation platforms increases in size, scope, numbers, and versatility.

Missile technology places a great responsibility upon the Agency to collate all information from so many sources and capabilities. The read-out problem is massive, and once these data are put in some readable form they must be indexed and made accessible through some form of retrieval system. As we pass from an era of agent activity into the newer era of machine technology, there should be little information we need that is not available to us at all times. With this as a firm prospect, the responsibility falls upon the system to prepare the data properly and to disseminate it as broadly as possible. There is a great

The CIA has the authority, or at least it is given the authority by other Government agencies, to create cover organizations within other parts of the Government. This is one of the key tasks that the old Dulles-Jackson-Correa report set out for the Agency. Having once created such units, the CIA is then able to use those units as though they were real elements of the covering organization and to do with them pretty much what it pleases. So if the CIA wants to use one hundred Army trucks, it may have one of its Air Force cover units (it could use an Army unit; but it is easier to use cross-service channels to conceal such a transaction) duly and properly requisition the trucks. In response to this order, the Army will furnish, and write off, the trucks to the Air Force. However, the Air Force won't really know that one of its units, a cover unit, has acquired these trucks; so the Air Force will not pick them up on its inventory. The trucks are then in a sort of never-never land. They are "owned" by an Air Force cover unit that the CIA has the authority to direct, and those trucks will be used as the CIA wishes and for as long as the CIA wishes. There have been cases where the CIA turned around and transferred such property to another country in a sort of a CIA-MAP project all of its own.

In this manner, only one of numerous variations, the Agency has acquired countless mountains of material, which it stockpiles, uses, loses, gives away, and just plain warehouses all over the world. Even the Agency doesn't know what it all is and where it all is. No one in the Government really knows how much the Agency has. A corollary of this statement is that the Agency has been able to stockpile money in a somewhat similar manner, because if it had money to buy trucks and then was never billed for them, it still has those funds to spend elsewhere.

With these funds that the CIA has stockpiled for its own use, it develops areas beyond those in the realm of the military and other regular branches of the Government. The Agency has a wonderful little shop called "TSS." Few know what TSS really means; but it probably means something like "Top Secret Stuff." This shop makes all kinds of James Bond trinkets. It is the place where they design briefcases that will not burn, that will blow up if someone attempts to open them the wrong way, and that will put out long spidery legs if they are released by the agent who is carrying them. And it is the shop that puts a full-blown tape recorder into a Zippo lighter case or a lady's lip-rouge container.

The TSS shop works on all kinds of unusual and very special

weapons, and it works with chemicals that can perform all manner of special tasks. It has the finest bugging devices available and the very best debugging facilities. TSS goes out into industry and has things made without telling the people who have made them what their uses will be. At one time for photographic purposes the Agency wanted to develop a brilliant floodlight that could be carried on the wingtip of an airplane in a pod. This light required so much energy to operate it that the normal electrical supply of the aircraft could not ignite it properly. The Agency then developed, with the help of a private corporation, a generator driven by a propeller attached to the pod. This small propeller was so efficient that it could drive a generator for the floodlight to illumine an entire area below the plane.

Although this was a splendid development, it was found that in a tactical situation the worst thing you could do was to send a plane into a hostile situation lit up like the sun. This would be an easy target for ground gunners. The next step was to synchronize the light and the camera shutter to the point that the flash would be so brilliant and so brief that an unwitting ground party would not realize it has blinked.

This created new problems; a whole new mated photographic and lighting system had to be developed. This was done, and Agency aircraft can now approach targets in the dark, even at times in an engine-out or engine-idling glide for silence, and take high-speed pictures without anyone on the ground knowing that they have been photographed. TSS was also able to make another advance in aerial photography. The U-2 had proved that it could fly across denied or unwitting territory without notice or without danger from attack because of its speed, altitude, and range. It was also a relatively small radar target. However, at the flight altitude at which the U-2 operated, any normal aerial camera was being pushed to its limits. The camera lens had to focus on the target area and put what it saw on film as precisely as possible. At some point the lens became better than the film paper. This meant that the image that the lens put on the paper was finer than the grade and grain of the paper. Therefore the process of enlarging such pictures and then enlarging them again and again became limited, not because the lens could not accurately transmit the image, but because the paper itself had a grain structure that began to break down the detail after a certain amount of enlargement. By working on this problem, TSS and its corporate research associates were able to create a means by which en-

largement could be carried so far, for example, that it could distinguish between an oval table or a round table of about four feet in diameter from the operational altitude of the U-2, or even higher.

This became a most useful facility in the days of the U-2; but it had not reached the zenith of its utility until the Agency went into space. Now the Spy-in-the-Sky orbital laboratories park out in space at about 110 miles mean altitude and take very valuable pictures of the earth's surface on predetermined schedules or on signals. For example, such pictures of the Chinese atomic energy facilities clearly delineate between dry drying flats and moist drying flats. The continuing variations give a fairly accurate estimate of the rate of activity at the facility.

These developments have led to policy problems that this country has not faced up to primarily because so few people really know about them. They are hardly secret from our enemies, and for that matter they are not secrets from our friends. They are the kind of secrets we keep from ourselves in order that secret operations may be continued in the hands of the ST. For example, Secretary of Defense Laird has made a strong case before the Congress on behalf of the development of the B-1 supersonic bomber, which the U.S. Air Force states it will need for the defense of the country in the decade of the eighties. As a function of his presentation to Congress, Mr. Laird gave information about a Soviet supersonic bomber, which he said had already been built and flown. As a result of the impact of this information, he drew the conclusion that the United States must get on with a project to build a bomber that would be equal to or even better than the Soviet bomber. In support of what he had been saying about the Soviet bomber he gave sufficient details of that new plane to artists to permit them to arrive at a suitable pictorial representation of it. A copy of this artists' conception of the Soviet bomber appeared in an issue of *Time* magazine and was used in that periodical as the basis for a strong article in support of a crash program to build an American supersonic bomber without delay. This whole process, which most Americans will recognize as a familiar pattern used for submarines, super-carriers, and for missiles, is intended to make everyone believe that we are behind the Russians and that we must catch up; we must close the bomber gap.

To the tune of an opening request for $11 billion, Congress is supposed to vote for production of this bomber based on the information given in limited fashion and upon a poor picture of an alleged flying aircraft.

When the stakes are so high and so costly, it is time that the intelligence community and the DOD give up this façade of secrecy. Everyone knows that the intelligence community uses cameras of great ability and that they use orbiting laboratories from which photographic canisters are dropped for recovery and development. And everyone knows that these orbiting laboratories take pictures of Soviet territory and of any other territory desired. None of these things would be done if the pictures were not excellent and if they were not getting an excellent product. Therefore, if the intelligence community has hard information about a bomber, which includes photographs of that bomber, why should it not show the actual pictures of that bomber to Mr. Laird, to the President, to the Congress, and most of all to the American public and to the whole world? What possible case can be made for keeping such things secret, especially when they are asking for $11 billion? Is the reason they do not show these pictures to Congress the fact that they do not have these pictures? And if they do not have the pictures, why not? Is it because they have been unable to find the bomber and to get a picture of it outside its hangar? Or perhaps their conjecture about the bomber is a bit premature, and the bomber is perhaps only on the Soviet drawing-boards, like too many American bombers?

Of course, there are technical problems. An orbiting photographic laboratory can only take a useful picture of such a bomber at certain optimum times in its orbiting periodic cycles. And an orbiting lab can be tracked by the Soviets, and they can hide the bomber whenever they know a satellite, suspected of being a photographic type, is due to fly by. But, by the same token, there are tactical things the intelligence community can and should be doing to get such pictures anyhow. They are not established to get second-best pictures or none at all. If the long ears of electronic intelligence and of other sensors tell us that the Russians are flying a new supersonic bomber, then there are other ways of getting its picture and of getting so much concrete information about it that we do not have to depend upon incomplete data. This is what an intelligence agency should be for, instead of a lot of other things that it would rather be for.

Such frankness openly discussed and openly aired would give up nothing to our enemies and would in the long run improve the total program. It would be most helpful and it would save billions of dollars. However, so many of these things, that the wonders of U.S. industrial capability have developed for the CIA and for its TSS, have been kept under wraps— not so much

17 MARCH 1989

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

AT THE REQUEST OF LEE M. GRAHAM I AM WRITING THIS.

OVER THE COURSE OF MANY YEARS I HAVE EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE WITH LEE ON NUMEROUS TOPICS. THESE INCLUDE BITS AND PIECES -"TIDBITS"- OF DATA ON STEALTH, UFOS, AERONAUTICAL RUMORS, ETC. AT THE SAME TIME THESE WERE PROVIDED TO LEE I ALSO MADE IT A POINT TO PROVIDE THE SAME DATA TO BEN RICH AT LOCKHEED ADP. BEN, IN TURN, PROVIDES THE MATERIAL TO AFOSI. THAT IS THE WAY IT WORKS AND HAS WORKED FOR MORE YEARS THAN I CARE TO REMEMBER. NO SURPRISES HERE.

I PROVIDED LEE WITH THE LOCKHEED STEALTH DESIGNATOR (F-117), CODENAME (SENIOR TREND) ALL BEFORE SEPTEMBER 1987. RECENTLY I PROVIDED LEE WITH PHOTOS OF PATCHES SAID TO BE SYMBOLIC OF THE STEALTH SQUADRONS.

COL. BARRY HENNESSEY HAS BEEN MY AFOSI CONTACT. (PRIOR TO HENNESSEY WAS COL. TROYER AND PRIOR WAS COL. LEO OLSEN OF LATE 1970'S). I SHOULD POINT OUT FOIA REQUESTS TO AFOSI, FBI, CIA HAVE ALL INDICATED I HAVE NEVER BEEN "INVESTIGATED."

John J. Andrews

8180 SANTA ARMINTA
SAN DIEGO, CA 92126

16 SEP 1987

DEAR LEE:

COL. HENNESSEY (BARRY) WAS IN MY OFFICE YESTERDAY — PERHAPS AT SAME TIME FBI WAS TALKING WITH YOU.

HE OPENED CONVERSATION SAYING, "I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT UFOs." I WROTE HIM A LETTER — COPY ATTACHED.

HE THEN GOT ON TOPIC OF A LOCKHEED AIRPLANE. INSTRUCTING ME TO NOT USE ITS "F" NUMBER IN FURTHER WRITING NOR ITS CODENAME. HE DID NOT ASK ME HOW I LEARNED SUCH THINGS AND I DIDN'T OFFER.

I, JUST GUESSING, FEEL YOUR FBI TALK MAY BE RELATED.

HENNESSEY SAID, "I WON'T LIE TO YOU." BUT ... HIS COMMENT ABOUT HIS NOT KNOWING ANYTHING ABOUT UFOs WAS ALREADY A LIE. HE PROFESSED TO NOT EVEN KNOW WHAT NICAP WAS.

I THINK, BASICALLY, HE IS AN ALRIGHT GUY, DOING HIS JOB, IN A GOVERNMENTAL SYSTEM SO FILLED WITH LIARS THAT THAT KIND OF CONDUCT IS NOW A GIVEN.

DIDN'T WANT TO TALK ABOUT THIS OVER THE PHONE.

Regards,

John

P.S. Okay to share this with Ron.

1992

UFO Folklore Center From CNI News

TOP AIRCRAFT DESIGNER REPORTEDLY CONFIRMED ROSWELL

[CNI News thanks William Louis (Bill) McDonald for sharing this information, which he acquired through private conversations with the late John Andrews of the Testor Corporation. McDonald, a private investigator and forensic composite illustrator who has made detailed reconstructions of the Roswell UFO based on eyewitness testimony, worked closely with Andrews on the design of Testor's Roswell UFO model kit which was released in July 1997 on the 50th anniversary of the Roswell incident. Based on McDonald's original drawings, Andrews developed the Roswell model piece by piece, and McDonald in turn created blueprints which resulted in Testor's tooling for the kit. What began as a business relationship evolved into a deep personal friendship. In time, Andrews shared information that confirmed elements of McDonald's Roswell investigation. Finally, McDonald says, he prevailed upon Andrews to ask then-ailing Ben Rich, late head of Lockheed's "Skunkworks," to describe the relationship, if any, between possibly recovered UFO materials at Roswell and advances in aircraft design that occurred starting in the mid 1950s. McDonald resolved to withhold the answers while Andrews remained alive. Andrews died earlier this year of cancer.]

By William L. McDonald

Now that legendary Lockheed engineer and Chief model kit designer for the Testor Corporation John Andrews is dead, I can announce that he personally confirmed the design connection between the Roswell Spacecraft and the Lockheed Martin Unmanned Combat Air Vehicles (UCAVs), spyplanes, Joint Strike Fighters, and Space Shuttles. Andrews was a close personal friend of Skunk Works" CEO Ben Rich, the hand-picked successor of Skunk Works founder Kelly Johnson and the man famous for the F-117 Nighthawk "Stealth" fighter, its half-pint prototype the HAVE BLUE, and the top secret F-19 Stealth Interceptor. Before Rich died of cancer, Andrews took my questions to him. Dr. Rich confirmed:

1. There are two types of UFOs -- the ones we build, and ones THEY build. We learned from both crash retrievals and actual "Hand-me-downs." The Government knew, and until 1969 took an active hand in the administration of that information. After a 1969 Nixon "Purge", administration was handled by an international board of directors in the private sector.

2. "'An' item" -- as opposed to "'The' item" -- was recovered near the world's only combat operational atom bomb base (Roswell AAF) in 1947. Hull design, aerodynamic measurements and propulsion info was passed directly to Jack Northrop and Kelly Johnson, beginning in 1950, with a major block of data being passed on from "The Working Group" at Wright Patterson AFB's Foreign Technologies Division in 1952. "'The' item" refers to the top secret designation of Kelly's original variant of the U-2 (TR-1) spy plane in Congressional and Pentagon budgets in the 1950s.

3. Nearly all "Biomorphic" aerospace designs were inspired by the Roswell spacecraft, from Kelly's SR-71 Blackbird onward to today's drones, UCAVs, and aerospace craft.

4. The inward canted vertical stabilizers of the F-19, the HAVE BLUE, many drones, some UCAVs, and the SR-71 matched the 30 degree inward cant of the Roswell spacecraft's shark fin-shaped pair of verticle stabilizers or "Winglets." The same goes for the wing camber to fusilage "Blend" of the airframe designs.

5. The outward cants of the F-22 Raptor, the F-117, the McDonnell Douglas YF-23, and the TACIT BLUE stealth concept prototypes perform similar aerodynamic functions for stability in high performance flight.

6. Rich observed that the impression Kelly Johnson's contacts had [of "Them"] was negative, and Kelly implied in rare conversations on the subject that "Factions" from "Out there" were a threat more than they were a blessing, and that the cost of having "Them" around was "Unimaginable" and "Unbelievable."

7. It was Ben Rich's opinion that the public should not be told. He believed they could not handle the truth, ever. Only in the last months of his decline did he begin to feel that the "International corporate board of directors" dealing with the "Subject" could represent a bigger problem to citizens' personal freedoms under the United States Constitution than the presence of off-world visitors themselves. Andrews passed this information on to me in stages, from 1994 until my last phone conversation with him around the Christmas holidays of 1998.

[Bill McDonald's work was featured in the SHOWTIME telefilm "Roswell." Recently he created reconstructions of the faces of two skulls being studied by Lloyd Pye --The "StarChild" Project. Bill and his wife Lori also administer a discussion forum at AlienUFO@OneList. Persons interested in joining the discussion forum can do so via the McDonald's home page ***http://www.AlienUFOart.com*** *by clicking on the ONELIST button. Email: Argonaut-GreyWolf@home.com. Phone/fax: (949) 496-8489]* August 1999

24 APR '85

LEE:

I THOUGHT YOU'D BE INTERESTED IN THIS LTR. FOR SEVERAL REASONS.

I CHOPPED A FEW THINGS OUT OF IT BECAUSE, IF BILL MOORE IS USAF INTELLIGENCE, I DON'T WANT CERTAIN CONNECTIONS DRAWN. HOWEVER, NOTHING OF CONTENT IS LOST.

Regards,

John Andrews

April 9, 1985

Dear John,

Sorry I can not be a lot of help with your most puzzling and interesting memo. I'd like to see the other pages.

I don't know ORCON at all, and have not seen the use of a PROWORD although that could make sense as the first of a multiple.

The dates are not military style and the typewriter is a rare one. I have not seen one that prints exactly like that. Note the ones, i.e. 1 or 1. Its 1 has a little tail on the bottom.

I believe the top headline may be "SUB PROJECTS etc.

It says project originally set up in 1954. In 1954 I was Commander, based in . I was given orders, in that year that required me to brief all crews that if they saw anything unfamiliar, like an UFO etc. they were to come to me and I had to send it to a certain office at USAF Hq...directly.

My crews were doing a hell of a lot of flying on regularly scheduled routes from Tokyo to San Francisco via Hawaii, and from Tokyo to Dhahran via Okinawa, Manila, Saigon, Bangkok, Calcutta, New Delhi, Karachi...and return. They saw a lot of sky.

One day a crack crew, the AC happened to be a fellow I grew up with in Massachusetts, came to me and shut the door of my office. They had just arrived back from Honolulu...a 22 hour flight. During the night they had been trailed by a flying object that flew beside them, climbed rapidly, stayed way off to the side, dashed in quickly, etc. It had all the appearances of a bona fide UFO...lights on board and all. This crew was carrying a C-54 load of passengers...many asleep but others saw the thing.

None of us wanted to report it. The crew was just about embarassed by it; but they were afraid too many of the passengers had seen it so they had to make some report. I had it typed up by a Master Sgt and we sent it to Hq. I never heard another word about it. Summer 1954.

Not long ago, Feb 85, I had a letter from a scientist formerly with the old Manhattan--Oak Ridge-crowd. A top notch man who keeps in touch with others equally experienced and equally skilled. Brilliant. He told me that one of his "group" has figured out how to make an UFO. There is a force that is missing in the array of forces. All four major forces are set up as opposites, in pairs....except for gravity. This physicist has figured out a way to make an anti-gravity device. The problem, for the layman, is that it must spin with extreme rapidity and it must wobble slightly as it does. At this point it will levitate.

These men, of unquestioned experience, are smart enough to realize that if they havebeen able to figure this out others will have been able to do the same thing and that others may have done it earlier because they may have been in a position to have their thoughts turned into action.

You may remember the classic lines on this subject by Norbert Wiener in his great book,"The Human Use of Human Beings", 1950 wherein he says that what any scientist needs to know the most is that the work he is doing and the goals he has established are not being confused by items of gibberish. If he knows something has been done or that someone else has figured something out, then he can do it. Secrecy is stupid. It may well be that enough people have found that an UFO is real and being real then they know how to do it too. By the way if you do not know that Wiener book you ought to look for it. It is a small paperback A Doubleday Anchor Book published 1950 and 1954...same dates!!!!

Sorry I am no more help than that.

Have you seen the

Will send copies if you do not have them around.

Hope you'll send me more on this mystery. It is interesing.

As ever,

It is not the managers responsibility to keep track on this musical storage game. So if some one comes to us demanding the use of their own storage, the items <u>will be</u> dumped!.

R/R/C

cc: Walter

Don't know how this ever got out. He was granted total anonymity.

Credibility unknown

STF - 8/5/87 5:30 PM.

"The Agency" Rise + Decline of CIA.
John Ranelagh

Ben Rich has advance copy of Deep Black, apparently "finished" was in + relet from final copy.

UFO photo

DMSP satellite / 250 miles. Over the satellite 8x10 neg.

1978 Sea of Japan. 2" object 4 jet streams

article Jan '87 OMNI

Archives will cont. doc. but w/ reservations.

21 July 1989

DEAR LEE:

THIS LETTER FROM FLETCH PROUTY, ESPECIALLY MARKED AREA OF p. 2, SHOULD BE OF INTEREST.

PASS ALONG TO BILL MOORE. THIS MIGHT BE A GOOD LEAD. IF WE CAN FIND GLAZEBROOK IN A TELEPHONE DIRECTORY OR PERSONNEL LIST OF THE PERIOD (MID/EARLY '50'S) WE MIGHT DISCOVER THE COVER NAME FOR THE UNIT.

Regards,

John Andrews

ENCL: Prouty ltr. of 14 July '89 to Andrews

4201 Peachtree Place, Alexandria, VA 22304 July 14, 1989

Dear John,

As a lover of anything aeronautical I am just delighted with your photos of the F-117A. I had seen others in the July 3 Aviation Week. These are so much better.

Not to be out-done we have "The Little Black Cat." This is no time for cat's whiskers in strange places. I don't know why this hit me right at this time; but...on the Black Cat theme...had you noted that the trial lawyer who "made" the case for Hugh Liedtke, Pennzoil pres and long-long time Bush friend, 1949, when he took that shot at Texaco for $11 billion, was Arthur Liman. He did not get the publicity, nor did he want it; but the insiders credit him with that squeaker victory. Moral: Liman saves Bush's friend Liedtke. Later Liman saves Bush et al.

Not much later the Congress decides to look into the troubles in Iran. This becomes an Iran-Hostage affair. In steps Meese and obfuscates the deal by making it an Iran-Contra affair. The Congressional Committees, to clear the air properly, retain an entirely "neutral" outisde lawyer...one Arthur Liman. Having just saved Liedtke he now has the assignment of saving Bush's hide along with Reagan's, Weinberger's and Shultz'. Pretty good work for a young New Yorker. He did just that too.

Now if Kitty Tom Kat had been on the job he might have found out what kind of skull duggery was going on right here out in the public. About $60 billion was finessed through the tubes.

Of all the folks I know, you have made an exquisite career of skirting the thin line around National Security. This reminds me that when our highly experienced Director of Central Intelligence, one Richard Helms, was under oath before a Senate Committee he was asked to define "National Security."

His response, as printed in a report of the Hearings, August 1978 was to the effect that despite his long service for the government, and despite the pre-eminence of his job at CIA, he did not know the definition and he had never seen a good one.

That's enough for me. If Dick Helms can not define the term, then someone ought to be given the task of doing just that, or...failing that...they ought to quit using "National Security" as a sacred symbol to bring down the wrath of the gods.

Your symbol of the "Frog" is no joke. Of course you know that. You may have read the article on p.55 of the July Harper's. If not, I recommend it. It tells about how bad our newspapers and media are. Actually the article is soft...they are worse.

I have written to Harper's saying that I seriously suggest that the newspapers today are violating the First Amendment because they are so seriously falling down on the job. I propose that news...that's it...news papers be prohibited from carrying advertising. Let them print real, valuable news and compete with each other on that basis alone.

When newspapers were first created we did not have radio and TV. Radio and TV are perfectly capable of carrying advertising. In fact they do little else anyhow.

If newspapers were prohibited from carrying advertising that would save the equivalent of a forest of trees a day, it would cut the nation's trash load by 50%, and it would make the real news section easier to read. At least radio and TV don't destroy trees, they destroy minds...but who cares about that?

Been looking at your F-117A some more. Look how high that tail is and how the entire empennage is so cranked up. It must land at about a 60 degree angle. I'll bet the wing tips are all but on the ground as it reaches out for touch down.

Stealth is a phoney. No matter about radar. The plane makes a lot of noise and it produces heat. That is all you need.

By the way, have you noted that Messerschmitt has proposed modifying an Airbus A300 to fly with Hydrogen? All they propose to do is modify the fuselage a little for the H-containers. I believe this is the fuel of the future. I have been a member of the Hindenburg Society for years and use every chance I have to exploit it.

OK...now the reason for this letter. At long, long last I have found a man who used to work in the "Blue Room." He fakes it out now that he was not there; but I know people who know he was. That's how I got his name. He was a cracker-jack aircraft maintenance man with an early P-80 outift at Langley. One day he got an assignment...all of a sudden...to Wright Patt. He was assigned to the UFO business with the "Blue Room." I don't know whether you and your friends can go further with this; but his name is: GLAZEBROOK...good thing it is not a common name. He was a Lt at Langley and was at Wright Patt as a Lt.

Would you like to be the test pilot for the B-2?

I hope you and Gene Mallary can get things going. He is a great guy whom I first met in 1941. He is for real.

Ciao...

Fletch

L. Fletcher Prouty

MR. LEE M. GRAHAM
426 W. MAPLE
MONROVIA, CA
91016

INDUSTRY, CA 917 58
PM
25 JUL
1989



MR. BILL MOORE
4219 W. OLIVE
#247
BURBANK, CA
91505

PHILIP J. KLASS
404 "N" ST. SOUTHWEST
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20024
(202) 554-5901

April 21, 1988

Dear John Andrews:

Let us review the bidding on the "Al Frickey" matter.

(1) On Jan. 20, I sent you an article authored by a person who used the pseudonym of "Al Frickey". I asked if you had "any idea who this 'Al Frickey' might be" i.e. who the person was who had authored the article.

(2) In response to this question, which you restated in your reply of Jan. 22, you said: "Yes. [indicating you knew who the author was.] First, it is not John Andrews." Then came your "fun and games."

(3) You wrote: "Al Frickey was very much involved with the A-12, D-21 and SR-71 programs...He spent a great deal of time at Groom Lake....Al Frickey seems to have disappeared. Perhaps he has retired..." CLEARLY YOU WERE TRYING TO CONFUSE AND MISLEAD IN RESPONSE TO MY ORIGINAL QUESTION. Had you been candid and forthright, you could have replied that "Al Frickey" was a code name used at one time by persons working on highly classified programs to convey information over open telephone lines.

(4) In your most recent letter (April 17) you write: "You [PJK] asked me if I had any idea who this Al Frickey might be and I told you...I told you the truth." Clearly your idea of "the truth" and mine are drastically different. You tried to mislead me and to cause me to waste my precious personal time on a wild goose-chase. How very thoughtless and inconsiderate.

(5) You state that the CIA philosophy you quote is "real, not alleged," and base that claim on info supplied by a "man who...was mixed [up] with the CIA." That's your problem--you opt to believe almost everything wild that you are told. Francis Bacon summed it up well: A CREDULOUS MAN IS A DECEIVER.

(6) Naturally, you are entirely within your rights to decline to respond to my question about Col. Hennessey's visit, and I do not criticize you for same. (I already knew the purpose of this visit was not to discuss UFOs, and that you eagerly raised that subject.) You (proudly?) report that you were visited "by OSI in the morning and FBI in the afternoon." Alas, I am not "so honored" by such investigative agencies.

THIS TROUBLES ME, LEE. HOW DOES KLASS KNOW THIS? IS THERE A KLASS-HENESSEY CONNECTION?

I wonder if you are less evasive and more honest in replying to their questions than you are to mine?? You conclude that I "don't have any fun in this business..." I don't find it fun to deal with evasive persons who think it fun to mislead and to avoid being candid. Apparently you do.

26 April 1988

Dear Phil:

I had to dry your letter of 21 April before reading. It seems the alligator tears had moistened it badly.

In our exchange you are the one who has benefitted. You got the Frickeyian information.

Then you quote an asshole...Francis Bacon...in some sort of effort to do what? But then, you might quote Bacon...

Your letter was marvelous. You still make me smile.

And last but certainly not least the "CIA philosophy" debate is written in the historical record of CIA activity and not in "...everything wild that you(I) are told." The philosophy is a tactic; it buys time. As a tactic it is neither right or wrong. It merely <u>is</u>.

Stay well my friend.

Sincerely,

John

John Andrews

27 April 1988

Freedom of Information Act Request/Appeal
(5 U.S.C. 552)
DALE L. HARTIG
Chief, Office of Information
and Public Affairs
Defense Investigative Service
1900 Half St., S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20324-1700

Dear Mr. Hartig:

Sir, I have been asked by Mr. RON REGEHR to make this request to you with regard to his file; a copy of which he had asked to be sent to me.

When I received a copy of his file from you (see enclosure A-15); I turned that file over to Mr. Regehr only to have him state to me that a great quantity of information was missing.

He reports that there is no record of a security clearance for him from 1967 until May of 1986; a great period of time in which he was asked by the Aerojet ElectroSystems Co., 1100 W. Hollyvale St., Azusa, CA, 91702, to work with classified information as a Specifications Writer.

He also states that on 18 Sep. 1986 he was interviewed IN THE SECURITY OFFICES OF AEROJET ELECTROSYSTEMS by two individuals (JOE MOUSSIAUX and MAT BARTELLINI) who posed as agents for the Defense Investigative Service.

He reports that at that interview he was asked a number of questions with regard to this document (see enclosure B) that I have come to call the "SNOWBIRD" document.

He relates that he informed these alleged agents on 18 Sep. 1986 that he was the individual who in fact stamped the "UNCLASSIFIED" stamp on this document and that he "seeded" the document into his file by affixing his signature thereto; a xerox copy of which these agents had reproduced on one of our companies machines for their records.

As attested to in my letter to you (see enclosure A-1); "I was present at part of this interview in which (again) questions were asked about this document (see enclosure B)." Your Office, in fact, acknowledged receipt of one of these letters. See enclosure A-6 in response to letter A-4 and A-5).

Sir, I am hoping that the missing parts from Mr. Regehr's file may be accounted for simply as an accident and NOT as a deliberate attempt to falsify government documents.

Seeking clarification in this regard; I respectfully request (under the Freedom of Information Act 5 U.S.C. 552) the following-

1. The COMPLETE file on Mr. RON REGEHR as he has so requested in this letter (see enclosure A-13) INCLUDING those records that were created by the alleged Defense Investigative Service Agents JOE MOUSSIAUX and MAT BARTELLINI on 18 Sep. 1986, in the security offices of Aerojet ElectroSystems.

2. That record that identifies JOE MOUSSIAUX and MAT BARTELLINI as agents of the Defense Investigative Service and acting on that agencies behalf, in their interview of Mr. RON REGEHR and myself (Mr. LEE M. GRAHAM) on 18 Sep. 1986, in the security offices of Aerojet ElectroSystems.

3. In the absence of the above indicated (missing) records; I respectfully request a notorized affidavit that no one in your office has removed any and/or transferred records from the file of Mr. RON REGEHR.

4. If records have been removed and/or transferred; I respectfully request the identity of those records transferred and the identity of the agency to which they were transferred.

5. I respectfully request a copy of that record that delineates the penalties for falsifying and/or removing a document created by the Defense Investigative Service.

6. I respectfully request a copy of that regulation that permits the Defense Investigative Service to evade the 10 day response indicated in the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). It took months and numerous unnecessarily abusive and expensive phone calls to your Office to even get you to admit that you might consider responding to Mr.

Regehr's request.

I trust that I can expect a prompt response this time.

Copies of this letter are being sent to my Rep. CARLOS J. MOORHEAD, CARROL KOEHLER (FBI), ROBERT H. BLETCHMAN (Attorney at Law), and LARRY BRANSCUM (Office of the Vice President of the United States).

Thank you for your time Sir.

Sincerely,

Lee M. Graham
526 W. Maple
Monrovia, CA 91016

FROM: JOHN ANDREWS

SUBJ: EVENING NEWS, LONDON, ENG., 21 JULY 1987

RE: VATICAN / UFO

MOST OF YOU ARE NOW FAMILIAR WITH THE ARTICLE SUPPLIED BY TIMOTHEY GOOD TO LUCIAN FARRISH OF THE U.F.O. CLIPPING SERVICE. WHEN I FIRST SAW THE ARTICLE I SAID, "GOOD!" AND PROCEEDED TO PASS IT ABOUT.

I THEN WROTE A LETTER, ON 10 SEPTEMBER 1987, TO THE FATHER MENTIONED IN THE ARTICLE – FR. PIERRE THOREL AT THE VATICAN UNIVERSITY. NO REPLY WAS FORTHCOMING.

LATER I CONTACTED THE EDITOR OF A REGIONAL CATHOLIC MAGAZINE, THE SOUTHERN CROSS, HERE IN SAN DIEGO. DAN PITRE (619-574-6394) WAS ENLISTED TO HELP VERIFY THE STORY. I SUPPLIED HIM WITH THE ARTICLE AND HE, IN TURN, CONTACTED THE CATHOLIC MEDIA OFFICE IN NEW YORK.

THEY HAD HEARD OF THE STORY. APPARENTLY THERE IS NO FR. PIERRE THOREL, THERE IS NO NEW CHAIR AT THE ALFONSIAN ACADEMY IN ROME, AND THERE IS NO EFFORT TO DEVELOP "... MISSIONARIES IN SPACE..." THE ARTICLE AND QUOTE FROM THOREL IS BOGUS. THE ONLY VERIFIED FACT IS THAT AUSTRIAN PROFESSOR RESCH COMES TO THE VATICAN UNIVERSITY TWICE A YEAR AND GIVES A TALK ON THE PARANORMAL.

SORRY, CREW. IT PROVES THE REASON TO VERIFY WHATEVER WE HEAR. I, TOO, WAS TAKEN IN ... BUT ONLY FOR A LITTLE WHILE.

Regards,

To: Fellow UFO researchers
From: John Andrews
Date: 29 November 1987

Subj: Public Information on UFO Topic

On the weekend of 9 November 1987 an important meeting was held in Alamosa/Crestone area of Colorado. It was, for me, one of the most intense weekends I've ever spent.

Approximately 13 people came together at a location which itself has a historical record of UFO sightings, cattle mutilation, and strange sounds in the mountains themselves.

The people who met there brought individual involvement and experience in UFO research. I watched carefully for anyone who was, what I would call, a "true believer." Instead I found healthy skepticism, a questioning attitude and, perhaps most importantly, a sense of humor.

It isn't always easy to have a sense of humor in relation to the UFO topic. And the deeper one gets into the topic the more serious it becomes. Government attitudes and secrecy lead to a certain amount of frustration and some of the more bizarre aspects of the UFO phenomena can impart a certain negative feeling about the research. That has begun to show on a number of our fellows. We should discuss this.

I have now had two of the 13 people from the Alamosa meeting indicate that perhaps we should take what we have learned and not proceed in making further public revelation; that, perhaps, it is better the general public not be told the total story. Let's look at that.

There are two clear aspects in that conclusion. The first is that <u>we elect to hide the truth from our brothers</u>. The other aspect is that any shrinking away from our stated and well known thrust to uncover the truth to the UFO story will cause some to say, "See, I told you. There is <u>nothing</u> to the UFO stories." By not arriving at some conclusion we will appear to be taking a way out of this subject. The Phil Klasses of the world would be delighted. Intellectually, we simply can't remove ourselves from making a statement about what we believe to be the truth. There is an old saying that goes (and paraphrased by your's truly), "Be careful in what you seek to find out...you may just find it!" It is possible we have done precisely that.

For years many of us have labored to get at the UFO truth. We have cussed at the government and their control of information. We know for a fact that we can't access all government documents on the topic and that much remains hidden from public view. It was that <u>very</u> governmental attitude which caused many of us to

-more, please-

pursue the topic. We wanted to know and as citizens of this great land we feel we have every right to know. Now, armed with private research which we feel valid, some of us are having second thoughts about going further forward, going public.

As a nation and as a people we have just gone through an exercise where members of our government decided to not tell the Congress what they were doing. Lies and deception were the order of the day as a few people took upon themselves the assumed right to hide the facts not only from the people but also from the duly elected representatives of those same people. It was a study in arrogance and action outside the Constitutional structure of this nation.

It was also a case of a few deciding that the Congress and the people could not handle the truth. And, by keeping things secret, there was no effort to make a case in support of their actions. A stance of superior intelligence and understanding of the problem was assumed by those whose position allowed them to function in secret. That, to me, was a reprehensible stance. It was not American nor was it a healthy view of our laws.

All of us have objected to the censor's brush. Who has the right to decide what I can read or witness? Isn't that precisely what we are about to do if, after coming so far, we elect to "...protect the public from the truth..." as regards the UFO issue? What makes us so superior to our fellows that we decide what is good or bad for <u>them</u>? Certainly there is a moral issue. But are <u>we</u> superior in <u>our</u> moral values? In this nation we have the right to disagree and debate amongst ourselves. We have no right to censor information which may be of value to our brothers. And, if the results are so extreme and so bizarre, <u>is it not morally more correct to allow people time to prepare</u>? Perhaps we need to ask this question of our government also.

The other aspect of all this is our intellectual integrity. We simply cannot drop the issue before taking it to a conclusion, bathe it in moralistic reasons, and do a lateral arabesque out of the issue. Have we reached a totally provable and truthful conclusion on the UFO topic? Or, are there so many loose ends that in order to not appear as fools we elect to take a public stance of superior knowledge and "...it is so awful that I can't talk about it. <u>You</u> wouldn't understand." That is the position taken by charlatans and witch doctors and politicians. It is, in my opinion, the very same attitude we have protested. I might just discover that I'm totally in error in my beliefs relative to UFOs. I might also have <u>to admit to being in error</u> and, for years, having chased a hallucination...a mind trick. Well, folks, that is what makes us human. We err. It is okay. Hopefully I'll be able to laugh at myself and, equally hopefully, have friends who will forgive me and laugh with me.

-more, please-

I, for one, will attempt to be totally honest about it. Honesty and mutual trust, my friends, is all we have. It is all the world has - these are the things which allow a society to function. Lose honesty and trust and all is lost.

What's the worst case scenario? That we will die? That is a given. As far as I know sooner or later we are all going to die. The only questions are when and how? What if is not the issue. A little simple? Aren't most things? It is our thought processes which which make things complicated. We mentally keep turning things over and over, rearranging, contorting and sometimes distorting.

Are the bizarre aspects of the UFO topic the truth? Or are they tales turned over and over, rearranged, contorted and distorted? Is there anyone making big bets that the bizarre tales are true? I mean big bets! How do we test? Isn't scientific truth testable? Isn't it repeatable? Are we dealing in scientific laws or are we dealing with theorems? There is a huge difference and we as investigators need to recognize that.

What if Phil Klass and his ilk are correct and we are wrong? How will each of us handle that? Is there anyone who has the total truth? Repeatable and testable? A "show me" attitude is not all that bad. A "belief system" is not what we should be after. Total truth should be our goal. If there is a debate going on within the government about the release of the truth, then our actions may be supportive of the "tell the public" faction. Is that bad?

There is something going on in the broad topic and phenomena we call UFOs. I'm still not certain what it is. I'm really interested in finding out. I think my fellow man is also very interested. I also think, for the most part, he can handle it, plan for it and accept the truth. We merely have to be sure we know what we are talking about. Anything less than that is not good enough. I believe we should go public - but only when we have solid information. Anything less then that must be stated to be conjecture and logical assumption. We must maintain the integrity of the research and of ourselves. Let others lie.

I'd like to hear your point of view. You have mine.

Regards,

John

John Andrews

8180 Santa Arminta
San Diego, CA 92126-1243

24 OCT 1987

BILL MOORE:

BILL, HERE IS SOME CORRESPONDENCE I THOUGHT YOU SHOULD HAVE.

PHIL KLASS' DISCUSSIONS ON THE EXTRA COMMA IN THE DATELINE ON THE MJ-12 PAPERS AND YOUR PERSONAL USE OF A SIMILAR STYLE IS A VERY GOOD POINT ON HIS PART. SOONER OR LATER YOU MUST DEAL WITH THE ISSUE AS WELL. PERHAPS YOU'VE BEEN SET UP?

DAMMIT! I HOPE ALL THIS CRAP REACHES A HEAD SOON.

Regards,

John Andrews

ENCLS. 5

P.S. THERE IS A DEEP UNDERGROUND COMPLEX AT MEDINA ANNEX — USAF DOES NOT ADMIT TO IT HOWEVER. BUT IT IS THERE — AND SO ARE THE AC & W "UFO" RADAR TAPES.

Mr. Phillip J. Klass
404 "N" St. Southwest
Washington, D.C. 20024

24 September 1987

Dear Phil:

BILL MOORE

THE ISSUE OF THE COMMAS IN PHIL KLASS' CASE AGAINST THE MJ-12 DOCUMENTS IS, PERHAPS, HIS STRONGEST ARGUMENT. (BELOW)

I have your's of 20 September with the errant commas. Yes, you have a definite point that requires a close look. I kick myself because I should have seen this as well.

There is an issue here that requires clarification. And that is that there was indeed an organization called MJ-12 that was being sent radar tapes from various AC & W Air Force radars. One of the units was based in Sinop, Turkey. I knew that to be a fact almost 4 years ago - long before the MJ-12 documents.

I've enclosed 2 pages from a letter I wrote to John Lear. I believe you and he spent some time on your boat about 6 weeks ago . It should provide you with a position paper on the views of your's truly and my view of much of the so-called research.

Also, I'm enclosing pages from 2 Ronald Reagan speeches. One was delivered to the students at Fallston High School in Fallston, Maryland and the other only this week before the UN.

I think the highlighted paragraphs are interesting. Their placement is interesting as well...near the end of the speech. Who was the speech writer? Ronnie's thoughts? You are in D.C., Phil. Is there "something" here or merely a way to make a point? I'm fascinated by these...used twice and the first time the statements were picked up by the media and highlighted by the wires.

You don't bother me with your efforts. I just hope your mind isn't so closed on this topic that you fail to consider all the possibilities...and there are many.

Sincerely,

John Andrews

8180 Santa Arminta
San Diego, CA 92126-1243

Encls.

SOONER OR LATER, BILL, YOU WILL HAVE TO ADDRESS THAT ISSUE. I NOTED IN YOUR OCT. FOCUS PAGES YOU DID NOT TOUCH ON THE MILITARY DATE COMMAS.

Regards,
J.

PHILIP J. KLASS
404 "N" ST. SOUTHWEST
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20024
(202) 554-5901

ct. 13, 1987

Dear John Andrews:

Thanks for yours of Oct. 8. A few questions about NJ/MJ-12-/Sinop: (Nope, I am not going to ask for Mr. X's name or otherwise seek to identify him.)

1. At the time of this telephone conversation, I presume you were not in the military service or otherwise cleared for Top Secret?

2. Did Mr. X know that you were not so-cleared?

3. Was Mr. X himself then subject to security regulations as either a member of one of the military services or employed by a defense contractor?

4. If you were not cleared, and Mr. X knew it, did he offer any reason why he was violating security regs to mention/discuss it with you. For instance, did he say: this needs to be known by the public? Or was it more casual, such as "by the way, have you heard the latest scuttlebutt..."

5. What communications link was being used, i.e. ordinary commercial telephone, or secure military telephone? If the former, was it via submarine cable, HF radio, satellite? Were both of you using a scrambler?

6. If you were using commercial telephone and <u>not</u> using a scrambler, did Mr. X express any concern about what he was discussing on an "open link"?

7. Did Mr. X swear you to secrecy about what he had told you about NJ-12/MJ-12 BEFORE telling you, or AFTER?

As for the possibility that UFOs might be real but not extraterrestrial, I have long suspected that they are built in Sri Lanka, in a secret facility constructed by Hitler during WW-II. Another possibility is that the Scots are building UFOs in a secret facility under Loch Ness, and that Nessie reports are really UFOs emerging from the factory.

Meanwhile, we leave Thursday for 10 days of bareboat sailing in the British Virgin Islands--smack dab in the center of the Bermuda Triangle. Hope I'm abducted by a UFO there so I will have material for my new book: "Imagine Me An Abductee!!" Talked to an official of company who published "Communion" who expressed definite interest.

Cheers,



Mr. Phillip J. Klass
404 "N" St. Southwest
Washington, D.C. 20024

8 October 1987

Dear Phil:

Yes, MJ-12/Sinop was in the context of UFO related conversation. It was a phone conversation and I wrote down what sounded like NJ-12 to me in that conversation. I also learned where the tapes were being sent. I contacted the particular USAF Command and have a letter stating they have no record of an NJ-12 or MJ-12.

The problem is, of course, that they can deny anything they wish. Or, worse, if the contact point is not cleared for access to that acronym he can't help you either.

The fact is the statement came from a guy who was there in Turkey sending the damn stuff to MJ-12! And, no, I'm not going to give you his name.

With the pages of my letter to Lear and my letter to you of 24 September 1987 you have enough to pursue.

I suggest you get a copy of "Report From Iron Mountain on the Possibility of Peace." Published in 1967 by Dial Press of New York with introductory material by Leonard C. Lewin. Library of Congress catalog number 67-27553. It introduces another possibility that UFOs are indeed real but not extraterrestial. We must look at all possibilities, musn't we?

I have little else to say. Stay well, my friend.

Sincerely,

John

John Andrews

8180 Santa Arminta
San Diego, CA 92126-1243

18 October 1987

Dear Phil Klass:

'Have your's of 13 October 1987.

Looking at your 7 questions causes me to ask only one: What's your game, Phil?

I think Pete Bulban is correct. You electronic types are a little strange...best left alone...to do their own thing.

If you are looking for some sort of irrefutable logic to the conduct of human beings you are in for a very big surprise - even when dealing with so-called TOP SECRET data. There is a thing such as free will. It isn't always predictable nor does it always function the way we would like it to.

You may wish to also believe every military officer who has dealt with classified information gets a separation briefing when he leaves active duty. Now, understand that they are supposed to. But honest, Phil, they don't. Hard to believe? The military is full of screw ups.

It is amazing how you attempt to make a criminal case out of something you don't believe in? Do I detect some sort of weird dichotomy? Eh, perhaps it is not weird at all... Perhaps the contrarian has given himself away.

I must correct you. Nessie is not a UFO but rather a USO. Before we can solve a problem we must be sure we have properly defined it. Enjoy your trip in the Bermuda Triangle.

Sincerely,

John Andrews

8180 Santa Arminta
San Diego, CA 92126

ANDREWS
8180 SANTA ARMINTA
SAN DIEGO, CA 92126

FIRST CLASS

SAN DIEGO, CA 921
PM
24 OCT
1997



MR. BILL MOORE
4219 W. OLIVE ST. #247
BURBANK, CA 91505

LTR RCVD 10 MAY 1987
LTR TO: JOHN ANDREWS FROM
FLETCH PROUTY.

RECEIVED MAY 1987

4201 Peachtree Place
Alexandria, VA 22304

May 12, 1987

Dear John:

Now we're cooking! I like the approach and the substance of your latest letter of May 6th. Not that others haven't been as good and perhaps better; but this has the stuff of good work and good thinking. This is the way to solve these problems.

I don't know if I have ever told you; but I do a lot of work with law firms that have tons of government paper on "Discovery" and from "FOIA". They just do not know how to read it and to squeeze little things out. Such as you are doing. It has been a rather big effort on my part and I spend a lot of time on it. I have worked for foreign law firms as well as US and have helped to win cases overseas. It's fun, and pays well.

Now back to facts:

1) These documents appear to be official. I have no trouble with them as far as they go. Some lawyer ought to get a judge to force the government to produce legible documents in the FOIA process. It is just stupidity that they do not.

The government uses a gauze-like substance over the copier to produce these bad copies. Let the judge ask, "Show me documents you do internally in your day to day use of the machine and let me compare them with what you give us in FOIA." The government has the best copiers and for themselves they make the best copies. Look at the copies the government lawyers have and see if you ever see any like the ones they give us. I say this to all my lawyers and some day I will get the correct lawyer/judge mix and we'll break this technical obfuscation.

I know a nephew of Robert Cutler. If you have any good Cutler stuff I might see if he has an archival material in the family.

You might keep in mind that Twining, in 1954, had Captain Pat Gray, USN working right in his immediate office. Pat Gray is an unusual fellow and went on to be the FBI Director. He also had Col Ed Nigro, USAF, who was very close to Howard Hughes. Later became President of Air West. In 1954 the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff was not a Cabinet member and was not a regular attendee of Cabinet meetings. Ike figured he could hanlde military matters. Therefore this invitation to Twining has to be considered something special.

From the Cutler, July 14, 1954 memo I am not able to figure out the MJ-12 SSP designator. Keep looking for it in as many cross references as possible. We'll smoke it out by random relationships.

2) Re the July 16, 1954 Cabinet Meeting. A two hour meeting is not common. It had to be something special. Ike may not have kept the full cabinet there. The system then in practice was to have topics discussed in reverse order of classification with the lowest first and the highest last. Then as the first subjects were finished those people would be invited to leave until the final group was a very tight, top security unit. This makes the meetings longer but holds the best for last.

3) I recall nothing about Project Aquarius. However I note something at the bottom of the document. It was prepared by MJ-12 and MJ-12 was solely responsible for the subject matter.

It is my theory that MJ-12, in this connection, referred to the twelfth man on the MJ-12 list. Therefore MJ-12 is:

> Berkner, Lloyd Viel 1905-1967. American physicist and engineer, b. Milwaukee, Wisc. Naval officer; served in Bureau of Lighthouses(1927-1928), (1930 1933), Carnegie Institution (1933-1951). Known for study of atmosphere, esp. ionosphere, and radio propagation; helped develop radar systems, esp. the Distant Early Warning system; proposed (1950) International Geophysical Year (1957-1958).

That is an important man, and he is MJ-12.

I can buy the "Stamps" and other items. They look like papers I recall at the same time. Ike was a very military-type guy with correspondence. This appears to be typical.

You will recall that 1954 is the same year that my crew told me they saw an object between Midway and Tokyo over the Pacific. I did all the forms required and the interrogations, wrapped it all up and sent it to the office that handled the stuff and never heard another word. All in spring 1954.

4) Re "Executive Correspondence", I note it too was prepared by MJ-12. That may be the man (Berkner).

I have no idea what that little symbol is and have not seen it before.

5) Re the "Hillenkoetter" briefing. Note the Adm is designated (MJ-1) and he is listed in the #1 slot among the twelve. He was the DCI at the time. The CIA had been created by the National Security Act of 1947 (July 26th enactment).

Vannevar Bush is MJ-2. 1890-1974. American electrical engineer, b. Everett, Mass. Professor (1919-1938), dean of engineering and vice-president (1932-1938), M.I.T.; president, Carnegie Institution of Washington (1939-1955); director, Office of Scientific Research and Development (1941-1946). Built differential analyzer, pioneer analog computer (1928)

Forrestal...first Secretary of Defense...was MJ-3. Replaced Aug 1950 by the then DCI General W.B.Smith.

Note, there were four DCI's on the MJ-12 group:
Hillenkoetter
Vandenberg
Smith
Souers

I'll bet no other Board ever had as many DCI's at one time.

Twining, Chairman JCS is MJ-4
Vandenberg then C of S,USAF is MJ-5

Detlev Wulf Bronk 1897-1975 is MJ-6. American Physiologist and educator, b. New York City. Professor, Swarthmore (1926 1929), U. of Pennsylvania (1929-1949), head of Neurology there (1936-1940, 1942-1949); president of Johns Hopkins U. (1949-1953), Rockefeller Inst. for Medical Research, now Rockefeller U. (1953-1968). Chairman, National Research Council (1946-1950); president, National Academy of Sciences (1950-1962). [High powered guy for any group]

Jerome Hunsaker...I seem to recall he was an aerodynamics specialist...is MJ-7.

Admiral Sidney W. Souers formerly DCI, for a brief period in 1946, is MJ-8. Souers had been deputy chief of ONI in 1945. Served as Executive Secretary of the NSC, 1947-1950.

Gordon Gray is MJ-9. Worked closely with Ike on NSC staff.

Donald Hensel is MJ-10. I can find nothing on him. He may be a key figure to flesh out the sense of the group. See what can be learned about him.

Gen Robert M. Montague is MJ-11. Nothing on him.

Dr Lloyd Berkner is MJ-12, see above.

This is an unusually high-powered group. The more we can learn about them the more we can figure out the reason for the group.

Men like this write and get featured in other things. Do all possible to run these things down. See what appears in scientific works, etc. This will produce valuable clues. These men were put together for an important reason.

June 24, 1947 the major sighting. I was teaching at Yale then and recall lengthy discussions from students about this. I was teaching aeronautics and this was beyond anything we could handle. It was all speculation. The students ate it up.

6) Re the June 24 memo from the CIA. Project [A] would appear to be Aquarius. R-2 might be the designator of the Vice President. Note "White House requested info".

Note use of "MJ-3 advised". That must be Gen Smith, then the DCI. Smith was the DCI from Oct 7, 1950 to February 9, 1953 when he was folowed by Allen Dulles. Smith moved to Deputy Sec State then. So this memo must be between those dates. The note is signed "MJ-5" for Vandenberg. [That's like Lemay telling Goldwater "no".

This brings up something to watch. This is signed by MJ-5 and if MJ-5 is Vandenberg who was then C/S, USAF, why was he using CIA stationary? This may upset some of the above identities, or may mean something else. Some of these papers may not be true copies. You caught this with your "Zip Code" note.

I know all the codes for the 5412/2 Group and all the others. The prior groups were such as 10/2. I have never heard of MJ-12 in any context, except at the Last Supper. They went from Mark to Judas...12 buddies.

My guess is that most of this is real. The set of names is the most important clue. There is no way 12 men could hide their role in something like this. They had to be there for a functional reason. The radar guy, the physiologist, the designer, the DCI's, etc. There has got to be a pattern. This is like the "Bridge of St Louis Rey". Why were they all together? It is even something like that clever book, "Last Man At Arlington".

Reur earlier Apr 28th, the Anchorage item is interesting. Why the shape? and the four contrails on such a shape?

The question about the M.6 plus job...some time ago I had told you, and Graham about this fellow who spoke to Rotary. Then I made a mistake and took a name from the wrong Rotarty Bulletin. Later you told me it did not check out; so I rechecked and got the right guy. I sent that to you, and for Graham. I have not heard any more.

I would be interested to know if that guy, the right one, later denied

denied that he ever made the Scram-Jet, Mach 6 speech to our Rotary Club. It was after that speech that he positively told me that the military plane that you believe operates out of Nevada to Okinawa was providing his commercial project with a lot of essential inputs. That should all check out. He said it and without any hesitation.

We operated C-97's and other aircraft out of the Weisbaden Air Base, sometimes out of Rhein Main. When they had special types on board we would seal the windows so they would not know where they were. This was not unusual.

CIA operated out of the I.G.Farbin (Solvay Chemical) Building in Frankfurt for years. They had the whole building. I worked there many, many times. All the U-2 crowd worked out of Weisbaden, among other places.

These hearings are going to break loose. The big money makers were doing it with drugs in Central America and with the international arms merchants into Iran. Canadians and Turks were the big operators. Walsh may be the guy to really crack it. The Congressmen do not know how to ask the questions and they hired the wrong lawyers for the job. The lawyers are more on the other side and will not put out for the Congressmen.

Meese's "Contra Aid" story is a diversion and Secord's "Commercial Cut-out" is a farce. Why have a cut-out at all when you bring a bible with a note from Ronnie? What's to cut-out? Secord was in it for the money and was the tool of big operators. North and McFarlane got took. Poindexter is a heavy and if they handle him right they might break him; but he'll be tough.

Ciao,

Fletch

JANE'S ALL THE WORLD'S AIRCRAFT 1945/6

A Reprint of the 1945/6 Edition of All the World's Aircraft

Founded by
FRED T. JANE

Compiled and Edited by LEONARD BRIDGMAN

ARCO PUBLISHING COMPANY, INC.
New York



The Heinkel He 111H Heavy Bomber.

DIMENSIONS.—Span 74 ft. 3 in. (22.6 m.), Length 54 ft. 6 in. (16.6 m.), Height 13 ft. 9 in. (4.2 m.), Wing area 942 sq. ft. (87.6 sq. m.).

WEIGHTS.—Weight empty 17,000 lbs. (7,720 kg.), Normal loaded weight 26,500 lbs. (12,030 kg.), Maximum permissible overloaded weight 31,000 lbs. (14,075 kg.).

PERFORMANCE.—Maximum speed 250 m.p.h. (400 km.h.) at 17,000 ft. (5,185 m.), Climb to 17,000 ft. (5,185 m.) 20 mins., Service ceiling 27,500 ft. (8,390 m.), Range with maximum fuel 1,750 miles (2,800 km.).

THE HEINKEL He 111P.

The He 111P was generally similar to the 111H, the main difference being that it was fitted with two Daimler-Benz DB 601 engines in place of the Jumo 211 units. Production was discontinued in favour of the H Series.

THE HEINKEL He 111Z "ZWILLING".

The He 111Z was basically two He 111H airframes, each less one outer wing, joined together outboard of a left and right engine by a length of wing which carried a fifth Jumo 211 engine. The result was a five-engined aircraft with two separate fuselages and tail-units. The pilot sat in the port fuselage.

The intended function of the He 111Z was that of a glider-tug, although consideration was given to using it as a bomber, to carry four 1,800 kg. bombs; as a reconnaissance aircraft with two 264-gallon jettisonable fuel tanks; or as an anti-shipping aircraft to carry four Hs 293 radio-controlled glider bombs.

DIMENSIONS.—Span 115 ft. 6 in. (35.2 m.), Length 54 ft. 8 in. (16.7 m.), Landing-gear track 32 ft. 10 in. (10 m.).

TAKE-OFF WEIGHT.—62,500 lbs. (28,375 kg.).

PERFORMANCE.—Maximum speed 298 m.p.h. (477 km.h.) at 16,000 ft. (4,880 m.), Range 1,180 miles (1,890 km.).

THE HEINKEL He 119.

The He 119 was a experimental high-performance two-seat bomber-reconnaissance monoplane which was designed around a Daimler-Benz DB 606 or DB 610 double engine. This power-unit was located in the fuselage and drove a single tractor airscrew through shaft-drive. Development was abandoned early in the war.

THE HEINKEL He 162A "SALAMANDER".

(Further illustration in Addenda pages).

Popularly known as the "Volksjäger" (People's Fighter) and code-named "Salamander," the He 162 was designed to employ as little as possible of material which was in short supply.

Design work on the He 162 was started on September 23, 1944, and the first flight took place on December 6, 1944. On the second flight the leading-edge of the wing collapsed and the prototype broke up in the air. This did not seriously hinder the development programme and after modifications were made to the wings the He 162 went into large-scale production in widely-dispersed assembly plants, many of them underground.

The He 162A-1 was the standard service version at the time of Germany's capitulation.

TYPE.—Single-seat jet-propelled fighter.

WINGS.—Shoulder-wing cantilever monoplane. Dihedral 3°. Aspect ratio 4.65. All-wood structure in one piece and attached to the fuselage by four bolts. Straight leading-edge, blunt detachable metal tips and a pronounced sweep forward on trailing-edge. Main and auxiliary T-section spars and plywood covering 5 m/m. thick between spars on upper surface and 4 m/m. elsewhere. Space between spars used as fuel tank. Hydraulically-controlled metal flaps between fuselage and ailerons with maximum depression of 45°. Range of aileron movement 18° either way.

FUSELAGE.—Semi-monocoque structure of flush-riveted duralumin, except for nose which is of plywood construction. Fittings partly of steel, inspection covers of duralumin or wood. Very clean aerodynamically. Nose of oval section, centre portion pear-shaped with flattened underside, rear portion of circular cross section. Tail cone which carries the empennage may be moved in flight to adjust tailplane incidence, giving a range of movement from + 3° to — 2°.

TAIL UNIT.—Cantilever monoplane type of mixed construction. Tailplane, elevators and rudders of duralumin construction with steel fittings. Wooden fins bolted to the extremities of the tailplane. Tailplane of constant chord and set at moderate dihedral. Elevators have fixed tabs at their inner ends. Rudders, with three bearings and a 25° range of movement either way, are fully mass-balanced and have fixed tabs above tailplane.

LANDING GEAR.—Retractable tricycle type. Main wheels of Me 109 type, retract into fuselage. Hydraulic retraction and spring lowering. Fuselage openings covered by mechanically-operated plywood doors. Nose wheel raised backwards into fuselage nose, and has slight castor action and anti-shimmy device.

POWER PLANT.—One BMW 003 E-1 turbo-jet unit mounted centrally on top of fuselage. With three attachment fittings, the jet being directed above the tailplane and between the rudders. Alternative power-units which could be installed included the BMW 003 E-2, Junkers Jumo 004, or Heinkel-Hirth 011. A quick power-plant change is possible. All joints in pipe lines and leads grouped together. J-2 diesel fuel used. Fuel carried in fuselage and wings. Maximum capacity 168 Imp. gallons in fuselage and 40 gallons in wings.

ACCOMMODATION.—Pilot's cockpit in nose forward of wings. Entry and exit through canopy which opens rearwards and can be jettisoned. Pilot-ejecting seat actuated by an explosive cartridge. Plywood instrument panel.

ARMAMENT.—Either two 30 m/m. MK 108 with 50 rounds per gun, or two 20 m/m. MG 151/20 cannon with 120 rounds per gun, mounted low in the fuselage sides.

DIMENSIONS.—Span 23 ft. 7¾ in. (7.2 m.), Length 29 8¼ in. (9 m.), Wing chord (root) 6 ft. 8½ in. (2.06 m.), Span of tailplane 7 ft. 5½ in. (2.3 m.), Tailplane chord 2 ft. 8½ in. (0.84 m.).



The Heinkel He 162A Single-seat Fighter (BMW 003 turbo-jet unit).

THE OXFORD ENGLISH DICTIONARY

BEING A CORRECTED RE-ISSUE

WITH AN

INTRODUCTION, SUPPLEMENT, AND BIBLIOGRAPHY

OF

A NEW ENGLISH DICTIONARY ON HISTORICAL PRINCIPLES

FOUNDED MAINLY ON THE MATERIALS COLLECTED BY

The Philological Society

VOLUME III

D–E

OXFORD

AT THE CLARENDON PRESS

R
423
O

ARCADIA PUBLIC LIBRARY
ARCADIA, CALIFORNIA

including 30 extras. 1888 *Harper's Mag.* LXXVII. 690/1 Hourly extras were issued, and the circulation..reached upon one day of the riot..70,000 copies. 1889 *Daily News* 5 Aug. 7/6 The association figure for this class of iron becomes £7 5s., and hoops and strips are raised 10s...with 'extras' for special gauges. *Mod.* The builder took the contract very low, hoping to recoup himself by extras.

‖ **Extra** (e·kstrā), *prep. rare.* [L. *extrā* (earlier *extrād*) outside (*adv.* and *prep.*), contracted form of *exterā(d)*, abl. fem. of *exter* (see EXTERIOR) in phrase *exterā parte* on the outer side.] Outside, externally to.

1852 W. GROVE in *Phil. Trans.* CXLII. 87 There was some effect exhibited *extra* the voltaic circuit.

Extra- (e·kstrā), *prefix.* The L. adv. and prep. *extrā* (see prec.) does not, strictly speaking, occur in composition during the classical period, though post-classically it formed a few vbs. like *extrāclūdĕre* to shut out, *extrāvagārī* to wander outside (whence *extravagant*). Classical L. had however the adj. *extraordinārius* EXTRAORDINARY, f. phrase *extrā ordinem* outside the regular order, and late L. also *extrāmundānus* EXTRAMUNDANE, f. phrase *extrā mundum*, *extrāmūrānus* (cf. EXTRAMURAL) f. *extrā mūrum* (or *mūrōs*), *extrānātūrālis* 'extra-natural', f. *extrā nātūrām*. Many similar adjs. were formed in med.L. on phrases in which *extrā* is a prep., and some of these are adopted in Eng., as *extra-provincial*. As the suffix employed in such formations was nearly always identical with that used to form an adj. from the sb. governed by *extra*, the words have the appearance of being f. *extra-* + adj. (the actual formation, on *extra* prep. + sb. + suffix, being obscured); thus *extraordinary* is felt as meaning 'outside of what is *ordinary*'. In recent times an enormous number of adjs. of this type have been formed. The most important compounds of *extra-*, and those requiring etymological explanation, are given in their alphabetical place; of the remainder only a selection can be given in the following lists, many nonce-words of obvious meaning being omitted.

1. Adjs. with general sense 'situated outside something' (*e.g.* in Anat. a specified organ or member), 'lying outside the province or scope of' (a specified branch of science, department of speculation or practice).

Extra-a·cinous *Anat.*, outside the acinus or racemose gland; see ACINUS 4. **Extra-alime·ntary**, situated outside the alimentary canal. **Extra-analo·gical**, out of the range of, not in accordance with, analogy. **Extra-arti·stic**, out of the range of, having nothing to do with, art. **Extra-atmosphe·ric**, of or pertaining to space beyond the atmosphere. **Extra-a·xillar** *Bot.* = next. **Extra-a·xillary** *Bot.*, growing from above or below the axils. **Extra-brita·nnic**, not existing in Britain. **Extra-bu·rghal**, beyond the boundary of the burgh. **Extra-cano·nical**, not classed among the canonical books. **Extra-ca·psular**, 'outside a capsule, having special reference to the articular capsules' (*Syd. Soc. Lex.* 1884). **Extra-cathe·dral** [L. *cathedra* chair], outside the pulpit. **Extra-ce·llular** *Biol.*, situated or taking place outside the walls of a cell. **Extra-chri·stian**, outside the range of Christian thought; not to be discussed from a Christian point of view. **Extra-ci·vical**, beyond the province or privileges of a citizen; hence **Extra-ci·vically** *adv.* **Extra-clau·stral**, living out of a cloister; secular. **Extra-co·nstellary** *Astron.*, situated outside, hence, not classed under, any constellation. **Extra-co·nstellated** *ppl. a.* = prec. **Extra-co·rial** [L. *cori-um* hide + -AL], pertaining to the outside skin or epidermis. **Extra-corpo·real**, outside the body. **Extra-co·smical**, acting outside the cosmos or universe. **Extra-cra·nial** *Anat.*, lying or situated outside the skull. **Extra-cu·rial** [f. L. *cūria* court of justice], arranged or made outside a court of law. **Extra-cuta·neous**, outside the skin, outside the true skin as opposed to the epidermis. **Extra-decre·tal**, not included in the 'Decretals'. **Extra-esse[illegible]**, not included in the essence of some thing; hence **Extra-esse·ntially** *adv.* **Extra-Europe[illegible]**, not found in Europe. **Extra-folia·ceous** *Bot.*, without or external to the leaf. **Extra-fo·rmal**, beyond or away from the strict form [illegible]. **Extra-galactic** *Astron.*, outside the galaxy or Milky-way. **Extra-governme·ntal**, beyond the province or proper course of government. **Extra-gramma·tical** (see quot.). **Extra-histo·ric**, situated outside or beyond the sphere of history; also **Extra-histo·rical**. **Extra-hu·man**, outside the human race, or the conditions of human life. **Extra-hundredal**, not included in any hundred. **Extra-indu·ctive**, beyond the province of, or unattainable by, induction. **Extra-intelle·ctual**, beyond the reach of, or imperceptible by, the intellect. **Extra-juda·ical**, outside the conditions of the Jewish dispensation. **Extra-ju·gal**, beyond, or not harnessed to, the yoke. **Extra-ju·ral** [f. L. *jūr-*, *jūs* law + -AL] (see quot.). **Extra-le·gal**, beyond the province of law; not regulated by law. **Extra-li·mital** [L. *limit-em*, *limes* + -AL], beyond the limits of a country or district. **Extra-li·mitary**, situated beyond the limit or bounds. † **Extra-li·neal**, beyond or off the line of rectitude. **Extra-lo·gical**, lying beyond the legitimate domain of logic; hence **Extra-lo·gically** *adv.* † **Extra-mari·ne**, of or pertaining to what is beyond the sea; from beyond the sea. **Extra-ma·trical** [L. *mātrīc-em*, *mātrix* (see MATRIX) + -AL], situated outside the matrix or receptacle of a parasitical plant. **Extra-matrimo·nial**, outside of matrimonial relations. **Extra-me·dial**, lying outside or beyond the middle line. **Extra-meri·dional** *Astron.*, of or pertaining to deviation from the meridian. **Extra-metaphy·sical**, outside the sphere of metaphysical enquiry. **Extra-me·trical**, exceeding the number of feet or syllables proper to a metre; = HYPERMETRICAL. **Extra-metropo·litan**, situated outside the metropolitan boundary. **Extra-na·tional**, outside the limits of a nation. **Extra-na·tural** [L. *extrānāturālis* unnatural], outside the operation of natural laws. **Extra-nu·clear**, placed outside the nucleus of a cell. **Extra-o·cular**, situated or occurring outside the eyes. **Extra-offi·cial**, outside the legitimate duties or emoluments of an office. **Extra-o·rbital** *Zool.*, situated outside the orbit or eye-cavity (of a crustacean); hence, **Extra-o·rbitally** *adv.* **Extra-pare·ntal**, occurring outside the body of the parent. **Extra-patria·rchal**, outside the conditions of the patriarchal dispensation. **Extra-peritone·al**, 'outside the peritoneum' (*Syd. Soc. Lex.* 1884). **Extra-phy·sical**, not subject to physical laws or methods. **Extra-pla·netary**, beyond the region of the planets' movements. **Extra-po·pular**, outside or independent of the people; not drawn from the ranks of the people. **Extra-profe·ssional**, (*a*) of persons: out of the ranks of a profession; (*b*) of things: outside the course of professional duties. **Extra-red**, said of rays outside the visible spectrum at its red extremity; also *ultra-red*. **Extra-re·gular**, outside of, or transgressing the rule; in addition to what is regular; hence. **Extra-re·gularly** *adv.* **Extra-sacerdo·tal**, outside or forming no part of the priesthood. **Extra-scie·ntific**, beyond the scope of science, incapable of scientific investigation. **Extra-scri·ptural**, drawn from sources outside the Scriptures; hence **Extra-scriptura·lity**. **Extra-se·nsible** *a.* and *sb.*, (something that is) beyond the reach of sensuous perception. **Extra-se·nsuous** = prec. adj. **Extra-spe·ctral**, lying outside the visible spectrum. **Extra-sto·machal**, taking place outside the stomach. **Extra-syllogi·stic**, beyond the scope of, or irreducible to, a syllogism. **Extra-ta·bular**, not contained in a table or list of weights, etc. **Extra-tellu·rian**, beyond or away from the earth. **Extra-tellu·ric**, outside or not found among the constituent elements of the earth. **Extra-te·mporal**, outside of, or forming no part of, the sequence of time. **Extra-terrene, Extra-terre·strial** = existing or originating outside the earth or its atmosphere. **Extra-the·cal** *Zool.* and *Bot.*, situated outside the theca. **Extra-thei·stic**, beyond the range of theism; independent of theistic inquiry. **Extra-to·rrid**, existing outside the torrid zone. **Extra-tro·pical**, existing, situated, or taking place outside the tropics. **Extra-unive·rsity**, of or pertaining to matters outside the university. **Extra-u·rban**, beyond or outside the walls of a city. **Extra-u·terine**, existing, formed, or taking place outside the uterus. **Extra-violet** *Optics*, said of rays outside the visible spectrum at its violet extremity. **Extra-zodi·acal** *Astron.*, situated outside the zodiac. Also EXTRA-JUDICIAL, EXTRA-MURAL, etc.

1878 T. BRYANT *Pract. Surg.* (1880) II. 249 The *extra-acinous infiltrations of the same cells. 1877 HUXLEY *Anat. Inv. Anim.* xi. 644 The *extra-alimentary tissues. 1846 GROTE *Greece* (1862) I. xvi. 342 The *extra-analogical features of the stories. 1880 VERN. LEE *Belcaro* i. 12 Those foreign, *extra-artistic, irrelevant interests. 1871 HERSCHEL in *Month. Nat.* XXXI. 169 Evidence not to be refused of its *extra-atmospheric origin. 1851 OGILVIE, **Extra-axillar.* 1829 LOUDON *Encycl. Plants* 433 Flowers solitary, axillary, or *extra-axillary, but more frequently terminating. 1882 VINES *Sachs' Bot.* 490 A few cases of ..extra-axillary branching. 1770 PENNANT *Zool.* IV. 87 Catalogue of the European Quadrupeds, Birds, and Reptiles *Extra-Britannic. 1866 CARLYLE *E. Irving* 122 At the southern *extra-burghal park of Kirkcaldy. 1831 W. H. MILL *Christa-sangîtâ* (1842) Pref. p. xxvii, The *extra-canonical books of Tobit and Judith. 1877 C. GEIKIE *Christ* xlix. (1879) 585 He was intimately familiar..with the honored extra-canonical writings. 1885 E. R. LANKESTER in *Encycl. Brit.* XIX. 849/2 *Extra-capsular protoplasm. 1862 *Athenæum* 1 Nov. 553 Those who would muzzle the clergyman in his literary inquiries and his *extra-cathedral life. 1867 J. HOGG *Microsc.* II. i. 258 This process of a new formation begins in the *extracellular fluid. 1876 tr. *Wagner's Gen. Pathol.* 154 Coloring matter..passes out of the capillaries free or extra-cellular. 1870 HUXLEY *Lay Serm.* xiv. 375 Science and philosophy..are neither Christian, or Unchristian, but are *Extrachristian..I attempted to give you some vision of this Extrachristian world. 1801 W. TAYLOR in *Monthly Rev.* XII. 570 Those men..were proceeding *extra-civically perhaps, but surely with sound patriotism. 1889 R. L. POOLE *Wyclif's De Off. Reg.* 112 *marg.*, The status of the *extraclaustral clergy instituted by Christ is the most perfect. 1823 CRABB *Technol. Dict.* I, **Extra-constellary stars.* 1860 in WORCESTER, and in mod. Dicts. 1730-6 BAILEY, **Extra-constellated.* 1842 PRICHARD *Nat. Hist. Man* 80 All these varieties have their seat [in] the *extracorial or exodermal structure. 1865 GROTE *Plato* II. xxiv. 218 Its prior *extra-corporeal existence. *Ibid.* I. i. 158 He did not proclaim his Nous to be a powerful *extra-cosmical Architect. 1884 *Syd. Soc. Lex.*, **Extra-cranial.* 1887 S. SEXTON in *Amer. Ann. Deaf* July 153 The hearing organ in man has both an intracranial and an extracranial origin. 1882 B. LEECH in *Contemp. Rev.* Mar. 473 Those *extra-curial settlements, without which the Act will prove a complete legislative failure. 1842 PRICHARD *Nat. Hist. Man* 77 The varieties in the colour of the body, and the texture of the inner and outer integuments, depend on the organisation of parts, which are in one sense *extra-cutaneous. 1563-87 FOXE *A. & M.* (1596) 5/1 *Extradecretal & extravagant constitutions. 1666 BOYLE *Orig. Formes & Qual.*, 'Tis *extra-essential to the Form that is said to be previous. 1676 GLANVILL *Ess.* vii. 25 They perswaded modestly in all extraessential doctrines. 1823 DE QUINCEY *Wks.* (1863) XIII. 50 *note*, Something extra-essential in the philosophy. *a* 1652 J. SMITH *Sel. Disc.* iv. 112 They ought to judge of things as they are in their own naked essences, and not with respect to that which *extra-essentially adheres to them. 1826 KIRBY & SP. *Entomol.* III. 42 Neither can it be affirmed of *extra-European species. 1793 MARTYN *Lang. Bot.*, *Extrafoliaceæ stipulæ.* *Extrafoliaceous stipules. 1829 LOUDON *Encycl. Plants* 147 Prickles two, extra-foliaceous. 1884 in *Syd. Soc. Lex.* 1833 SIR W. HAMILTON *Discuss.* (1852) 140 The distinction of the enthymeme through the *extraformal character of its premises. 1851 NICHOL *Archit. Heav.* 110 An *extra-galactic phenomenon. 1870 PROCTOR *Other Worlds* xi. 264 The scattered stars of very low magnitudes in the extragalactic heavens. 1866 A. L. PERRY *Elem. Pol. Econ.* (1873) 515 [It] is as pitiful on the one side as it is *extra-governmental on the other. 1873 EARLE *Philol. Eng. Tongue* § 203 A group..*extra-grammatical..in the sense that they do not enter into the grammatical construction. 1846 GROTE *Greece* I. iv. I. 111 They included elements human and historical as well as elements divine and *extra-historical. *Ibid.* I. i. I. 1 Various monstrous natures, ultra-human and *extra-human, who cannot with propriety be called gods. 1864 MAINE *Anc. Law* i. (1876) 12 Supposing an extra-human interposition. 1877 MORLEY *Crit. Misc.* Ser. II. 332 His conditions are wholly extra-human. 1875 STUBBS *Const. Hist.* I. xi. 402 *note*, A manor of ancient demesne was *extra-hundredal. 1856 DOVE *Logic Chr. Faith* v. i. § 1. 246 The idea of mind is *extra-inductive. 1885 *Life J. Hinton* vi. 118 It is *extra-intellectual as the 'line' is extra-sensuous. 1858 GLADSTONE *Homer* II. 6 The vestiges of extra-patriarchal and *extra-judaical relations between God and man are undeniable. 1782 POWNALL *Antiquities* 259 We read in Homer, in the case of Achilles' chariot, of an additional *extrajugal horse. 1875 POSTE *Gaius* IV. Comm. (ed. 2) 516 *Extra-jural or outside the court. 1644 HUNTON *Vind. Treat. Monarchy* ix. 65 It concernes only..their Absolute, *extra-legall Will; not their Authority. 1806 W. TAYLOR in *Ann. Rev.* IV. 239 The extra-legal perpetuation of authority. 1871 FREEMAN *Hist. Ess.* Ser. I. xii. 384 The word 'Government'..has come to be applied to this extra-legal body. 1889 *Spectator* 12 Oct. 465/2 The legal and extra-legal expenditure..for election purposes. 1874 COUES *Birds N.-W.* 45 The *extralimital quotations to the southward are very numerous. 1883 *Nature* XXVII. 221 Other species..and hybrids, which are extra-limital, or may sooner or later be found straying into Switzerland. 1820 T. MITCHELL *Aristoph.* I. 73 *note*, The Megarians..had cultivated some sacred *extralimitary land. 1847 in CRAIG. 1691 NORRIS *Pract. Disc.* 254 He [the sinner] chooses by *extra-lineal motions to violate the Sacred interest of Society. 1833 SIR W. HAMILTON *Discuss.* (1852) 139 The two books of the Prior Analytics [Aristotle's]..are swelled with *extralogical discussions. 1864 BOWEN *Logic* ix. 269 The consideration of Fallacies is extralogical. *a* 1856 SIR W. HAMILTON (Ogilv.), A universal quantification of the predicate in affirmatives has been frequently recognized..*extralogically. 1612 WOODALL *Surg. Mate* Wks. (1653) 20* Medicinall subject, of heathen production, and *extramarine importation. 1884 BOWER & SCOTT *De Bary's Phaner. & Ferns* 383 In Cuscuta the *extramatrical portion is very little developed. 1811 W. TAYLOR in *Monthly Mag.* XXXII. 118 Does not Christianity expressly declare against all *extra-matrimonial gratification? 1852 DANA *Crust.* 247 The præmedial and *extramedial [areolets] are usually coalescent. 1833 HERSCHEL *Astron.* ii. 90 If it [the pole star] pass from one to the other apparent culmination in unequal intervals of time, it is equally certain that an *extra-meridional error must exist. 1856 DOVE *Logic Chr. Faith* v. i. § 1. 244 The hypothesis itself..is *extra-metaphysical. 1863 CLARKE & GLOVER *Shaks. Wks.* I. xviii, Of another practice..making a line end with two unaccented *'extrametrical' syllables. 1885 *Law Times* LXXIX. 253/1 This Act..only concerned *extra-metropolitan local boards. 1864 KINGSLEY *Rom. & Teut.* viii. (1875) 201 Out of a political fact, arose the *extra-national..position. 1794 J. WILLIAMS *Crying Ep.* 55 *note*, This..*extra-natural Statesman. 1876 HUXLEY *Lect. Evolut.* in *Cycl. Sc.* (1883) I. 607 There may have been a time when..extra-natural agencies interfered with the general course of Nature.

1887 *Q. Jrnl. Microsc. Sc.* XXVIII. 96 The *extranuclear network (intracellular) is apparently of the same nature as the intranuclear, since the two have been shown to be continuous in many cells. 1826 KIRBY & SP. *Entom.* (1828) III. xxxiv. 513 In Nepa the antennæ may be called *extraocular. 1875 H. WALTON *Dis. Eye* 768 Complications of cataract with extra-ocular disease. 1797 *Monthly Rev.* XXII. 240 Mr. Robinson..must excuse us from attention to *extra-official matters. 1826 MISS MITFORD *Village* Ser. II. (1863) 332 Many a job, extra-official, hath he turned his hand to. 1852 DANA *Crust.* 373 The arm projects..beyond the *extra-orbital spine. 1870 ROLLESTON *Anim. Life* 3 The duct of the *extraorbitally-placed portion. 1864 *Athenæum* No. 1920, 215/3 The individuality of the butterfly is..perfect through all these visible and *extra-parental metamorphoses. 1858 GLADSTONE *Homer* II. 6 In..*extra-patriarchal..relations. 1836 TODD *Cycl. Anat.* I. 19/2 The viscera intra-peritoneal and *extra-peritoneal. 1822 [G. GROTE] *Anal. Infl. Nat. Relig.* 109 Applications for *extra-physical guidance. 1869 PHIPSON tr. *Guillemin's Sun* 77 The aphelion distance of the comet of 1844..is lost in *extra-planetary space. 1847 GROTE *Greece* I. xxxi. IV. 211 An *extra-popular or privileged few. 1856 *Ibid.* II. xciv. XII. 358 A greater and a less measure of extra-popular authority. 1799 BEDDOES *Contrib. Phys. & Med. Knowl.* Introd. 10 The leisure of the *extra-professional members. 1849 GROTE *Greece* II. lxviii. (1862) VI. 129 No extra-professional person thinks of contesting the decision of a surgeon. 18.. *Med. Repos.* (Ogilv.), These studies were extraprofessional. 1860 TYNDALL *Glac.* II. vi. 254 Water then absorbs all the *extra red rays of the sun. 1649 JER. TAYLOR *Gt. Exemp.* Pref. ¶ 24 An *extraregular..punishment. 1678 *Lively Orac.* ii. § 53. 258 Men..set up new extraregular Courts of Justice. 1739 J. TRAPP *Right. over-much* (1758) 34 These extra-regular novelists. 1649 JER. TAYLOR *Gt. Exemp.* III. xiv. 21 *Extraregularly..holy persons have miscarried in battle. 1835 I. TAYLOR *Spir. Despot.* iii. 95 An *extra-sacerdotal class, namely that of the prophets. 1874 H. R. REYNOLDS *John Bapt.* v. § 2. 325 It may be pronounced transcendental, or *extra-scientific. 1825 COLERIDGE *Aids Refl.* (1848) I. 144 Each of these *extra-scriptural articles of faith. 1875 E. WHITE *Life in Christ* IV. xxvi. (1878) 416 We should bring forward some extra-scriptural evidence of the recognition of the doctrine. 1842 G. S. FABER *Provinc. Lett.* (1844) II. 48 That congeries of *Extra-scripturalities and Unscripturalities which characterised the fourth century. 1874 LEWES *Prob. Life & Mind* II. iv. § 85 The distinction..between the conception of atoms as *extrasensibles and the conception of them as convenient fictions. 1885 *Life J. Hinton* vi. 118 *Extra-sensuous. 1849 MRS. SOMERVILLE *Connex. Phys. Sc.* xxiv. 236 There are three *extra-spectral lines beyond the red. 1881 DARWIN *Earthworms* 4, I am not aware of any other case of *extra-stomachal digestion. 1855 H. SPENCER *Princ. Psychol.* (1872) II. VI. viii. 99 Simple deliverances of reason..having the highest degree of certainty, which are entirely *extra-syllogistic. 1780 KIRWAN in *Phil. Trans.* LXXXI. 29 The *extra-tabular proportions are to be sought in the manner already shewn. 1881 *19th Cent.* 455 Divine beings and *extra-tellurian life. 1868 LOCKYER *Heavens* (ed. 3) 197 The *extra-telluric matters of which the meteor was composed. 1865 GROTE *Plato* I. i. 22 *note*, In the Platonic Parmenides we find τὸ ἐξαίφνης..an *extra-temporal moment. 1863 DE QUINCEY *Ceylon* Wks. XI. 10 A local..upon our earth, and not in some *extra-terrene orb. 1868 LOCKYER *Heavens* (ed. 3) 188 Bodies situated in the *extra-terrestrial regions. 1882 *Nature* XXVII. 173 The oblique direction of the meteor..is another evidence of its extra-terrestrial origin. 1856 LINDSAY *Brit. Lichens* 70 The spores..sometimes appear naked, or *extrathecal. 1887 G. H. FOWLER in *Q. Jrnl. Microsc. Sc.* XXVIII. 7 By far the greatest thickness of the coral is laid down..by the calicoblasts of the extra-thecal part of the polyp. 1881 G. J. ROMANES in *Nature* XXIV. 429 It is neither theistic nor atheistic; it is simply *extra-theistic. 1852 DANA *Crust.* II. 1510 The *extra-torrid species belong almost exclusively to the Mediterranean. 1783 BLAGDEN in *Phil. Trans.* LXXIII. 368 The cold..abated 20 or 30 degrees..no greater alteration than frequently takes place in most *extratropical climates. 1830 LINDLEY *Nat. Syst. Bot.* 232 Verbascum is wholly extratropical. 1862 DANA *Man. Geol.* 615 The cold extratropical currents that flow towards the equator. 1887 *Pall Mall G.* 30 Nov. 4/1 *Extra university experience. 1773 *Gentl. Mag.* XLIII. 634 *Extra-urban cemeteries. 1709 J. YONGE in *Phil. Trans.* XXVI. 428 *Extra-uterine Embryo's have been sometimes found. 1803 *Edin. Rev.* I. 498 An extra-uterine gestation had there taken place. 1863 TYNDALL *Heat* xii. (1870) 409 The pile was caused to pass successively through positions corresponding to the various colours of the spectrum, and to its *extra-violet rays. 1686 GOAD *Celest. Bodies* II. xiv. 344 ♃'s Opposition kindles it in the Asterism *Extrazodiacal. 1869 J. MARTINEAU *Ess.* II. 359 Stellar spheres, seen from an extrazodiacal position.

†2. Comb. of L. *extra* adv., with sense 'in an external condition'. *Obs.*

1713 A. COLLIER *Clavis Univ* Introd. (1836) 6 My enquiry is not concerning the Existence, but altogether of the Extra-existence of certain things.

Extract (ekstræ·kt), *ppl. a.* [ad. L. *extract-us*, pa. pple. of *extrahĕre* to EXTRACT.] Extracted; in various senses of the vb.

†1. *pa. pple.* **a.** Taken out, obtained out of something. **b.** Derived (from a source), descended (from an ancestry). **c.** Distracted, taken out of one's wits; cf. EXTRAUGHT 2, EXTRACTING *ppl. a.*

a. 1515 BARCLAY *Egloges* iv. (1570) C vj/2 To sing one ballade extract of sapience. 1610 MARKHAM *Masterp.* I. civ. 206 Oyles extract out of wood or mettals will last long. *a* 1626 BACON *Max. & Uses Com. Law* iii. (1630) 13 The lessee by implication shall have the warren discharged and extract during his lease.

b. 1483 CAXTON *Gold. Leg.* 425/3 Saynt rigoberte..was extract or come out of the moste excellent lygnage. 1525 LD. BERNERS *Froiss.* II. clxxxi. [clxxvii]. 551 He was extracte by his mother syde of a duke of Bretayne. 1603 HOLLAND *Plutarch's Mor.* 1024 The Sun..is the very issue extract from that Good. *a* 1641 BP. MOUNTAGU *Acts & Mon.* (1642) 233 Herod was..originally a Jew, extract from them who, upon the Edict of Cyrus, returned at the first time..to Jerusalem.

c. 1608 *Hist. Hamblet* ii. C iij b, To try if men of great account bee extract out of their wits.

2. *ppl. a.* Drawn or taken out. Now only in *Extract decree* (Scots Law: cf. EXTRACT *v.* 2 c).

1643 T. GOODWIN *Child of Light* 195 The originall..is more authenticall then extract copies. 1708 J. PHILIPS *Cyder* II. 65 Stor'd with Streams Egregious, Rum and Rice's Spirit extract. 1856 *Act* 19–20 *Vict.* c. 56 § 35 *marg.*, Sheriff may seize Books of Crown Debtor under Extract Decree. 1861 W. BELL *Dict. Law Scot.* 373/2 The form and execution of extract decr[illegible]

Extract (e·kstrækt), *sb.* [In senses 1–3 ad. L. *extract-um*, neut. pa. pple. of *extrahĕre* to EXTRACT. In sense 5 repr. L. *extracta*, fem. pa. pple., used subst. in Eng. Law (= AF. *estrete*: see ESTREAT). In sense 6 perh. formed in Eng. on EXTRACT *v.*; cf. however OF. *estraite* in same sense.]

I. †**1.** *gen.* Something drawn or taken out of a thing; also *fig.* the 'pith' of a matter. *Obs.*

1570 LEVINS *Manip.* 6/20 An Extracte, *extractum.* 1597 HOOKER *Eccl. Pol.* v. lvi. (1611) 307 The words of Adam.. 'flesh of my flesh, and bone of my bones,' a true native extract out of mine owne bodie. 1605 CAMDEN *Rem.* 140 *heading* (Allusions), I will now present vnto you a few extracts out of names. 1651 N. BACON *Disc. Govt. Eng.* II. xiii. 116 The extract of all is, that he [Duke of Hertford] was chosen by the People and Parliament then sitting.

2. 'The substance extracted; the chief parts drawn from anything' (J.); in mod. use 'a pharmaceutical term applied to the tough or viscid matter obtained by treating any substance with solvents and then evaporating the solvent' (Watts). Also loosely used for any preparation containing the active principle of a substance in a concentrated form.

1590 MARLOWE *2nd Pt. Tamburl.* IV. ii, An ointment.. Distilled from the..simplest extracts of all minerals. 1605 TIMME *Quersit.* III. 182 One scruple of the extract of betonie. 1656 H. MORE *Enthus. Tri.* 9 This intoxicating Potion is made of the extract of certain hearbs. 1712 tr. *Pomet's Hist. Drugs* I. 28 Schroder makes an Extract of it with..Water. 1811 A. T. THOMSON *Lond. Disp.* (1818) 617 In preparing all kinds of extracts, evaporate the fluid as quickly as possible. 1875 H. C. WOOD *Therap.* (1879) 18 Fluid extracts are very concentrated fluid preparations. 1884 *Syd. Soc. Lex.*, Extract of beef. *Mod. Advt.*, With Malt or Meat Extract an Ideal Diet for Infants.

fig. 1645 QUARLES *Sol. Recant.* vii. 34 Wisdom's th' extract of knowledge. 1677 GILPIN *Dæmonol.* (1867) 12 Not only is he [Satan] wicked, but the spirit and extract of wickedness. 1818 JAS. MILL *Brit. India* II. IV. ix. 299 Englishmen consider English law as the pure extract of reason. 1847 L. HUNT *Men, Women, & B.* II. iii. 45 A specimen of the volatile extract of Steele.

†**b.** = EXTRACTIVE B *sb.* 2. *Obs.*

1807 T. THOMSON *Chem.* (ed. 3) II. 354 Besides tannin, extract must be present in this precipitate. 1810 HENRY *Elem. Chem.* (1840) II. 188 Vegetable Extract or Extractive ..is..of a brownish colour, and generally of a bitterish taste. 1813 SIR H. DAVY *Agric. Chem.* iii. (1814) 85 Extract or the extractive principle exists in almost all plants..it seems to be composed principally of hydrogene, oxygene, carbon and a little azote.

c. *transf.* (See quot.).

1879 *Cassell's Techn. Educ.* IV. 261/1 The latter [cotton in worn-out fabrics] is destroyed by a chemical process, leaving the wool intact, which is then called 'extract'.

†**3.** A summary; an outline. *Obs.* Cf. Fr. *extrait*, It. *estratto.*

1549 CHALONER *Erasmus on Folly* G iij b, Let us draw on the other side..the extract of a man of wisedome. 1605 BACON *Adv. Learn.* II. vi. § 1 They supposed the world to bee the Image of God, and man to be an extract or compendious Image of the World. 1625 — *Ess., Studies* (Arb.) 11 Some Bookes..may be read by Deputy, and Extracts made of them by Others. 1656-81 BLOUNT *Glossogr.*, *Extract*..a breviate or abridgement.

4. A passage copied out of a book, manuscript, etc.; an excerpt, quotation.

1666 PEPYS *Diary* 31 July, [He] brought me up this extract out of the Flanders letters to day come. 1707 FLOYER *Physic. Pulse-Watch* 337 To gratifie the Curiosity of Ingenious Inquirers, I made the following Extract. 1803 *Med. Jrnl.* X. 142 These extracts are long. 1872 RAYMOND *Statist. Mines & Mining* 192 These extracts..might be still further multiplied.

transf. 1827 LYTTON *Pelham* xii, There was, indeed, a motley congregation; country esquires; extracts from the universities; half-pay officers, etc.

5. *Law.* †**a.** = ESTREAT *sb.* (*obs.*). **b.** *Sc. Law* (see quot. 1861).

a. 1670 BLOUNT *Law Dict.*, *Extracts.* See *Estreats.*

b. 1606 *Act of Council* in *Sc. Acts* 23 *Jas. VI*, c. 19 Common and ordinarie Extracts, for every sheet extracted, xiii. sh. iiii. d. 1861 W. BELL *Dict. Law Scot.* 374/1 *Extract.* The term extract, in the law of Scotland, signifies either the proper written evidence, or warrant on which diligence or execution on a judicial decree may issue; or it signifies a copy, authenticated by the proper officer, of a deed, writing, or other entry, the principal of which, either is in a public record, or a transcript of which, taken from the principal, has been preserved in a public record. 1868 *Act* 31–2 *Vict.* c. 100. § 68 If no Appeal shall have been taken, the Clerk of the Court may give out the Extract.

†**II. 6.** = EXTRACTION 5. *Obs.* Cf. OF. *extraite.*

1630 B. JONSON *New Inn* I. v, She shews her extract, and I honour her for it. 1691 WOOD *Ath. Oxon.* II. 722 He was a Scot born, or at least of Scotch extract. *a* 1734 NORTH *Exam.* I. iii. (1740) 223 Every Soul, who gets to be rich, immediately enquires into his Extract. 1796 MORSE *Amer. Geog.* I. 344 *note*, The first child of European extract, born in New England.

Extract (ekstræ·kt), *v.* [f. L. *extract-* ppl. stem of *extrahĕre*, f. *ex-* out + *trahĕre* to draw. Cf. Fr. *extraire.*] To draw out.

1. *trans.* In general sense: 'To draw out of any containing body or cavity' (J.).

Now only with some notion of one or other of the more specific senses.

1570 [illegible] To Extract, *extrahere.* 1603 SHAKS. *Meas. for M.* III. ii. 50 Is there none of Pigmalions Images [illegible] now, for putting the hand in the pocket, and [illegible] clutch'd? 1684 T. BURNET *Th. Earth* I. vii. 88 [illegible]aters were any way extracted and laid upon the [illegible] the ground, nothing would be gain'd as to the [illegible] by that.

2. '[illegible]m something of which the thing taken was a part' (J.).

1634 SIR T. HERBERT *Trav.* 56 They had whole mountaines of excellent blacke marble..out of which the Imperiall Palace was extracted and cut out. 1667 MILTON *P. L.* VIII. 497 I now see..my self Before me; Woman is her Name, of Man Extracted. 1818 CRUISE *Digest* (ed. 2) III. 258 Freeholders; whose estates were afterwards extracted out of the demesnes of the manor.

b. *esp.* To copy out (a passage in a book, etc.); also, to make extracts from (a book).

1607 TOPSELL *Four-f. Beasts* (1673) 266 Finding nothing of substance in him [Gesner] which is not..extracted..by them. 1724 SWIFT *Drapier's Lett.* iv, I have thought it proper to extract out of that Pamphlet a few of these notorious Falsehoods. 1798 FERRIAR *Illustr. Sterne* ii. 43, I extract the following passages as specimens. 1838-9 HALLAM *Hist. Lit.* I. iii. I. § 8. 149 The treatise was.. abridged, extracted and even turned into verse. 1855 BAIN *Senses & Int.* II. iv. § 13 It will be convenient to extract entire the section devoted to this subject.

c. *Sc. Law.* To take out a copy of (a recorded judgement) with a view to execution. Also † *To extract forth.* Cf. ESTREAT *v.*

1597 *Sc. Acts Jas. VI*, 177 b, Collected..and extracted foorth of the Bukes and Register of the Actes of Parliament. 1606 [see EXTRACT *sb.* 5 b]. 1681 COLVIL *Whigs Supplic.* (1751) 94 He forg'd records, and them enacted To bear false witness, when extracted. 1752 J. LOUTHIAN *Form of Process* App. (ed. 2) 266 The Expence of extracting the Protestation. 1837 LOCKHART *Scott* xx, The subalterns, who ..recorded and extracted the decrees of the Supreme Court. 1868 *Act* 31–32 *Vict.* c. 100 § 57 Notwithstanding that the Interlocutor of the Lord Ordinary may have been extracted and put to Execution.

absol. 1751 *Act Sederunt* 4 Jan. *heading*, Prohibition by the Lords against Agents to extract, or Extractors to agent.

3. To get out (the contents of anything) by force, effort, or contrivance; to take out (anything embedded or firmly fixed). Often with reference to surgical operations, dentistry, and the like.

1628 WITHER *Brit. Rememb.* 219 Upon Argeir we had a faire designe That much extracted from our silver mine. 1695 WOODWARD *Nat. Hist. Earth* IV. (1723) 215 The.. mineral Matter..is..so diffused..amongst the crasser matter..that 'twould never be possible to separate and extract it. 1732 ARBUTHNOT *Rules of Diet* 428 The Stone in the Bladder is..a mortal Disease, if not extracted. 1767 GOOCH *Treat. Wounds* I. 210 After many fruitless attempts to extract an arrow. 1794 S. WILLIAMS *Vermont* 190 One of these customs, was that of extracting their beards by the roots. 1807-26 S. COOPER *First Lines Surgery* (ed. 5) 158 Army surgeons..always..extract the ball as soon as possible. 1834 MEDWIN *Angler in Wales* II. 112 One of the best..anglers in England..had only been able to extract three of its inhabitants. 1841 LANE *Arab. Nts.* I. 80 He took out a knife, and picked at the lead until he extracted it from the bottle. 1878 L. P. MEREDITH *Teeth* 127 Fractures in attempts to extract teeth, often expose the pulp.

b. *fig.*; *esp.* to draw forth (a confession, money, etc.) against a person's will.

1599 NASHE *Lenten Stuffe* Wks. 1883–4 V. 297 And there [by torture] eyther tear him limbe from limbe, but hee will extract some capitall confession from him. 1670 MARVELL *Corr.* cl. Wks. 1872–5 II. 328, I had writ sooner could I have extracted out of Sir Philip..anything which I thought materiall. 1765 H. WALPOLE *Otranto* v. (1798) 78 He used every insinuating..argument to extract her consent. 1825 HONE *Every-day Bk.* I. 1116 He had extracted the last extractable halfpenny. 1833 HT. MARTINEAU *Three Ages* iii. 93 Nothing could be extracted from him relative to his former associates. 1860 TYNDALL *Glac.* I. xvi. 108, I..tried to extract some direct encouragement from him.

4. To obtain (constituent elements, juices, etc.) from a thing or substance by suction, pressure, distillation, or any chemical or mechanical operation. Said both of personal and material agents. † Also *intr.* for *refl.* (*obs. rare*).

1594 PLAT *Jewell-ho., Chem. Concl.* 3 The maner of drawing, or extracting of the oiles out of hearbes. 1626 BACON *Sylva* § 645 Out of the Ashes of all Plants they extract a Salt, which they vse in Medicines. 1641 FRENCH *Distill.* i. (1651) 33 Let the Spirit extract in digestion till no more feces fall to the bottom. 1667 MILTON *P. L.* v. 25 How the Bee Sits on the Bloom extracting liquid sweet. 1799 G. SMITH *Laborat.* I. 97 Distil them with water..till all the spirits are extracted. 1816 J. SMITH *Panorama Sc. & Art* II. 444 Filter the liquor, wash the sediment with water, till it ceases to extract any thing. 1853 SOYER *Pantroph.* 131 When the cook wanted to extract the salt, he first boiled the meat well in milk. 1875 *Ure's Dict. Arts* III. 1146 The skins being present, the wine which is in process of formation extracts tannic acid from the skins.

absol. 1651 BIGGS *New Disp.* ¶ 79 You labour..in extracting after the manner introduc'd by Neotericks.

Mr. Bill Moore
4219 W. Olive Ave., #247
Burbank, CA 91505

27 August 1990

Dear Bill:

Thanks for sending the two latest issues of *Focus*.

I thought the editorial *Nevada Nonsense* a tad premature and perhaps smacking of yellow journalism. One of the things I am most concerned with in today's ufology is the effort of one set of "experts" to verbally destroy another set of "experts." I don't feel that accomplishes very much. If anything, it must please the folks tasked with keeping the lid on the UFO topic.

This does not say I am convinced regarding everything Bob has said. I have felt, from day one, and have so stated to Gene Huff, the *possibility* is real that Bob has uttered words not of his own making but rather placed in his head while under the influence of either drugs and/or hypnosis.

How could Toni Bulloch be Bob's "partner?" She wasn't even tried. You make a point of the sentence of 1 to 6 years and a fine of possibly $5,000, Bob received neither. He walks freely.

Bob did have a security clearance at Los Alamos and a W-2 from both his work at Los Alamos and, as best we can tell, from S 4. I am attempting to verify this.

Bob Lazar is consistent. His story hasn't changed one iota. I find it unfortunate you and others have failed to allow time to develop *his* case. In the meantime you make a point of continuing the Roswell Incident. Bob's story began a little over 2 years ago while Roswell has run since 1947. Don't you think it fair to allow this case to properly develop before you toss it all aside?

On Tuesday of last week I received a call from Pete Eames of AFOSI's special projects office. Pete said there was no "Pajama Gang." He then asked me about Bob Lazar!!! Curious, no? I offered nothing, not even recognition of Bob's sentence. Pete has everything needed to probe...far better than I. Let him. He also said very unkind things about Naval Intelligence; then he indicated to me that he had been asked to join them. He called them "clowns." So much for defamation of character within our professional investigative agencies.

The full story of Bob Lazar is not yet in. My personal feeling is that he is a *true* cut above most other folks in ufology. He cares about other people. That quality confounds many of us but it should also be a clue. I got a kick out of your "trapdoor" closing paragraph.

I do not agree with you. Nonetheless, I wish you well, Bill.

Sincerely,

John Andrews

MR JOHN J ANDREWS
8180 SANTA ARMINTA AVE
SAN DIEGO CA
92126-1243

SAN DIEGO CA 921
PM
28 AUG
1990



MR. BILL MOORE
4219 W. OLIVE AVE., #247
BURBANK, CA 91505

MR. BILL MOORE
4219 W. OLIVE AVE., #247
BURBANK, CA 91505

SEP 90 RECEIVED

Called (phone) 9/12/90 WM

11 SEPTEMBER 1990

DEAR BILL:

LEE HAS TOLD ME YOU PLAN ON PRINTING MY LETTER OF 27 AUGUST 1990.

I DIDN'T WRITE IT FOR THAT PURPOSE BUT I CAN'T STOP YOU EITHER. BUT I PREFER YOU NOT; THAT WAS BETWEEN US.

IF YOU DO PUBLISH THE LETTER I ASK THE AREA HIGHLIGHTED ON THE ATTACHED COPY BE EDITED OUT — ACTUALLY I'D PREFER THE ENTIRE OSI PARAGRAPH BE EXCISED. I REALLY DON'T WANT TO PLACE PETE IN A DEFENSIVE POSITION. I'D RATHER HE ELECTS TO CALL ME EVEN TO SAY ODD THINGS. THAT WAY WE HAVE A PULSE. THOSE ARE MY FEELINGS. NONE OF US HAS THE POWER TO FORCE ANY OF THESE AGENCIES TO "TELL THE TRUTH." BUT, IF EACH OF US KEEPS COMMUNICATING, SOMEONE, SOME CONTACT, MIGHT SLIP. I DO KNOW THERE IS IN-FIGHTING RIGHT NOW BECAUSE OF BUDGETS BETWEEN SERVICES. PEOPLE ARE ON EDGE. SOMEONE MIGHT SLIP.

CALL ME — 619/546-9494 — GIVE ME A PHONE # AND I'LL CALL YOU BACK ON MY NICKEL.

Regards,

John

JOHN ANDREWS

ENCL: HIGHLIGHTED LTR. COPY OF 27 AUG 90/BILL MOORE

Mr. Bill Moore
4219 W. Olive Ave., #247
Burbank, CA 91505

27 August 1990

Dear Bill:

Thanks for sending the two latest issues of *Focus*.

I thought the editorial *Nevada Nonsense* a tad premature and perhaps smacking of yellow journalism. One of the things I am most concerned with in today's ufology is the effort of one set of "experts" to verbally destroy another set of "experts." I don't feel that accomplishes very much. If anything, it must please the folks tasked with keeping the lid on the UFO topic.

This does not say I am convinced regarding everything Bob has said. I have felt, from day one, and have so stated to Gene Huff, the *possibility* is real that Bob has uttered words not of his own making but rather placed in his head while under the influence of either drugs and/or hypnosis.

How could Toni Bulloch be Bob's "partner?" She wasn't even tried. You make a point of the sentence of 1 to 6 years and a fine of possibly $5,000, Bob received neither. He walks freely.

Bob did have a security clearance at Los Alamos and a W-2 from both his work at Los Alamos and, as best we can tell, from S 4. I am attempting to verify this.

Bob Lazar is consistent. His story hasn't changed one iota. I find it unfortunate you and others have failed to allow time to develop *his* case. In the meantime you make a point of continuing the Roswell Incident. Bob's story began a little over 2 years ago while Roswell has run since 1947. Don't you think it fair to allow this case to properly develop before you toss it all aside?

On Tuesday of last week I received a call from Pete Eames of AFOSI's special projects office. Pete said there was no "Pajama Gang." He then asked me about Bob Lazar!!! Curious, no? I offered nothing, not even recognition of Bob's sentence. Pete has everything needed to probe...far better than I. Let him. He also said very unkind things about Naval Intelligence; then he indicated to me that he had been asked to join them. He called them "clowns." So much for defamation of character within our professional investigative agencies.

The full story of Bob Lazar is not yet in. My personal feeling is that he is a *true* cut above most other folks in ufology. He cares about other people. That quality confounds many of us but it should also be a clue. I got a kick out of your "trapdoor" closing paragraph.

I do not agree with you. Nonetheless, I wish you well, Bill.

Sincerely,

John Andrews

ANDREWS
8180 SANTA ARMINTA
SAN DIEGO, CA 92126-1243

SAN DIEGO, CA 921 26
PM
11 SEP
1990

25 USA
ALWAYS USE
ZIP CODE

MR. BILL MOORE
4219 W. OLIVE AVE., #247
BURBANK, CA 91505

Mr. Bill Moore
22 December 1990

Reply to "JANDREWS" 1/21/91 wm.

JAN 9 91 RECEIVED

Dear Bill:

Your letter regarding post boxes and ZipCodes of 19 Dec 90 arrived today.

Let me tell you the strangeness and the pattern of what is happening. And there is a definite pattern evolving.

When I received the copy of Bob's 1989 W-2 I began a series of letters. The first was mailed on 6 September 1990 to the U.S. Department of Naval Intelligence, Washington, DC 20038. I included a copy of my membership card for the Aviation/Space Writers Association and said I was doing a story requiring historical authenticity and I wanted their help in verifying one item. I gave the organization name and the Employer Identification Number as on the W-2. Then I asked if what I stated was correct.

Mailed it off. It was never answered. EXCEPT the Naval Investigative Service sent a brochure (*This is the NIS*) in a large brown envelope sans cover letter or a personal card. The metered postage date was 25 September and it arrived at my home on 28 September 1990. The label on the envelope carried my total 9 digit Zipcode...as written on my 6 September letter.

On the 29th of September I began a string of letters to NIS asking why I was sent the brochure. *They* initiated contact with me. Prior to 29 September I had never asked them for anything.

On 1 October 1990 I remailed the 6 September '90 letter but added, after the 20038 Zipcode, "(NIC-01)." That got to Naval Intelligence Command. I did a return mail receipt on this one. I have never gotten the return receipt back. Naval Intelligence Command said they could not verify the EIN. I then asked them, on 14 October, why they couldn't identify the EIN. On 19 October - letter date stamp, not post stamp - the NIS contact said he checked with both Personnel Office and Payroll Office. Then he gives me the EIN for the Naval Intelligence Command!! 53-0196952...even though the IRS says the EINs should not be given out!!

More curious: how fast does Uncle Sam usually respond to our letters? At best my experience is 10 days and usually more like 3 weeks. I send one on 1 October, the date stamp on his return is 4 October with a postmark on the envelope of 9 October. I find that remarkable. Someone throwing this dog special courtesy bones?

There is no question my original 6 September letter is what prompted the NIS brochure. But to this date they - NIS - have not said why. Over a month ago I received a letter from Sen. Cranston that his office would look into this. Nothing from him either yet.

I called IRS in Sacramento. The numbers on Bob's W-2 - the prefix 46 - is for South Dakota and those numbers are handled out of the Ogden, UT, Regional IRS office. I called there. Charmed the girl to go into her computer and see if the name and the number are a match or were in her access data. Nope. She could find neither the name or number. *But*, she said, that isn't the end, call or write Washington/Philadelphia IRS. I wrote. FOIA. Got the stupid stock answer. I have appealed.

In my office complex is a headhunting firm that specializes in high technology people. The owner is a good friend. I passed some of the Lazar info to him and asked he find out what he could. He has a personal friend locally with the Defense Contractor Investigative Service (DCIS). (Can you confirm there is such a thing? Not DIS; DCIS.) Anyway, Larry talks with his DCIS friend. DCIS guy calls Washington and talks to a higher up. Larry came to my office Thursday (20 December). His friend was in a nice conversation with the Washington guy, changed to questions about Lazar and Larry said the conversation went cold!! They were not going to talk about Lazar and Larry's friend was not to ask any further questions and he was to have no further comments. Larry said his friend was shaken.

You know what's happening? They are burying Bob Lazar and everything about him. Time is on the government's side.

The NIS is not being straight with me on that brochure. Surely they can offer an answer. Even a phoney one would be better than doing nothing.

Bill, I have no intention of cooking up a phoney business entity to test Lazar. So far the conduct on the Navy side of the house is more suspect than Bob's. Secondly, that type of thing is not my style. I give everyone miles of rope while keeping a very watchful eye looking for patterns. I don't like entrapment when the FBI does it; why should I do it?

The CIA operates "safe houses" in the DC area. Agents come and go in jeans and sneakers and sweaters and hardly look like government types. Why not a simple administrative office doing the same but dealing with the payroll for the S 4 guys? It might have the 200 prefix as you say. If I were doing it I'd have it so fractured and out of visible and logical order it would make your head swim.

My initial letter sent on 6 September 1990 ended up at NIS. It prompted them to send, anonymously, the brochure. On repeated dunning they have refused to answer why. What can be so mysterious about a brochure whose cover has a lady NIS agent pointing a gun at you??!! What was the purpose?

✓CC: LEE GRAHAM

John Andrews

JOHN J. ANDREWS
8180 SANTA ARMINTA AVE.
SAN DIEGO, CA. 92126

SAN DIEGO, CA 921
PM
24 DEC
1990

BUCKLE UP 25 USA
SAN DIEGO

MR. BILL MOORE
4219 WEST OLIVE AV., #247
BURBANK, CA 91505

PHILIP J. KLASS
404 "N" ST. SOUTHWEST
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20024
(202) 554-5901

March 20, 1990

Dear John Andrews:

Have given much thought to the question of who the MJ-12 hoaxer might be--based on motivation, knowledge and style/date-format--and have also consulted my trusty Ouija Board.

Based on the foregoing, in order of decreasing probability, my list of possible culprits is as follows:

(1) Michel Gorbachev

(2) Margaret Thatcher

(3) Barbara Bush

(4) Dwight D. Eisenhower

(5) Harry Truman

(6) Col. Oliver North

(7) Dr. Donald Menzel

(8) You

(9) Me

(10) Bill Moore/Jaime Shandera

24 MARCH 1990
BILL MOORE

BILL:

COPY OF LTR. FROM PHIL KLASS ENCLOSED. KLASS HAD SENT TO ME A REPRINT FROM THE SKEPTICAL ENQUIRER (I THINK I JUST REALLY SCREWED UP SOME SPELLING!) (THAT'S FUNNY.) RE: TRUMAN SIGNATURE.

I REPLIED ISN'T THE REAL QUESTION WHO THE HOAXER(S) — IF THAT BE THE CASE — MIGHT BE?

HENCE THIS LETTER FROM HIM.

I THOUGHT YOU SHOULD HAVE THIS F.Y.I.

Regards

John Andrews

CC: KLASS LTR. COPY OF 20 MAR. '90

P.S. HAVE YOU EVER MET PETE EAMES OF BARRY HENNESSEY'S OFFICE? PETE TELLS ME THERE ARE NO ZERO FILES; HE DOES ADMIT THERE ARE ZERO CASES. OBVIOUSLY THE GAME IS ONE OF SEMANTICS. AS ALWAYS WITH GOV'T. ONE NEEDS TO "...LEARN THE LANGUAGE OF THE CLUB." (QUOTE FROM FLETCH PROUTY.) UNFORTUNATELY THERE APPEAR TO BE SEVERAL "CLUBS" AND THEY DO NOT USE THE SAME VOCABULARY. PERHAPS THERE IS A NEED FOR AN OFFICIAL "UFOLOGISTS DICTIONARY" COMPLETE WITH KEY WORDS, ACRONYMS, AND THE CORRECT GOVERNMENTAL DEFINITIONS. THEN, PERHAPS, WE CAN ALL TALK THE SAME LANGUAGE.

(OVER)

P.P.S. CLIFFORD STONE WAS ON THE BILLY GOODMAN RADIO SHOW LAST NIGHT. STONE SAID SOMETHING INTERESTING. HE SAID A PERSON CAN BE GIVEN SOME PHARMACOLOGICAL CHEMICALS WHICH CAN CAUSE TO THEM TO SAY, WHEN LOOKING AT, SAY, PHOTOGRAPHS, THAT THEY SEE IN THE PHOTOS WHAT HAS BEEN "SUGGESTED" TO THEM TO SEE AND SAY. ALMOST SOUNDS LIKE THE PAUL BENNEWITZ RESULT. I NEVER SAW IN HIS PHOTOS WHAT HE SAID HE SEES. NOR DID YOU.

IT MIGHT BE POSSIBLE A SIMILAR SITUATION EXISTS WITH BOB LAZAR. I MUST ADMIT I HAVE A PERSONAL LIKING OF LAZAR - LIKE HIM AS A PERSON. AT THE SAME TIME I PROCEED WITH CAUTION.

BARRY HENNESSEY'S FIELD OF DISCIPLINE IS FORENSICS - CRIMINAL/MEDICAL. HOW INTERESTING. HE SOLVED SEVERAL STRANGE CASES (DEATHS OF AIR FORCE PEOPLE) IN EUROPE.

I [illegible] other on this. This guy - [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

U.S. NAVY HAS A MIND CONTROL LABORATORY ON THE NAVAL BASE AT NAPLES, ITALY.

rpm THE TESTOR CORPORATION
5230 Carroll Canyon Rd., Suite 326
San Diego, CA 92121

MR. BILL MOORE
4219 W. OLIVE ST., # 247
BURBANK, CA 91505

SAN DIEGO, CA
PM
26 MAR 1990
92126

USA
25

B (1)

18 September 1987

John Andrews
8180 Santa Arminta
San Diego, CA 92126-1243

Dear John,

Several items of interest recently:

1. 1952 organization chart of the NSC, showing the PSB as a part thereof

2. 14-year-old photocopy of an Orange County Register story alluding to MJ12 and possibly Menzel

3. Your letter to Lee regarding Barry's meeting with you

4. Telephone conversation with Bob Bletchman (an attorney who works with MUFON or CAUS (or both?).

1. If the organization chart is genuine (and I have little doubt that it is) then the PSB would be one of the few Truman "black" projects--a perfect place to funnel UFO-research funding--justified by the potential for panic inherent in the H-bomb, Korean War, and upcoming election.

MJ12

2. The Register ran a series of articles in 1973 entitled "The Continuing UFO". Klinn and Branch, the co-authors, did some reasonably good work regarding UFO in Southern California. I have several of the original articles, but in 1973 I was more interested in the "sensational" sightings than in the research and more mundane aspects. This does point out, however, what I concluded several months ago--we must review all the "old" UFO stuff in light of what we know now because of MJ12/Aquarius.

3. Without benefit of your letter, and because of Lee's closed mouth (now THAT'S a switch) I, too, concluded that the F-XXX "N_____K" was the subject of discussion with AFOSI/FBI. I intentionally omitted the project code S_____T_____ from my FOIA, both as a test (thinking Lee might get a response and I wouldn't), and also to form the basis for a FOIA appeal. Both you and Lee were contacted (Tuesday at 9:30 am?), and because both you and Lee referred to "ST" in your FOIA, I'm now 100% certain that my original hypothesis (of Wednesday evening) was correct. I would prefer not to have confirmation of my hypothesis so that I may continue research into this matter without being subject to non-job-related security threats. If for even a fraction of a second I believed that the F-XXX, code name, and project name would, if revealed, threaten the security of my country, I would NEVER say anything until/unless the data were officially released. However, I do not believe that a 3-man team (Andrews, Graham, Regehr) doing part-time research will "scoop" the Soviet's and their KGB minions. My hunch is the USAF, et al, are upset because our camel stuck its nose under their tent when their back was turned.

4. Bob Bletchman provided Professor ? (I do not recall his name, but he's former president of the American Linguistic Society, and is currently a full professor of linguistics and philosophy) of Drew University with the MJ12 and an assortment of known Hillenkoetter memoranda with the aim of soliciting confirmation of writing style.

S U C C E S S !

He (the professor) claims that he believes there is little doubt that Hillenkoetter did write the briefing document as claimed. As soon as I get the good professor's letter from Bob, I'll forward a copy to you.

John, I've started to become concerned that our research is taking us into sensitive areas that may not be appreciated by some individuals and their organizations. It behooves us all to maintain the strictest sensitivity to protect against disclosure of legitimately classified data. So far, I'm convinced that nothing has been compromised. If I thought otherwise (and I'm certain this is true for you and Lee also) I would have reported it at once. However sometimes officials react with vigor over "supposed" infractions. Let's do all we can to ensure that our actions and deeds are in the best interest of all concerned. To date, I believe we've done that, and there is no question that we will continue to, but an occasional prod helps keep me on my toes!

Wouldn't it be great if there was just one individual in our government who we could trust with our discoverys, who would not restrict our ventures unless we really stumbled onto something that was properly classified, and who would not lead us down false paths?

Oh well, enough lecturing and dreaming!

Thanks again for the article on the Vatican and UFO. Were you planning any follow-up on it?

Roy

P.S. When can we all get together -- my chili pot's getting cold!

via John Andrews 11/17/83 5PM.

A.F. Electronic Security Cmd.

Medina base. Unit called

MJ-12 (Elect-Security Cmd. is same outfit w/ SINOPS - Turkey thing.)

Has dear friend (Air Res. unit, MSP w/ guy who used to be AF Elect. + Sec. Cmd.) Friend talked to friend - E7? level!! Unit MJ-12 - if you want

UFO mgr - that's the unit which has it.

They talk in coded ways about it.

Unit based or HQ or run out of there. Medina is out of San Antonio, TX. 700' underground, near Kelly AFB.

VIA John Anderson
6-29-88
10 AM.

William M. Bird

"Dr." ~~Wm M. Bird~~

Bird Products Corp. – met. foods, resuscitation equipt.

Palm Springs

400 emp.
multi-millions
30 mil

No names for corp. officers listed in Bus. Dir.

– Dr. Bird does some speaking engagements – sci. unk.
– Has, according to heavies, visited Reunion on sev. occasions.

Helio-Courier aircraft (photo on floats) – nr. P.S.

connected w/ CIA operations.

Lake 1200' deep 43 mi long
(Glen Beary Bay)

Lives in Idaho – Sand Point
has 9 aircraft w/ helicopters. 60 mi. S. of Can. border

Mysterious character. Flies people in, spend 3-4 wks.
flies back out. Hangar at airport.

claims search + rescue ops. – evidence
does not bear this out. Barbed wire fences –
active dangerous areas, etc.

Discourage people, even though listed on Pub. facility.

2 listings in phone book.

Bird Dist. of Bio-Med Technology

Bird Space Tech.

Name of power boat "Organon" (tool - thought process rel. to Aristotle.)

on each side of Beach Duke aircraft, words

"the inalienable right"

in P. Springs is only ans. service. which says they will get back to you.

Mr. Ben R.Rich
Executive Vice President and G.M. ADP
Lockheed Aeronautical Systems Company
Burbank, CA 91520

28 June 1988

Dear Ben:

This is UFO related. I am curious about a few things.

1. Have you had any dealings with a Dr. William M. Bird?

2. Have you had any dealings with the Bird Institute of Biomedical Technology?

3. Have you had any dealings with Bird Space Technology?

Bird has facilities in Sandpoint, Idaho, and Palm Springs, CA. He owns 9 aircraft including, to make a point, a Helio Courier on floats, a Beech Baron twin, a Beech Duke twin turboprop, a Cessna 180 on floats, a couple of helicopters, etc. He is, it seems, active in search and rescue. His money is derived from the Palm Springs operation known as Bird Products Corporation which, according to a medical Who's-Who employs 450 people, does $30,000,000 per year(1986) yet answers phones through an answering service in Palm Springs rather than their own switchboard. "Someone will get back to you," is the refrain. In Sandpoint he is a man of mystery. His seaplane base at Sandpoint is on the Sectional Chart as a public facility...just don't attempt to get any services - you are not welcome. His powerboat there is named Organon. The lettering on the side of the Duke's cockpit reads The Inalienable Right. He often goes to Russia. To John Andrews Dr. Bird and the whole operation has a strange smell. Like deep cover for something else. I could be wrong. But then again....

The lake at Sandpoint is 43 miles long(a slight arc), 1200 feet deep, 61 miles from the Canadian border and just above Coeur d' Alene. It would be fun to find out if Bird's airplanes have access to landing rights at Fairchild AFB(SAC) at Spokane. Some local controller should know that.

Any comments? Your little airplanes are noisy flying around the pattern at night - noise suppressors removed for training?

Regards,

John Andrews
8180 Santa Arminta
San Diego, CA 92126-1243

Sandpoint, Idaho phone book

Billingsley Jack M MoyieSprings 267-3362
Billingsley Mary North BonFy 267-5985
Billingsley Mike & Sue BonFy 267-5962
Billingsley Ted MoyieSprings 267-7659
Billiter Penny 424 Talache Rd Sagle 265-4720
Billman Gordon 1812 Larch Sdpt 263-1147
Bill's Garage & Pellet Stove Designs
315 Forest Av Sdpt 263-2041
BILL'S HONDA SALES 116 N 1 Av Sdpt ... **263-4212**
Billups Betty Sdpt 263-1117
Bilodeau Peter 1300 Selkirk Rd Samuels 263-7330
Binnall Craig 313 W Jackson BonFy 267-5653
Binnall Robt J 532 Aspen Ln Hope 264-5202
Birch Cleo Hoo Doo Vly Sdpt 263-6900
Birchmier Glen E 455 Peninsula Rd Hope 264-5876
Bird Airlodge Glengary Bay Sdpt 263-2549
Bird Airport Hanger Airport Sdpt 263-0012
Bird Forrest M Glengary Bay Sdpt 263-2549
Bird Institute Of Biomedical Tech
Glengary Bay Sdpt 263-1987
Bird M P Glengary Bay Rd Sdpt 265-4482
Bird Space Technology
Mailing Address PO Box 817 Sdpt ID 83864
Glengary Bay Sdpt 263-2549
Bird Willet M Hoodoo Mtn Rd Sdpt 263-4410
"DR. BIRD" → Bird Wm M 1220 Glengary Rd Sagle 263-7986
Birdsell Max 920 Poplar Sdpt 263-3696
Birdseye Tom & Debbie
5706 E Dufort Rd Sagle 263-8223
Birkhimer Onward Bronx Sdpt 263-6001
Birkland Duane 9850 Hwy 95 N Sdpt 263-7040
Birks Chester M 1000 Ridley Vlg Rd Sdpt 263-9049
Birks Jas 1814 Larch Sdpt 263-8929
Birnbaum Hank Talache Rd Sagle 263-3506
Bishop Devere 720 Lakeview Hope 264-5382
Bishop Harvey M 712 Main Sdpt 263-3859
Bishop Steve 3260 Jewel Lk Rd Sagle 263-8627
Bishop Tony Hwy 1 BonFy 267-7360
Bissell Bill & Katie Edelweiss Vlg Sdpt 263-1694
Bisson Della J Kootenai 263-8255
Bistline Claire 611 N 3rd Av Sdpt 263-9154
Bistline J H Westwood Sdpt 263-2808
Bistline Pat 652 Lookout Rd Hope 264-5354
Bistline Steve Warren Is Hope 264-5420
Bittner Edw C & Leanore
636 Bottle Bay Rd Sdpt 265-4512
Bittner Joy Mtn View Rd E ClkFrk 266-1564
Bjarnarson Kari Cow Crk BonFy 267-7982
Bjerke Brian Sagle 263-9871
Bjorkquist John Kootenai 265-4578
Bjornson Elmer 1107 Birch Sdpt 263-3216

Blockhan Kenneth No
Blockoff Stuart & Sus
Blomstrom Clifford E
6700 Shingle Mill R
Blood Arnold Clagsto
Blood Dan 6395 Hwy
Blood Irvin L 6385 H
Blood Lewis Rt 1 Bx
Blood Sherry 208 Fo
Bloom Craig 315 N Fl
Bloom Denver D 770C
Bloom Edwin C Sr 45
Bloom Edwin F 5400
Bloom Ralph W 923 F
Bloom Raynold W Tre
Bloom Terry Whiskey
Bloxom Bill D 609 Fo
Bloxom Clair O 607 L
Bloxom Joe 617 N 3
Bloxom Laura 220 S
BLUE CROSS OF I
W 408 Haycraft A
Coeur d'Alene .....
Blue Lake RV Park In
Hwy 95 S Blue Lk
Blue Sky Productions
BLUE SKY TRAVE
Blumenstein Tom G
BOARDWALK BO
302 Main BonFy ..
Boatman Clarence 8
Boatman Larry Rd 2
Boatworks The 823
Boatwright Jim 475
Bobby Don Hwy 200
Bobich Mae 303 N
Bobich T M Hwy 20
Bob's Electric
1500 Elmira Rd S
Bock Ed 1200 West
Boden Tim & Janet
Boden Timothy 302
Bodine Jim & Delore
9635 Rapid Ltng
Bodner David & Mee
Boeck Dale R Hwy 9
Boeck Michael D W
Boehm John 6290
Boepple Dan 311 G
Boger Wilbur Camp
Boggess Neal & Sus
Bogue Agnes M 51
Bohemian Trader

Mr. Bill Moore
2 February 1991

FEB 91
RECEIVED

Dear Bill:

Your's of 29 January arrived yesterday.

Good letter and hopefully you and I are on the way to better understanding.

I've elected to end my friendship with Lee. I've enclosed a copy of my letter to him. He has a real, as he sees it, problem with AFOSI and wants me to join him in "...telling the public the truth." Lee is on a mission that I place little value on from my personal standpoint. I'm pleased he has the passion to joust. He can't seem to understand I do not share the same passion for the particular battle he wishes to wage. It is a war for him and a skirmish for me but he can't see the difference nor does he attempt to understand my viewpoint.

The one issue he and myself are truly on different paths with is the Ben Rich/John Andrews UFO letters. Lee is determined to spread that exchange to the world. I've asked him to not do that. Ben, though retired as head of the Skunk Works, still is in the shop 3 days a week as a consultant. Ben likes mavericks. And though he once, in a staff meeting, called me, "That son-of-a-bitch at Testor," Ben actually likes this maverick. Yes, he has since called me that.

In September of 1989 Ben called me to visit with him in his office in Burbank. I spent an hour with him in his closed office. It was a very personal conversation. I truly, Bill, admire this man.

Those letters were shared with Lee with the stated provision they not be spread. Lee then used them in his security battle (I'm not sure there is one other than the elevated level Lee has brought it to. I might be wrong.) with the world of industrial security. He then wrote a letter to Ben apologizing for releasing them to the security inner sanctum.

Now he wants to release them to the general public. And I am opposed to that. This is not yet the time. But, again, Lee is on a mission and he is not taking my counsel nor giving regard to my wishes.

Several years ago there was a court case concerning letters. The court ruled that personal letters are personal property, are, in essence, common-law copyrighted, and are not public domain. A law suit and judgement was won on that basis. Lee is not using good sense.

Bill Moore
2 February 1991

I wish to God someone would talk some sense to him.

Bill, I need no public acknowledgements regarding the MJ/NJ stuff. Lord, that kind of fame is not important to me. I cited it in my letter to you as a simple note of the open sharing I prefer working in. The UFO community is so different from my aviation buddies. God, I delivered 20 years of U-2 research and 2 boxes of photos, letters, and misc. data to Jay Miller of AeroFax publications. No charge. No restrictions. No royalty. He desired my reading of the manuscript prior to typesetting and advisory. All that was done. I've a half dozen guys we share original negatives with. We all appreciate each other and we do deal in very HOT security stuff. We exercise great restraint and self-control; judgment if you will. The UFO community is different. Egos seem to be supreme and/or weaker. 'Drives me nuts.

Your closing question is interesting. Let me make it clear, Bill. I would like a publically recognized person, in a proven capacity, to write or place on tape (tape done in my presence), that Bob Lazar is a liar, affix his/her signature, and then grant me the right to go public with that and use his/her name as the attributable source. Because then I could lay that on Bob and Gene and the rest of the community. A comment from the misty "Falcon" isn't it, Bill. We've got to do better than hearsay. Dr. Teller has said he "...does not agree with my thesis." Yet, twice, I've asked for a simple one sentence letter: Bob Lazar is a liar. That letter, with Teller's signature, has yet to come. He did autograph my copy of his book though. And packaged it and sent it back to me! But not the letter.

Bill, how do we get a handle on Lee? What he is doing is not good for himself and it is unfair to Ben Rich. The proper time will come, it isn't simply isn't here yet. I too, perhaps even more than Lee, want to be able to say, "See, friends and family, what I've been saying is true. UFO's *are* real." But I do not wish to go against the personal wishes of a valued contact.

Sincerely,

John

John Andrews

8180 Santa Arminta
San Diego, CA 92126-1243

Encl: Copy Andrews' ltr. to Graham of 30 Jan 1991

30 January 1991

Dear Lee:

I repeat: E.O. 12356 does not apply to a civilian without a clearance. A civilian who receives information, if he does not know it is classified, can use it in any way he chooses. That person need not automatically assume the data is classified. I repeat: E.O. 12356 does not apply to me.

No, I don't need your Security Office phone number. E.O. 12356 is not a State Secrets Act as exists in England. That is the difference.

Lee, I seek no credits for info. I am not a pompous ass. I asked you to not send an FOIA on the info that came to Testor because it came anonymously in the mail and an FOIA would serve no purpose. The document was not generated by the government.

Lee, you have forgotten. I *did* tell you Hennessey had a copy of my letter to Ben in his pocket. Years ago I told that to you. All my letters to Ben automatically go to AFOSI. A Col. Troyer told me that.

You have no formal association with AFOSI and neither do I. Our situations with AFOSI are the same. Your contact man with AFOSI was Kerby and now Eames. I haven't had anything to do with Hennessey in 3 years and there never was any formalized situation with anyone from AFOSI. I do not consider their visits and phone calls a formalized form of contact. Nor do they.

Your battle, the one *you* have selected, is with AFOSI. It is inconsiderate for you to expect me to join that battle. You have raised it to a level far exceeding its practical value. The battle has value to you and you only. I suggest you go fight it. Be a hero; be whatever pleases you. Just don't ask me to join in that battle; I view it as non-productive. I have enough of my own wars, I don't need your's too.

After many calls and much discussion, you refuse to consider my views and feelings on *one* key issue. I brought you inside a friendship. And you won't respect that. Lee, it is time my dealings and friendship with you cease. This is difficult because I had given value to our relationship. Lately there has been a clear pattern of severely illogical thought on your part. That lack of rationality has made it impossible for me. Therefore it is over. And, sadly, *AFOSI is the winner* again.

Even with that said, I wish you and Marie well. I wish you no harm and trust you will prosper. But, right now, I see no way to repair this.

Sincerely,

John Andrews

JOHN J. ANDREWS
8180 SANTA ARMINTA AVE.
SAN DIEGO, CA. 92126

SAN DIEGO, CA 921
PM
2 FEB
1991



MR. BILL MOORE
4219 W. OLIVE AVENUE, #247
BURBANK, CA 91505

February 12, 1991

FROM DALLAS, TX

BAZETT-50

FEB 91 RECEIVED

Dear John:

I'll get right to the point. In reading the excellent book on the Aleutian campaign by Brian Garfield, "The 1000 Mile War", I came across something interesting in unexplained phenomena.

At 1 AM on the morning of Monday, July 26, 1943, the strange "Battle of the Pips" took place between a U.S. Naval task force and seven "pips" on the radar screens of five major ships of that force. The navy fired on these "targets" for some time, as it was a clear night, a rarity in the Aleutian area. No debris was found, although the targets were seen to maneuver and change speed on the radar screens, and the bearings on the pips were virtually identical from all ships. The pips were also picked up by U.S. aircraft flying in the area.

After the war, Japanese interviews and records showed that they had no forces in the area at the time of the "battle", nor did the Soviets.

The whole thing remains to this day a mystery about which the navy, even now, is reluctant to discuss.

REF. You might want to check on it as it appears to be a possible 1943 UFO type thing. A good start might be to read the tale on the "battle" entitled: **Secret Information BULLETIN No.12, February 12, 1944,** by the U.S.Navy. Also, ship's logs from the Mississippi, New Mexico, Portland, San Francisco and Wichita record the incident.

Weather conditions for that night were ideal. It was a clear night, the wind was west at 8 knots, the sky was cloudless, with moonrise an hour away, barometer 30.00 and steady, sea calm, air temperature 52 degrees, water temperature 49 degrees, and the Japanese fleet (the only ships aside from the U.S. Navy anywhere near the Aleutians on that night) was 400 miles away. The pips were on a heading of 140 degrees and traveling at 17 knots. Upon being fired upon, the pips changed course to 340 degrees, changing speed, and began zig-zagging at 20 degrees.

The "engagement" lasted 30 minutes, during which all five previously mentioned ships retained the "targets" on their radar screens. There were Japanese subs,(I-Boats) in the area, but only five and on seeing the gunfire immediately submerged and could not then have been visible to radar for the ensuing 30 minutes.

(Testimony of Captain Itsuba Ikatura, commander of one of the I-Boats, I-2.)

Strange...

ANOTHER "PHIL" BUT NOT THE INFAMOUS KLASS.

BILL MOORE: THIS FROM ONE OF MY AVIATION GUYS. I'D NOT KNOWN OF THIS INCIDENT BEFORE. YOU MIGHT HAVE.

Regards, John Andrews

THE TESTOR CORPORATION
5230 Carroll Canyon Rd., Suite 326
San Diego, CA 92121

MR. BILL MOORE
4219 W. OLIVE AV., #247
BURBANK, CA 91505

SAN DIEGO, CA
4 PM
15 FEB
1991
92126



Mr. Bill Moore
4219 West Olive Av, #247
Burbank, CA 91505

FEB 9
RECEIVED

25 February 1991

Dear Bill:

Your's of 22 February arrived today.

Yes, thank you for the Ben Rich letter assistance with Lee. I will, however, proceed with caution with Lee. The whole incident threw a warning flag up with me. It is unfortunate. At a very personal level I truly like the guy but he doesn't view things as I might. Or you either for that matter.

No, last week I had no visitors nor any out-of-the-ordinary phone calls. A fellow researcher, however, has a tail on him. The tail called two of his friends and let them know where he's been the past 2 weeks. The tail was 85% correct. I don't know what that is all about but I do think, as a guess, it might be AFOSI. He has upset AFOSI several times.

The Navy Captain who promised to check out the Lazar W-2 has not replied yet even though I have the postal receipt signed by him on 28 January 1991. I did dun him on 23 February for a progress report. 'Probably received it today.

IRS appeal on the same issue is legally overdue by a week and a dunning letter is due them. When this is completed I'll write that.

Personally, no good reason, but I wonder if this "war" has something to do with the MJ-12 milieu? It will be interesting to see if the territory held by the coalition in Iraq moves slightly up north to include the ancient city of Eridu. Or at least to hold for a while to allow some anthropological "research." Once the diggings are done we give it back. The rebuilding, the firms and the equipment, will deserve intense intelligence watching. The conduct of this "war" is very strange indeed. Just one of those things in my elderly bones that is subconsciously gnawing away. Do you have any solid contacts at Fluor or Bechtel? The Kuwaiti government has already signed contracts with both firms.

Okay on the blips battle. You can't research everything. I know I can't. So we need to, oh boy....focus. (Stop laughing, Moore!!!)

Regards,

John

John Andrews

JOHN J. ANDREWS
8180 SANTA ARMINTA AVE.
SAN DIEGO, CA. 92126

SAN DIEGO, CA 92126
PM
26 FEB
1991



MR. BILL MOORE
4219 WEST OLIVE AV., #247
BURBANK, CA 91505

18 January 1991

OPEN LETTER

Mr. JOHN ANDREWS
8180 Santa Arminta
San Diego, CA 92126

Dear Mr. Andrews:

Sir, I am writing this OPEN LETTER to you as the result of our last telephone conversation.

I have enclosed (see A) for your perusal a copy of Executive Order 12356 which as a signer to STANDARD FORM 312, I am obliged to observe, and having read Executive Order 12356, in principle and practice, I can find no reason not to observe it.

I make note of the fact that Executive Order 12356 prescribes ALL information that bears a stamp "Top Secret, Secret" and "Confidential" and makes no provision for individuals who do not choose to observe this classification status.

I make note of the above fact because of a widening difference of opinion that is growing between you and I in this regard.

If you will recall, you are the individual who prior to 15 September 1987, provided me with the designation of F-117 in association with the nickname SENIOR TREND and suggested that I make a Freedom of Information Act Request for same, which I did, thus, apparently coming under the watchful eye of the AFOSI and men like Mr. PETE EAMES.

JOHN, you KNOW that I have NEVER asked you to provide me with classified information on any program, including the F-117, thus, if you knew that the information that you had passed to me was classified at that time, per your association with the AFOSI, why did you seek to compromise my security?

That is NOT being straight with me JOHN!

The point being, when I called Mr. PETE EAMES of the AFOSI about his evading this F-117/fiasco with my security clearance, he stated that he did not obey Executive Order 12356, and now in our recent telephone conversation, you too told me the same thing, i. e., you do not obey Executive Order 12356.

PAGE 2, OVER
↓

JOHN, I can accept the fact that you have friends in places who provide you with inside information on the F-117, SENIOR CITIZEN, the B-2, etc., information that you would not wish to share with me because I would share it with others, but the idea that you would use me to circulate known classified information is not in keeping with your claim of being straight with me.

SIR, if you have so much disdain for obeying the law, what on earth is the purpose of your trying to convince me that you are a person of integrity?

Mr. WILLIAM L. MOORE had the courage to blow the whistle on the AFOSI for its UFO disinformation policy via Mr. PAUL BENNEWITZ. How far will you permit the AFOSI to go, before you publicly blow the whistle on your association with Mr. PETE EAMES and his lies?

JOHN, I do NOT appreciate being involved in this tug of war for my friendship between you and BILL MOORE for first place in the crashed-saucer story, i. e., he backing "Falcon" and you backing BOB LAZAR.

You claim that I am "to close to Bill" for you to provide me with the information you are gathering on BOB LAZAR-

Fine, BILL claims that he has (like LAZAR) been to Groom Lake, Nevada as a guest of some Agency of the United States Government. He, thus, should be capable of finding out from that Agency if Mr. LAZAR's crashed-saucer/S-4 story is true.

Instead of denying to me that you believe that BILL has been to Groom Lake, take it upon yourself to contact BILL and ask him to prove it to you. Be straight with yourself!

In this regard, since under scrutiny Mr. LAZAR's testimony falls apart, your avoidance of BILL, is leading me to believe that you may be the chief individual for sponsoring LAZAR, i. e., providing him with contrived information which later turns up as his alleged personal experience. Don't try and convince me that I am wrong in this MY (own) hypothesis. Convince the others of the UFO community that you are not involved with LAZAR in coaching him!

JOHN, you have USED my friendship as a sort of tool to keep me silent about the ANDREWS/RICH letters. I do not believe that is fair to the American public.

Permit the following observation-

Please correct me if I am mistaken, but you led me to believe that the drawing you made in this letter (see enclosure B),

dated "23 October 1989") to "Ben Rich" was of a vehicle that was witnessed by BOB LAZAR at Groom Lake, Nevada.

Now, you are trying to tell me that this thing is your creation, circulated in an attempt to discover if someone has seen something like it. Circulated JOHN, when you don't want me to circulate the ANDREWS/RICH letters? I do not believe in double standards from you JOHN, one for GEORGE KNAPP via JOHN LEAR, another for Graham just because Graham doesn't give it to those JOHN wishes to share it with. Facts/truth are/is not the same as those who contrive to create it the way they want it, and more often than not, those who contrive, get exposed for their contrivance.

Your very unwillingness JOHN, with the ANDREWS/RICH letters, yet your protectiveness of BOB LAZAR, has led me from conscience to make a decision. I will share the ANDREWS/RICH letters with the Board of Directors of Fair Witness to see if they are of value. You have every right to make an appearance and explain why you do not wish them to be published. And, I would hope, that you would contact Mr. BEN RICH and ask him for a letter to our Board explaining why he would not wish the letters to be published. You see JOHN, I am straight with you!

Sincerely,



Lee M. Graham
526 W. Maple
Monrovia, CA
91016

23 January 1991

Dear Lee:

I arrived home today after a 6 day trip and found your package and your letter of 18 January 1991.

Lee, you are reading a lot of incorrect things into what is going on. You are making those huge jumps in logic again and it is wrong. Not only is it wrong but you are hurting yourself in the process.

Executive Order 12356 applies to information and documents which have originated within the government and is a guide for persons within that structure.

E.O. 12356 has no valid binding on me or any other unbriefed and uncleared civilian.

I provided you with the *F-117* and *Senior Trend* business when the two terms came into my possession. I was not told they were absolutely true and authentic nor was I told by the source the designations were classified. I assumed they could have been but I didn't know with certainty. I sent the stuff to you because you did show interest in the topic. So I sent it. I also included the terms in a letter to Ben Rich. It was a copy of that letter that Barry Hennessey had in his pocket when he visited with me on that fateful day of 15 September 1987.

In your letter you implied I have an "association" with AFOSI and that I possibly was seeking to compromise your security. On both counts you are wrong. My association with AFOSI is the same as yours. The exception being that you hold a security clearance and I don't. I was once offered a security clearance. I turned it away. I don't want a clearance. It would hamper me and not help me. Clearances put you under someone's thumb.

How many times have you and I talked about your extensive writing of FOIA requests? You worry about your security but you insist on writing the FOIA requests while knowing you must keep your clearance. There are times to write FOIA requests and there are times not to. The times not to are on current programs which we might suspect exist. The writing of the request is a red flag to people such as AFOSI. They can't legally come down hard on me but they sure as heck can come down hard on you with veiled threats related to your job.

Barry Hennessey, in his 15 September 1987 visit, never told me that *F-117* and *Senior Trend* were classified. He did ask me to refrain from using the terms openly but he never told me they came under under one of the 3 classification levels cited in E.O. 12356. And, further, as I have told you, he never asked me to sign a Standard Form 312. In my case I wouldn't have even if he had asked.

PAGE 2, OVER

I did not seek to compromise your security, Lee. That is straight.

But I also learned in the process of all this that you go charging ahead like a blind bull once you hear something. So I've taken you out of my loop. Not for my sake, Lee, but for your's. If you won't use good judgment relative to your own clearance then someone else must.

I do not obey E.O. 12356 because it does not apply to me. It simply does not. If Pete Eames said he does not obey the Order then that is his problem and, indirectly, your's I suppose. Ask your respected security advisers if E.O. 12356 applies to a civilian who does not have a clearance.

I don't "want" you to circulate classified information, Lee. What a silly ass thing for you to even say. It is you who wants to circulate the information via the hope of obtaining confirmation with an FOIA. ON CURRENT PROGRAMS THAT WON'T WORK. IT WILL RAISE A RED FLAG. KNOW IT!!!

Lee, don't be involved in a "tug of war." One, it isn't a war. Two, I didn't realize until now that you viewed it as such. I understand Bill. He simply isn't the type of researcher I have worked closely with before. I've told him that (which may surprise you). And Bill has told me, in reply, he is close to the vest because he has gotten badly burnt. I can understand that also. Lee, there ain't no tug of war. I don't care for Bill's style; it isn't mine. I honestly hope it works for him. Just let mine work for me. Be a friend to him. Or be a friend to me. Or remain a friend to us both. Your choice. And if you think I'm going to fight over friendships you are wrong again.

Bill does not *have* to prove to me that he has been to Groom Lake. Whether he has or has not is not a critical factor. I don't *think* he has. That does not mean he has "to prove it" to me. I hope he hasn't. I turned away an offer of a security clearance because accepting it would place me under someone's thumb. Bill can do as he choses. If he was to Groom then he *is* under someone's thumb.

Now, for the biggest piece of nonsense in your letter: "...that you [me] may be the chief individual for sponsoring LAZAR."

One, no one has said I was coaching him. (I'm not.) I have no need to "convince" anyone of anything, Lee. For what purpose? Also, I'm not sponsoring Lazar. What do you mean when you use the word "sponsoring?" Lee, I never provided Lazar with contrived information. Whatever Lazar did happened on his own and long before I ever talked with him. Whatever stew he has made he did on his own. Bring your friendly Bible and I'll place my hand on it.

You've got another thing all twisted. One, the drawing is something, redone by me to Rich, that was done originally by Bob and given me by a third party. Circulated? Circulated, Lee? Get off it. ONE original to Ben. ONE copy to you. That's the level of circulation done by me. Next question: what has been the extent of your circulation of the drawing?

I am not protecting Bob Lazar!!! I'm simply not yet sharing the research just yet. That's all. And Bill and I have talked about this. Has it occurred to you the research may just possibly shoot Bob down? It is on-going, Lee. It will all come out. But not yet and not in bits and pieces.

What is this business about double standards? One for GEORGE KNAPP via JOHN LEAR...etc. Explain that. I really don't know what you are talking about.

The Andrews/Rich letters were shared with you because, at the time, I thought you would be interested in them and that you could be trusted with them. I have always felt the letters were reinforcement of what we all have thought to be true. They are in the same value category as the Sarbacher letters. I asked Ben, at the time Bill was releasing the MJ-12 pages, if I could publically release our private exchange. I asked that of Ben - without prompting from either you or Bill - because I felt the letters would reinforce Bill's effort. Ben called me and asked I not release them...at least not yet. That still stands. I'm as eager as anyone to release them. Frankly, I think there is even more within the walls at Lockheed. But, Lee, if a source gives you something that supports your beliefs - and Ben is in support of our beliefs - and then against his will we throw all that stuff out to please ourselves, we then lose any future help Ben may give. Sarbacher is dead; Ben Rich isn't.

Good judgment and good sense is required. Discussion is required. Your point about my contacting Ben again relative to release of those letters is valid. I will do that. But we, all of us, must give his wishes proper value.

No need for me to drive up. A copy of this goes to Bill. I trust Bill's good sense even though I do not always agree with his research style. I simply, Lee, do not have this Messianic drive to educate and inform the unwashed public as you do.

I think you see intrigues where none exist and for whatever reason you've thrown me into some Devil's Camp. It is not true as worded in your letter. Not true at all.

And I, personally, am very saddened by your words. Not for what they say to me but rather for what they say about you.

Regards,

John Andrews

cc: Bill Moore{ And that is all. THIS is not
Ron Regehr{ an "open letter." None of mine are.

JOHN J. ANDREWS
8180 SANTA ARMINTA AVE.
SAN DIEGO, CA. 92126





MR. BILL MOORE
4219 W. OLIVE AVE., #247
BURBANK, CA 91505

Mr. Bill Moore
4219 West Olive Ave, #247
Burbank, CA 91505

JAN 91
RECEIVED

24 January 1991

Dear Bill:

Your's of 21 January arrived today.

I was away on an extended trip and found Lee's missive here on my return and then your's follows in a day. I quickly answered Lee's and I shall quickly answer your's.

I believe the second paragraph on page 3 of my letter to Lee, and which you were copied on, states my position on the Lazar thing rather clearly. And, fortunately, was stated before the arrival of your letter, Bill.

I will restate one sentence in that paragraph here. It should be emphasized: "Has it occurred to you the research may just possibly shoot Bob down?"

It would appear that sentence should clarify and wave off all those attacking birds of "...convenient paranoia..." which have been ascribed to be in my presence. I will never understand, Bill, how a man with your great skills as a psychologist and the ability to identify illness so quickly in others, is not wealthy and thriving in a metro complex as large as Los Angeles where those very skills are so needed.

Because of my bulldog handling of the NIS conduct, NIS has modified the manner in which it sends out the brochure it sent to me. A cover letter will be included to all that brochure is sent to. I have that in a letter signed by a Navy Captain within NIS. He has admitted their policy was bad. So much for that. Little deeds done are better than big deeds planned.

On Lazar. I really have the feeling that no one gives a hoot whether I am going off the deep end. In the UFO area there are scores of folks who have done that. No, it really gets down to the fact that I have elected to run alone on this one and to exclude a number of folks who have been longtime friends.

I will continue to do that until the ugly end of this matter. And that appears, to me, to be coming fairly soon. At that time a full presentation will be made to all of you. But not until then.

I will restate my position on Lazar: This is an interesting case. No one in a position of authority *within the government* has said Bob is a liar *and* affixed their name to a document.

Dr. Teller, with whose office I have had lengthy correspondence and whom Bob has implicated, has not provided a letter, simple one sentence stating that what Bob has said is a lie, with Dr. Teller's signature. I will not deal in hearsay as a culmination in research. I want names, I want signatures, I want dates. That takes time and it takes relentless followup. I happen to do that well. I do it best alone without others throwing mud in the water. In this case, that is my choice.

I've not kept any of you from Bob Lazar. I haven't shielded him. I haven't coached him. I haven't "sponsored" him.

The only thing I have done is treat him as a human being, have treated him fairly and have given him great latitude. I have applied the Golden Rule to my conduct, consistently, with him and with all of you. I expect that in return.

My international travels taught me long ago to not be quick to pass judgments on other people and other cultures because they are different. Bob *is* different.

Bill, many years ago, shortly after we first met I had an interesting phone conversation with a very good friend of mine and excellent aviation researcher (just saw him this past weekend). He told me of a contact who had been based at Sinop, Turkey, with a radar unit. The contact said they sent their radar tapes with UFO contacts to "NJ-12." I heard it on the phone as NJ-12. I gave that to you early in the game, long before "MJ-12" and I gave it openly, no strings attached. Later, along comes Bill Moore and Jaime with MJ-12 documents. I might have joined the throngs who have made an effort to discredit you and said, "Shit, Moore's a liar, I told him about NJ-12 years ago and he generated the MJ-12 documents and the roll of film!!" Have you noticed I've not said one word publically about NJ-12 to your opponents? I might have. I didn't. I don't muddy someone else's research water. Don't muddy mine.

Lazar sits up there in Las Vegas. There is no fence around him that I have erected. He talks with whomever he chooses and when he chooses. We all began in the same place with Lazar. The difference is a few may have made some stupid premature remarks that closed their access.

I will go this route alone. At the end I will tell all I've learned. But not until then.

The only thing I take personally in your letter, Bill, is the line that reads: "Why do you deliberately seek to shield him from competent inquiry and investigation?" Go investigate, damn it!! As I said there is no fence around him that I've erected.

I think you and Lee are angry with me because I won't cooperate with your efforts in the Lazar thing. That's okay. That is my choice. I made it early on and I made with justifiable reason. Then you toss in the words "...*competent* inquiry..." Cute, Bill. Cute.

Let me tell you something. My *incompetent* inquiries have had me in the doghouse with CIA, FBI, AFOSI and Lord knows who since 1959. I've made major contributions to respected aviation writers for many years and they have given me many credits within their own books. My *incompetent* inquiries have had the "boys in the basement" in the Pentagon "go ballastic" several times.

You were pleased to have my *incompetent* NJ-12 info and when Lee many years ago gave me a residential address in Minneapolis to check out and the answer came back in 3 days you were amazed at the *incompetence*.

So don't give me any snotty snivelling bullshit about incompetent inquiry and paranoia in an effort to change my style. Don't, Bill, insult my intelligence. How can my incompetence prior to your letter turn to competence once I open up to you on Lazar following the letter? And if Lazar is so easily and obviously, to use the words in your letter, "...Lazar is an absolute flake who is not to be trusted under any circumstances..." well, Bill, why are you bothering? And, a correction, I never said those who have listened to him have no right to dissect him and his claims. I said *I would not join you* in that effort. I'll do it on my own and I am.

Of late I've had many calls from investment brokers selling "oil investment opportunities" over the telephone. I give them the courtesy of listening. I then ask them what their personal net worth is. They ask why do I ask that? I say I never buy investments or take financial advice from anyone whose net worth is less than my own. I've had 3 of them hangup following that exchange.

What's going on here, with all of us, is a deal in intellectual net worth. Gentlemen, I go alone on this particular trip. And with no apologies.

Sincerely,

John Andrews

John Andrews

8180 Santa Arminta
San Diego, CA 92126-1243

cc: Lee Graham; Ron Regehr

JOHN J. ANDREWS
8180 SANTA ARMINTA AVE.
SAN DIEGO, CA. 92126

SAN DIEGO, CA 92126
PM
25 JAN
199



MR. BILL MOORE
4219 WEST OLIVE AV., #247
BURBANK, CA 91505

JAN 91
RECEIVED

January 20, 1991

Dear Editor

I have been pondering for some time just why the field of UFOlogy has developed so little over the years. I used to think that this was more the result of internal factors rather than external ones. Not any more however do I believe this to be true. As I delve deeper and deeper into this particular field I see more and more evidence accumuating that points in the direction that the slow development of UFOlogy is due in the main to external factors operating beyond the control of those in the UFOlogical field. The development of all fields of endevors have their own particular internal problems yet they manage to develop nevertheless.

As I work my way back through the historical literature I have come to realize that the work of some of the early researchers such as Mr. Olavo Fontes was of high quality and led in the direction of field propulsion technologies associated with UFO's. This direction of research was latter followed up later by very Qualified people such as Alan Holt,Frederick Alzofon, Henery Montieth, Stanton Friedman all having been involved with high thechnology. I also find it very interesting that many of the top players in this field both skeptic and believer have connections with high technology and classified government work.

It seems to me that these highly qualified people fit into two catagories. 1. Those who know what they are talking about and are telling the truth. 2. Those who know what the truth is yet are working very digently to disrupt and distract, and contain the UFO field within certian parameters fixed by some outside source external to the field of UFOlogy. These highly educated clever illusionists obviously have a agenda that does not include the truth. They are no dummies and know exactly what they are doing. Their arguments don't hold up under scrunity and are continiously generated to distract from the real issues.

The real issues being the highly classified reverse engennerring of UFO technologies expecialy field propulsion systems. Secondly communications and interactactions with the occupants which if it became widely known would severly disrupt the status quo of the special interests worldwide that essentialy control world affairs democracy not withstanding.

I believe the people of the world and UFOlogy in particular have been under psylogical attack in a sucessfull attempt to contain and control the UFO field within certian parameters. The special interests, elites are responsable for this hostile action against the peoples of the world. Those responsible for carrying out these

actions are the psylogical warefare departments of our government. I summit that the field of UFOlogy has been under psylogical attack by these elements within government for the last 40 years. The result being is that the field of UFOlogy has been devestated by a attacker and a attack that is not even known to exist. If one does not even know he is under attack there is realy no defence. I would ask the reader to envision what a attack upon a field of endevor would be like and to envision the end result? Would the present state of UFOlogy then fit this?

If the reader has got this far with me then what can we do about this. First one has to be aware one is under attack. Second one must understand the nature of the attack and idenify the ones within and without the field who are the operatives. One must learn from a adversary thus I would suggest background checks and security checks of the main players in the field of UFOlogy to see if connection can be found in the backgrounds with field technologies and communications with UFO occupants and the nations spy network. Slowly but surely we could put together a picture of the attackers and their means of operation. Thirdly we must counter attack and even if the adversary seems formidible one must realize that the adversary is operating on constitutional quicksand and may be easly undermined that is their greatest weakness.

How can UFOlogy progress if such a thing as this is going on? What importance is evidence if the evidence and perceptions of UFOlogists are being modified to the agenda of the government? I firmly believe all things considered that we have Magicians, illusionists in our mist operating under the cover claiming to be legimite UFO researchers. I think the informed reader might have a few ideas of who these operatives are.

It is hard for the truth seeker to realize that their are folks who have agendas other than truth on their minds. However I feel that the truth seeker must idenify and remove obstacles to the truth and well as seek out truth. It would do the truth seeker well to study the Magicians art and science of illusion, deception, diversion and distraction. After all the military has done this well. They realize that if a enemy cannot locate a target then all the firepower in the world does them no good. If they can make a aircraft carrier dissapear on a trip across the atlantic ocean it should be relatively easy for them to make the UFO disapear under the noses of the general public.

It should be no supprize that code names such as MAGIC are used. Should we not take a hint from the MJ 12 documents and start idenifying the Donald Menzels of UFOlogy before they die. Would not Carl Sagan be a likely replacement for Mr. Menzel? HOw about good old Phill Klass wouldn't it be interesting if in a little background check we were to find that Bill Casey was a old friend of his? To get a little early background on Carl Sagan I would suggest the reading of Frank Edwards book Flying Saucers Serious Buisness. This book gives some early background of SETI and Carl.

Recently Supreme commander Moseley seems to have been getting under the skin of our friendly Mr. Klass. Seems Moseley inherited a little money and in the process of his investigations Klass said something about "A fool and his money are soon parted" Does friendly old Klass have fangs?

In summary I believe that UFOlogy has been under continous attack by elements within our government that use psylogical warfare techniques to supress the UFO movement and to keep it within certian parameters. I believe if the UFO movment were to develop naturaly we would soon get to the truth which would be very damaging to certian economic, political and religious interests worldwide. These interests have their own selfish agendas and a interest in maintaing the status quo. These special interests are not so interest in the world as a whole but what they can get out of it to maintain their particular lifestyles at the expence of all society.
Higly respected Edward Ruppelt said very early on the industrialists were getting special secret briefings not availabe to the public. NOw isent that interesting. NOw these special interests have enlisted the help of the inteligence community and military war departments to attack the UFO movement that is seen as a very real threat to them. Thus the war with UFOlogy was begun 40 years or so ago and we don't even realize we are under attack. I think it is about time we all got our act together to take out the trash.

Sincerely

Ed Komarek Jr.

Ed Komarek Jr.
Rt. 3 Box 1076
Thomasville, GA 31792

ALBANY, GA 317
1 PM
21 JAN
1991

Bill Moore
4219 W. Olive, Suite 247
Burbank, CA 91505